Table 1

Overview of the GSEs' Housing Goal Performance, 1993-2000, and Goals for 1996-2003<sup>1</sup>

									1996	1997-2000	2001-03
Goal <sup>2</sup>	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	Goals	Goals	Goals
Low- and Moderate-Income:											
Fannie Mae	34.2%	44.8%	42.3%	45.6%	45.7%	44.1%	45.9%	49.4%	40%	42%	50%
Freddie Mac	29.7%	37.4%	38.9%	41.1%	42.6%	42.9%	46.1%	49.9%			
Ratio	0.87	0.83	0.92	0.90	0.93	0.97	1.00+	1.01			
Geographically Targeted:											
Fannie Mae	23.6%	29.5%	31.9%	28.1%	28.8%	27.0%	26.8%	31.0%	21%	24%	31%
Freddie Mac	21.8%	25.2%	26.4%	25.0%	26.3%	26.1%	27.5%	29.2%			
Ratio	0.92	0.85	0.83	0.89	0.91	0.97	1.03	0.94			
Special Affordable:											
Fannie Mae	9.7%	15.2%	14.1%	15.4%	17.0%	14.3%	17.6%	19.2%	12%	14%	20%
Freddie Mac	7.0%	11.3%	12.8%	14.0%	15.2%	15.9%	17.2%	20.7%			
Ratio	0.72	0.74	0.91	0.91	0.89	1.11	0.98	1.08			
Special Affordable Multifamily <sup>3</sup> :											
Fannie Mae	\$1.64	\$1.74	\$1.34	\$2.37	\$3.19	\$3.53	\$4.06	\$3.79	\$1.29	\$1.29	\$2.85
Freddie Mac	\$0.14	\$0.46	\$0.69	\$1.06	\$1.21	\$2.69	\$2.26	\$2.40	\$0.99	\$0.99	\$2.11

Source: HUD analysis of data submitted by the GSEs. Some results differ from performance reported by the GSEs in their Annual Housing Activities Reports (AHARs).

Low- and Moderate-Income: Households with income less than or equal to area median income (AMI).

Geographically Targeted: Dwelling units in metropolitan

of at least 30 percent *and* tract median family income less than or equal to 120 percent of AMI; dwelling units in nonmetropolitan counties with (1) median family income less than or equal to 95 ps

nonmetropolitan median income.

Special Affordable: Households with income (1) less than or equal to 60 percent of AMI or (2) less than or equal to 80 percent of AMI and located in low-income areas. For the low- and moderate-income and special affordable goals, AMI is median income for the MSA for borrowers in metropolitan areas, and the greater of county or state nonmetro median income for borrowers outside metropolitan areas.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Percentages of dwelling units in properties whose mortgages were purchased by the GSEs that qualified for each goal in 1993-2000, based on HUD's December 1995 rule, and goals for 1996-2003.

Abbreviated definitions of goals:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Goals, performance, and market

Table 2a

Annual Trends in GSE Purchases and Single-Family Lending in Metropolitan Areas
Goal-Qualifying Home Purchase and Refinance Mortgages, 1992-2000

	Far	nnie Mae Dat	a	HMDA Data	Free	ldie Mac Dat	a	HMDA Data	Conform	ning Market
	Prior	Current		for	Prior	Current		for		W/O B&C
	Year	Year	All	Fannie Mae	Year	Year	All	Freddie Mac	All	Loans1
Very Low-Income										
1992				3.9 %				3.8 %	5.8 %	5.8 %
1993	5.2 %	5.2 %	5.2 %	5.4	3.6 %	4.8 %	4.8 %	5.2	7.3	7.2
1994	6.4	8.5	7.9	8.7	5.3	7.2	6.7	7.9	11.5	11.3
1995	6.6	8.5	8.1	8.6	8.4	7.2	7.5	7.8	12.1	11.9
1996	8.2	8.8	8.7	8.9	7.3	7.9	7.8	8.5	12.8	12.5
1997	12.0	8.9	9.7	9.1	8.0	8.4	8.3	8.5	13.7	13.2
1998	12.2	9.1	9.5	8.6	9.7	9.4	9.4	8.2	12.1	11.4
1999	9.2	11.2	10.6	11.2	10.2	11.9	11.5	11.7	15.6	14.8
2000	11.6	12.5	12.3	13.1	15.2	12.9	13.6	12.6	16.3	15.6
Special Affordable										
1992				4.9				4.7	7.1	7.1
1993	6.5	6.4	6.4	6.5	4.6	5.9	5.9	6.3	8.7	8.6
1994	7.8	10.4	9.7	10.6	6.4	8.7	8.1	9.5	13.8	13.5
1995	7.9	10.3	9.8	10.6	9.9	8.7	9.0	9.3	14.6	14.3
1996	9.9	10.6	10.5	10.8	8.6	9.4	9.3	10.1	15.2	14.8
1997	14.3	10.6	11.5	10.9	9.8	10.0	9.9	10.0	16.3	15.6
1998	14.5	10.6	11.1	10.1	11.4	10.9	10.9	9.5	14.3	13.5
1999	10.7	12.9	12.2	12.9	11.9	13.7	13.3	13.4	18.3	17.3
2000	13.6	14.5	14.3	15.2	17.6	14.9	15.7	14.5	19.2	18.3
Less Than Area										
Median Income										
1992				24.8				24.4	28.2	28.1
1993	27.6	29.3	29.2	29.6	24.1	28.4	28.2	28.8	32.2	32.1
1994	32.0	37.7	36.1	38.2	29.1	34.6	33.1	36.0	41.1	40.7
1995	25.0	35.7	33.3	36.9	35.0	33.2	33.6	34.6	41.3	40.9
1996	34.5	37.3	36.7	37.9	32.0	35.0	34.4	36.5	42.4	41.9
1997	39.2	36.9	37.5	38.0	34.7	35.9	35.7	36.6	43.7	42.9
1998	40.8	36.6	37.2	36.5	35.7	36.5	36.3	35.7	41.0	39.9
1999	35.4	40.2	38.8	40.6	37.4	41.7	40.7	41.9	46.3	45.1
2000	39.4	42.3	41.7	44.1	45.7	42.5	43.4	43.1	47.4	46.3
Underserved Areas										
1992				18.5				19.2	20.8	20.7
1993	22.1	19.2	19.6	18.1	19.5	19.3	19.3	18.4	20.2	20.1
1994	23.3	24.4	24.0	23.2	20.3	22.0	21.5	21.5	25.8	25.5
1995	26.4	24.4	24.9	23.5	23.6	20.8	21.5	20.2	26.9	26.4
1996	23.1	22.9	23.0	22.6	21.5	20.7	20.9	20.5	26.7	26.0
1997	28.9	21.7	23.6	21.8	23.2	21.2	21.6	20.1	27.8	26.7
1998	25.8	20.3	21.2	19.7	22.6	20.7	20.9	18.4	24.8	23.7
1999	21.3	22.0	21.8	21.8	23.7	23.4	23.5	21.2	28.2	26.9
2000	26.9	24.7	25.3	24.4	25.7	24.1	24.7	22.5	30.3	28.9

Notes: The Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac data for their purchases of "Prior Year" mortgages, "Current Year" mortgages, and "All" mortgages are from the loan-level data that they provide to HUD. All mortgages are conventional conforming home purchase and refinance mortgages. The "HMDA Data for (GSE)" are those mortgages that HMDA identifies as being sold to the GSEs. The Conforming Market data are from HMDA data. Because HMDA data indicate owner-occupancy but do not include the number of units in the property, the analysis is based on numbers of mortgages for 1 to 4-family owner-occupied official goal performance is based on number of units in owner and investor properties. Mortgages with a loan amount greater than six times borrower income are excluded for the purposes of the low- and moderate-income and special affordable analyses. In both the GSE and market analyses, mortgages classified as special affordable include mortgages from very-low-income borrowers and low-income borrowers living in low-income census tracts. Because missing value percentages differ between GSE and HMDA data, mortgages with missing data are excluded from both the GSE and market analyses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It should be recognized that there exists some uncertainty regarding the number of B&C loans in the HMDA data. The adjustment assumes that the B&C loans represent one-half of the subprime market. For further discussion, see Harold, L. Bunce, *The GSEs' Funding of Affordable Loans: A 1999 Update*, Working Paper HF-012, Office of Policy Development and Research, December 2000.

Table 2b

Annual Trends in GSE Purchases and Single-Family Lending in Metropolitan Areas
Goal-Qualifying Home Purchase Mortgages, 1992-2000

	Fanni	e Mae Data		HMDA Data	Fre	ddie Mac Dat	a	HMDA Data	Conformi	ng Market
_	Prior	Current		for	Prior	Current		for		W/O B&C
	Year	Year	All	Fannie Mae	Year	Year	All	Freddie Mac	All	Loans1
Very Low-Income										
1992				5.2 %				5.3 %	8.7 %	8.7 %
1993	6.5 %	6.7 %	6.7 %	7.4	4.2 %	6.2 %	6.0 %	6.6	10.8	10.8
1994	7.9	8.9	8.7	9.4	6.4	6.8	6.7	7.8	11.9	11.9
1995	11.2	8.9	9.3	8.5	7.7	6.8	7.0	7.5	12.0	12.0
1996	8.8	8.4	8.5	8.7	7.2	7.4	7.4	8.0	12.7	12.7
1997	13.4	8.7	9.9	8.8	7.5	7.6	7.6	8.0	13.0	13.0
1998	15.1	10.5	11.4	9.2	10.1	9.8	9.9	8.4	13.3	13.2
1999	10.4	10.9	10.8	10.9	11.3	10.9	11.0	11.2	15.0	14.7
2000	11.4	11.3	11.3	11.8	15.3	11.4	12.5	11.6	14.7	14.4
Special Affordable										
1992				6.3				6.5	10.4	10.4
1993	8.2	8.1	8.1	8.8	5.1	7.4	7.2	7.8	12.6	12.6
1994	9.5	10.8	10.6	11.4	7.6	8.1	8.0	9.2	14.1	14.1
1995	13.2	10.8	11.2	10.5	9.1	8.2	8.3	8.9	14.4	14.4
1996	10.6	10.2	10.3	10.5	8.4	8.7	8.7	9.4	15.0	15.0
1997	16.0	10.2	11.7	10.5	9.2	9.0	9.0	9.4	15.3	15.2
1998	17.9	12.1	13.2	10.7	11.8	11.2	11.3	9.7	15.6	15.4
1999	12.1	12.4	12.3	12.5	12.9	12.5	12.5	12.6	17.3	17.0
2000	13.4	13.0	13.0	13.7	17.6	13.0	14.3	13.3	17.1	16.8
Less Than Area										
Median Income										
1992				29.2				28.7	34.4	34.4
1993	30.4	33.5	33.2	35.0	24.9	32.1	31.6	32.3	38.9	38.9
1994	35.6	38.9	38.3	40.1	31.5	33.6	33.2	35.6	41.8	41.8
1995	38.6	37.7	37.8	37.1	33.6	32.1	32.4	33.9	41.4	41.4
1996	35.5	36.8	36.5	37.7	31.8	33.5	33.2	35.3	42.2	42.2
1997	41.1	36.4	37.6	37.5	33.7	34.2	34.1	35.4	42.5	42.5
1998	45.3	39.2	40.4	38.1	36.1	37.0	36.9	36.2	43.0	42.8
1999	37.5	39.9	39.3	40.2	38.7	40.3	40.0	41.0	45.2	44.8
2000	39.1	40.3	40.0	42.0	46.4	39.9	41.7	41.3	44.8	44.4
Underserved Areas										
1992				18.3				18.6	22.2	22.2
1993	23.8	19.4	20.3	18.2	19.5	18.2	18.4	17.6	21.9	21.9
1994	26.6	23.6	24.3	22.5	21.0	19.4	19.7	19.2	24.4	24.3
1995	27.4	23.9	24.7	22.8	22.6	19.4	20.1	19.1	25.5	25.4
1996	23.4	21.9	22.3	21.6	22.3	19.1	19.7	19.0	25.0	24.9
1997	30.1	20.8	23.5	21.0	22.3	19.1	19.7	18.6	25.0	24.9
1998	28.4	21.0	23.3	19.6	22.2		20.0	17.4	24.6	24.9
						19.5				
1999	22.0	20.2	20.6	20.3	23.4	20.6	21.2	19.3	25.8	25.2
2000	26.7	22.6	23.6	22.5	23.9	21.4	22.2	20.9	27.1	26.4

Notes: The Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac data for their purchases of "Prior Year" mortgages, "Current Year" mortgages, and "All" mortgages are from the loan-level data that they provide to HUD. All mortgages are conventional conforming home purchase mortgages. The "HMDA Data for (GSE)" are those mortgages that HMDA identifies as being sold to the GSEs. The Conforming Market data are from HMDA data. Because HMDA data indicate owner-occupancy but do not include the number of units in the property, the analysis is based on numbers of mortgages for 1 to 4-family owner-occupied properties even though official goal performance is based on number of units in owner and investor properties. Mortgages with a loan amount greater than six times borrower income are excluded for the purposes of the low- and moderate-income and special affordable analyses. In both the GSE and market analyses, mortgages classified as special affordable include mortgages from very-low-income borrowers and low-income borrowers living in low-income census tracts. Because missing value percentages differ between GSE and HMDA data, mortgages with missing data are excluded from both the GSE and market analyses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It should be recognized that there exists some uncertainty regarding the number of B&C loans in the HMDA data. The adjustment assumes that the B&C loans represent one-half of the subprime market. The adjustment for home purchase loans is small because subprime (B&C) loans are mainly refinance loans. For further discussion, see Harold, L. Bunce, *The GSEs' Funding of Affordable Loans: A 1999 Update,* Working Paper HF-012, Office of Policy Development and Research, December 2000.

Table 3a

Affordable Lending Shares for Major Sectors of the Mortgage Market in Metropolitan Areas
Home Purchase and Refinance Mortgages, 1997-2000

					Convention	onal Conformin		0.0	
					-	Deposit	_		ming Market
Borrower Characteristics	Total Market	FHA	Freddie Mac	Fannie Mae	Both GSEs	Total	Portfolio	Total	W/O B&C 2
Low-Income:		1							
1997	31.1 %	47.1 % <sup>1</sup>	20.5 %	22.3 %	21.6 %	26.0 %	28.0 %	28.1 %	27.4 %
1998	28.0	48.2	21.6	22.2	21.9	24.0	26.2	25.7	24.8
1999	33.2	49.0	25.2	23.9	24.4	29.3	28.1	30.9	29.8
2000	34.4	48.6	28.6	26.4	27.4	31.4	29.0	32.1	31.0
African American:									
1997	8.0	14.2	3.2	4.1	3.7	4.8	4.8	6.3	5.6
1998	6.5	13.7	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.9	4.4	5.0	4.3
1999	8.0	14.8	3.7	3.4	3.5	5.4	5.1	6.5	5.7
2000	8.9	15.6	4.7	4.4	4.5	6.6	5.8	7.4	6.5
Hispanic:									
1997	7.4	17.8	4.8	5.7	5.3	4.7	4.6	5.4	5.3
1998	6.7	17.2	4.4	4.8	4.6	4.4	4.5	5.2	5.0
1999	8.6	18.7	5.6	5.6	5.6	6.1	6.1	6.8	6.7
2000	10.2	20.4	6.7	7.9	7.4	7.6	7.2	8.3	8.1
Minority:									
1997	20.1	35.6	13.1	16.2	14.9	14.4	13.4	16.7	16.0
1998	18.0	34.8	12.2	13.8	13.1	13.2	13.1	15.3	14.5
1999	21.8	37.3	15.1	16.3	15.8	16.9	16.1	19.0	18.0
2000	24.7	40.0	17.3	19.4	18.4	20.2	18.6	21.7	20.6
Neighborhood Characteristics									
Low-Income Tract:									
1997	13.6 %	18.9 %	8.6 %	9.5 %	9.1 %	10.9 %	11.9 %	12.7 %	11.9 %
1998	11.5	17.4	8.1	8.2	8.1	9.5	10.9	10.7	10.0
1999	13.4	17.9	9.2	8.5	8.8	11.8	12.0	12.8	11.9
2000	14.7	19.2	10.4	10.5	10.5	13.5	13.1	14.0	13.1
High-Minority Tract:									
1997	17.8	27.5	11.8	14.9	13.7	13.0	12.2	15.9	14.9
1998	16.2	27.3	11.5	12.9	12.3	12.2	12.2	14.5	13.6
1999	18.3	26.6	14.2	13.6	13.8	15.0	13.8	17.0	15.0
2000	19.5	26.8	14.3	15.8	15.1	17.0	15.4	18.3	17.2
High African-American Tract:									
1997	7.1	9.7	3.5	4.5	4.1	4.9	5.1	6.6	5.9
1997	5.8	9.7	3.6	3.3	3.4	4.9	4.7	5.3	4.6
1998 1999	5.8 6.9	9.1	3.6 4.0	3.5	3.4	4.2 5.6	5.1	5. <i>3</i> 6.6	4.6 5.7
2000	7.5	9.6	4.0	4.3	4.6	6.6	5.6	7.2	6.3
Underserved Areas:									
1997	30.0	41.1	21.5	23.6	22.8	25.0	26.5	27.8	26.7
1997	26.8	39.9	20.9	21.2	21.1	22.6	25.0	24.8	23.7
1998	30.0	40.4	23.5	21.8	22.5	26.4	26.6	28.2	26.9
						29.2			
2000	32.0	42.2	24.7	25.3	35.0	29.2	28.5	30.3	28.9

Notes: The FHA, depositories, and market percentages are derived from 1997-2000 HMDA data. The GSE percentages are derived from the loan-level data that Fannie Mae and Freddie Mae provide to HUD. The GSE data include conventional loans purchased during 1997, 1998, 1999, and 2000; thus, these data include their purchases of seasoned loans (i.e., mortgages originated prior to 1997 or 1998 or 1999 or 2000) as well as their purchases of mortgages originated during 1997, 1998, 1999, and 2000. The "Total Market" combines the government sector (FHA and VA loans) and the conventional conforming market. Thus, it includes all loans except "jumbo" loans above the conforming loan limit which was \$252,700 in 2000. "Total Depositories" data are loans originated by HMDA reporters regulated by FDIC, OTS, OCC, FRB, and The National Credit Union Administration; they consist mainly of banks, thrifts, and their subsidieries. The "Portfolio Depositories" data refer to new originations that are not sold by banks and thrift institutions during 1997-2000 and thus are retained in depository portfolios. Because HMDA data indicate owner-occupancy but do not include the number of units in the property, the analysis is based on numbers of mortgages for 1 to 4-family owner-occupied properties even though official goal performance is based on number of units in owner and investor properties. Mortgages with a loan amount greater than six times borrower income are excluded for the purposes of the low- and moderate-income and special affordable analyses. Because missing value percentages differ between GSE and HMDA data, mortgages with missing data are excluded from both the GSE and market analyses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Each percentage represents the share of a sector's portfolio accounted for by the borrower or neighborhood characteristic. For example, 47.1 percent of FHA-insured home loans were loans for low-income borrowers. It should be noted that due to FHA's streamline refinance program, borrower income data were not available for almost 70 percent of FHA's refinance loans.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> HMDA-based market shares have been adjusted to exclude the B&C portion of the subprime market. It should be recognized that there exists some uncertainty regarding the number of B&C loans in the HMDA data. The adjustment assumes that the B&C loans represent one-half of the subprime market; for further discussion, see Harold, L. Bunce, The GSEs' Funding of Affordable Loans: A 1999 Update, Working Paper HF-012, Office of Policy Development and Research, December 2000.

Table 3b

Affordable Lending Shares for Major Sectors of the Mortgage Market in Metropolitan Areas
Home Purchase Mortgages, 1997-2000

					Conventi	ional Conformin			
						Deposit		-	ning Market
Borrower Characteristics	Total Market	FHA	Freddie Mac	Fannie Mae	Both GSEs	Total	Portfolio	Total	W/O B&C 2
Low-Income:		1							
1997	32.1 %	47.6 % <sup>1</sup>	19.2 %	22.6 %	21.2 %	26.0 %	29.2 %	27.3 %	27.3 %
1998	32.3	49.1	22.3	25.0	23.9	26.4	29.1	27.8	27.6
1999	34.4	49.5	24.5	24.3	24.4	29.2	28.5	30.1	29.8
2000	33.8	48.7	27.1	24.9	25.9	29.7	28.7	29.8	29.4
African American:									
1997	7.8	14.4	3.2	4.5	4.0	4.4	4.7	5.1	4.9
1998	7.4	14.2	3.2	3.8	3.6	4.1	4.8	4.9	4.6
1999	7.9	14.6	3.5	3.4	3.4	4.7	4.7	5.4	5.0
2000	8.3	15.5	4.3	4.1	4.2	5.4	5.0	5.9	5.4
Hispanic:									
1997	8.7	17.8	5.1	6.3	5.8	5.2	5.1	5.9	5.8
1998	8.6	18.6	4.7	6.0	5.5	5.3	5.5	6.1	5.9
1999	9.7	19.3	5.5	6.0	5.8	6.5	6.6	7.1	6.9
2000	10.9	20.7	6.6	8.0	7.3	7.9	7.7	8.3	8.1
Minority:									
1997	21.4	35.8	14.0	17.7	16.2	15.3	14.6	16.5	16.3
1998	21.0	36.5	13.1	16.3	15.0	15.0	15.2	16.6	16.1
1999	23.4	37.7	14.9	17.3	16.3	17.7	17.3	19.0	18.4
2000	25.4	40.2	17.4	20.1	18.9	20.4	19.6	21.2	20.4
Neighborhood Characteristics									
Low-Income Tract:	=								
1997	12.8 %	19.1 %	7.9 %	9.5 %	8.9 %	10.2 %	12.0 %	10.9	10.8 %
1998	12.3	18.7	7.9	9.4	8.8	9.8	12.1	10.7	10.4
1999	12.7	18.2	8.4	8.1	8.2	10.8	11.6	11.3	10.9
2000	13.4	19.2	9.1	9.6	9.4	11.9	12.4	12.0	11.5
High-Minority Tract:									
1997	17.3	27.1	11.4	15.3	13.8	12.5	12.2	14.1	13.9
1998	16.9	27.0	10.8	14.0	12.7	12.2	12.6	14.1	13.6
1999	17.5	26.0	12.3	12.7	12.5	13.9	13.5	15.1	14.6
2000	18.5	26.5	12.7	15.1	14.1	15.7	14.9	16.4	15.8
High African-American Tract:									
1997	5.8	9.6	3.0	4.8	4.1	4.1	4.7	4.6	4.5
1998	5.5	9.2	3.2	3.8	3.6	3.9	4.7	4.5	4.3
1999	5.7	8.9	3.4	3.0	3.2	4.3	4.4	4.8	4.4
2000	6.0	9.4	3.8	3.6	3.7	5.0	4.8	5.1	4.8
Underserved Areas:									
1997	29.1	41.3	19.9	23.5	22.1	23.6	26.3	25.2	24.9
1998	28.2	41.2	20.0	22.9	21.7	22.7	26.1	24.6	24.2
1999	29.1	40.5	21.2	20.6	20.9	24.6	25.6	25.8	25.2
2000	30.3	42.1	22.2	23.6	23.0	26.7	27.1	27.1	26.4

Notes: All the data are for home purchase mortgages. The FHA, depositories, and market percentages are derived from 1997, 1998, 1999 and 2000 HMDA data. The GSE percentages are derived from the loan-level data that Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac provide to HUD. The GSE data include conventional home loans purchased during 1997, 1998, 1999 and 2000; thus, these data include their purchases of seasoned loans (i.e., mortgages originated prior to 1997 or 1998 or 1999 or 2000) as well as their purchases of mortgages originated during 1997, 1998, 1999 and 2000. The "Total Market" combines the government sector (FHA and VA loans) and the conventional conforming market. Thus, it includes all loans except "jumbo" loans above the conforming loan limit which was \$252,700 in 2000. "Total Depositories" data are loans originated by HMDA reporters regulated by FDIC, OTS, OCC, FRB, and The National Credit Union Administration; they consist mainly of banks, thrifts, and their subsidieries. The "Portfolio Depositories" data refer to new originations that are not sold by banks and thrift institutions during 1997-2000 and thus are retained in depository portfolios. Because HMDA data indicate owner-occupancy but do not include the number of units in the property, the analysis is based on numbers of mortgages for 1 to 4-family owner-occupied properties even though official goal performance is based on number of units in owner and investor properties. Mortgages with a loan amount greater than six times borrower income are excluded for the purposes of the low- and moderate-income and special affordable analyses. Because missing value percentages differ between GSE and HMDA data, mortgages with missing data are excluded from both the GSE and market analyses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Each percentage represents the share of a sector's portfolio accounted for by the borrower or neighborhood characteristic. For example, 47.5 percent of FHA-insured home loans were loans for low-income borrowers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> HMDA-based market shares have been adjusted to exclude the B&C portion of the subprime market. It should be recognized that there exists some uncertainty regarding the number of B&C loans in the HMDA data. The adjustment assumes that the B&C loans represent one-half of the subprime market. The adjustment for home purchase loans is samll because subprime (B&C) loans are mainly refinance loans. For further discussion, see Harold, L. Bunce, *The GSEs' Funding of Affordable Loans: A 1999 Update,* Working Paper HF-012, Office of Policy Development and Research, December 2000.

Table 4-1999

Number of Units Financed by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac
Mortgage Purchases Originated During 1999

	Single-Family Owner	Single-Family Rental	Small Multifamily	Large Multifamily	Total
Total Units					
Fannie Mae	2,032,971	171,469	8,586	235,161	2,448,187
Freddie Mac	1,728,075	123,223	4,188	187,985	2,043,471
Low-Mod Units					
Fannie Mae	776,489	127,794	7,403	223,553	1,135,239
Freddie Mac	684,361	105,862	3,352	170,811	964,386
<u>Underserved Area Units</u>					
Fannie Mae	483,490	82,415	4,637	94,888	665,430
Freddie Mac	434,537	58,641	2,113	65,073	560,364
Special Affordable Units					
Fannie Mae	243,662	67,253	4,656	137,433	453,004
Freddie Mac	229,296	56,752	1,646	85,658	373,352

Source: Loan-level data that the GSEs provide to HUD, covering financed units from conventional mortgages originated during 1999 and purchased by one of the GSEs during 1999-2000. Data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

Table 4-1998

Number of Units Financed by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac
Mortgage Purchases Originated During 1998

	Single-Family Owner	Single-Family Rental	Small Multifamily	Large Multifamily	Total
Total Units					
Fannie Mae	3,188,333	189,902	11,395	270,968	3,660,598
Freddie Mac	2,515,100	117,995	8,561	204,920	2,846,576
Low-Mod Units					
Fannie Mae	1,115,416	145,449	9,216	250,218	1,520,299
Freddie Mac	882,728	102,706	7,801	195,109	1,188,344
<u>Underserved Area Units</u>					
Fannie Mae	704,802	86,367	5,075	109,610	905,854
Freddie Mac	581,500	53,627	4,851	85,456	725,434
Special Affordable Units					
Fannie Mae	318,283	72,485	4,191	132,680	527,639
Freddie Mac	264,835	55,224	4,616	108,307	432,982

Source: Loan-level data that the GSEs provide to HUD, covering financed units from conventional mortgages originated during 1998 and purchased by one of the GSEs during 1998-1999. Data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

Table 5a-2000

Fannie Mae's Single-Family Owner-Occupied 1-Unit Mortgage Purchases by Housing Goal and Mortgagor's Income, 2000
(In Units)

_		Type of Mortgage	
0.14		Refinance,	
Goals*	Purchase	Second and Other**	All
(1) Low- and Moderate-Income	423,319	206,915	630,234
	67.2 %	32.8 %	100.0 %
(2) Special Affordable (Total)	129,539	68,335	197,874
	65.5 %	34.5 %	100.0 %
Low-Income Families			
(But Not Very Low-Income)	15,139	9,324	24,463
In Low-Income Areas	61.9 %	38.1 %	100.0 %
Very Low-Income Families	18,921	12,734	31,655
In Low-Income Areas	59.8 %	40.2 %	100.0 %
Very Low-Income Families	95,479	46,277	141,756
Not In Low-Income Areas	67.4 %	32.6 %	100.0 %
(3) Underserved Areas	270,158	158,212	428,370
	63.1 %	36.9 %	100.0 %
Served Areas	851,271	355,622	1,206,893
	70.5 %	29.5 %	100.0 %
(4) All Mortgages	1,204,792	525,228	1,730,020
(1) 1 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 11	69.6 %	30.4 %	100.0 %
Mortgagor's Income***			
Income No More Than	71,026	37,852	108,878
50% of Area Median Income	5.9 %	7.2 %	6.3 %
Income More Than 50% But No	57,577	28,438	86,015
More Than 60% of Area Median Income	4.8 %	5.4 %	5.0 %
Income More Than 60% But No	148,758	70,027	218,785
More Than 80% of Area Median Income	12.3 %	13.3 %	12.6 %
Income More Than 80% But No	164,556	72,356	236,912
More Than 100% of Area Median Income	13.7 %	13.8 %	13.7 %
Income More Than 100% But No	155,894	65,814	221,708
More Than 120% of Area Median Income	12.9 %	12.5 %	12.8 %
Income More Than 120% of	511,488	197,677	709,165
Area Median Income	42.5 %	37.6 %	41.0 %
Missing	95,493	53,064	148,557
3	7.9 %	10.1 %	8.6 %
Total	1,204,792	525,228	1,730,020
- 0	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %

<sup>\*</sup> Units may count toward more than one category.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes missing and not applicable

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Percentages are by column.

Table 5b-2000

Freddie Mac's Single-Family Owner-Occupied 1-Unit Mortgage Purchases by Housing Goal and Mortgagor's Income, 2000

(In Units)

		Type of Mortgage						
		Refinance,						
Goals*	Purchase	Second and Other**	All					
(1) Low- and Moderate-Income	345,245	187,405	532,650					
	64.8 %	35.2% %	100.0 %					
(2) Special Affordable (Total)	117,639	72,490	190,129					
	61.9 %	38.1% %	100.0 %					
Low-Income Families (But Not Very Low-Income)	14,233	9,937	24,170					
In Low-Income Areas	58.9 %	41.1% %	100.0 %					
in Low income rifeas	30.5 70	11.170 70	100.0 70					
Very Low-Income Families	18,710	15,321	34,031					
In Low-Income Areas	55.0 %	45.0% %	100.0 %					
Very Low-Income Families	84,696	47,231	131,927					
Not In Low-Income Areas	64.2 %	35.8% %	100.0 %					
(3) Underserved Areas	198,218	140,232	338,450					
	58.6 %	41.4% %	100.0 %					
Served Areas	660,988	300,180	961,168					
	68.8 %	31.2% %	100.0 %					
(4) All Mortgages	923,095	451,800	1,374,895					
(//	67.1 %	32.9% %	100.0 %					
Mortgagor's Income***								
Income No More Than	55,631	36,443	92,074					
50% of Area Median Income	6.0 %	8.1 %	6.7 %					
Income More Than 50% But No	49,065	26,427	75,492					
More Than 60% of Area Median Income	5.3 %	5.8 %	5.5 %					
Income More Than 60% But No	123,482	62,445	185,927					
More Than 80% of Area Median Income	13.4 %	13.8 %	13.5 %					
Income More Than 80% But No	124,817	63,195	188,012					
More Than 100% of Area Median Income	13.5 %	14.0 %	13.7 %					
Income More Than 100% But No	116,290	55,804	172,094					
More Than 120% of Area Median Income	12.6 %	12.4 %	12.5 %					
Income More Than 120% of	391,799	169,772	561,571					
Area Median Income	42.4 %	37.6 %	40.8 %					
Missing	62,010	37,714	99,724					
	6.7 %	8.3 %	7.3 %					
Total	923,095	451,800	1,374,895					
	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %					

<sup>\*</sup> Units may count toward more than one category.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes missing and not applicable

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Percentages are by column.

Table 5a-1999

Fannie Mae's Single-Family Owner-Occupied 1-Unit Mortgage Purchases by Housing Goal and Mortgagor's Income, 1999

(In Units)

		Type of Mortgage	
~		Refinance,	
Goals*	Purchase	Second and Other**	All
(1) Low- and Moderate-Income	415,740	494,778	910,518
. ,	45.7 %	54.3 %	100.0 %
(2) Special Affordable (Total)	128,929	147,110	276,039
	46.7 %	53.3 %	100.0 %
Low-Income Families	14.215	10.525	22.040
(But Not Very Low-Income) In Low-Income Areas	14,315 42.3 %	19,525 57.7 %	33,840
III Low-income Areas	42.3 %	31.1 70	100.0 %
Very Low-Income Families	17,717	21,932	39,649
In Low-Income Areas	44.7 %	55.3 %	100.0 %
Very Low-Income Families	96,896	105,653	202,549
Not In Low-Income Areas	47.8 %	52.2 %	100.0 %
(3) Underserved Areas	233,938	328,386	562,324
<b>、</b>	41.6 %	58.4 %	100.0 %
Served Areas	841,274	1,019,605	1,860,879
	45.2 %	54.8 %	100.0 %
(4) All Mortgages	1,181,159	1,385,381	2,566,540
	46.0 %	54.0 %	100.0 %
Mortgagor's Income***			
Income No More Than	70,803	72,914	143,717
50% of Area Median Income	6.0 %	5.3 %	5.6 %
Income More Than 50% But No	58,321	62,907	121,228
More Than 60% of Area Median Income	4.9 %	4.5 %	4.7 %
Income More Than 60% But No	152,695	170,849	323,544
More Than 80% of Area Median Income	12.9 %	12.3 %	12.6 %
Income More Than 80% But No	169,681	195,780	365,461
More Than 100% of Area Median Income	14.4 %	14.1 %	14.2 %
Income More Than 100% But No	163,757	191,036	354,793
More Than 120% of Area Median Income	13.9 %	13.8 %	13.8 %
Income More Than 120% of	515,523	645,091	1,160,614
Area Median Income	43.6 %	46.6 %	45.2 %
Missing	50,379	46,804	97,183
-	4.3 %	3.4 %	3.8 %
Total	1,181,159	1,385,381	2,566,540
	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %

<sup>\*</sup> Units may count toward more than one category.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes missing and not applicable

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Percentages are by column.

Table 5b-1999

Freddie Mac's Single-Family Owner-Occupied 1-Unit Mortgage Purchases by Housing Goal and Mortgagor's Income, 1999

(In Units)

		Type of Mortgage	
Goals*	Purchase	Refinance, Second and Other**	All
Goms	1 dicitase	Second und Other	7111
(1) Low- and Moderate-Income	295,289	425,241	720,530
	41.0 %	59.0 %	100.0 %
(2) Special Affordable (Total)	93,397	138,590	231,987
	40.3 %	59.7 %	100.0 %
Low-Income Families	11.105	10.400	20.505
(But Not Very Low-Income) In Low-Income Areas	11,107	18,490 62.5 %	29,597
In Low-income Areas	37.5 %	02.3 %	100.0 %
Very Low-Income Families	13,069	22,692	35,761
In Low-Income Areas	36.5 %	63.5 %	100.0 %
Very Low-Income Families	69,221	97,409	166,630
Not In Low-Income Areas	41.5 %	58.5 %	100.0 %
(3) Underserved Areas	177,568	293,640	471,208
	37.7 %	62.3 %	100.0 %
Served Areas	609,297	808,473	1,417,770
	43.0 %	57.0 %	100.0 %
(4) All Martanana	040 612	1 121 071	1.060.692
(4) All Mortgages	848,612 43.1 %	1,121,071 56.9 %	1,969,683 100.0 %
Mortgagor's Income***			
Income No More Than	44,074	65,826	109,900
50% of Area Median Income	5.2 %	5.9 %	5.6 %
In some More Then 500/ Dut No	20.501	54.007	04.479
Income More Than 50% But No More Than 60% of Area Median Income	39,591 4.7 %	54,887 4.9 %	94,478 4.8 %
Wille Than 6070 of Area Wedian meone	4.7 70	4.7 /0	4.0 /0
Income More Than 60% But No	103,298	143,899	247,197
More Than 80% of Area Median Income	12.2 %	12.8 %	12.6 %
Income More Than 80% But No	117,535	162,818	280,353
More Than 100% of Area Median Income	13.9 %	14.5 %	14.2 %
Y 1000/ P 13	110 201	150.055	261.150
Income More Than 100% But No	110,201 13.0 %	150,977 13.5 %	261,178
More Than 120% of Area Median Income	13.0 %	13.3 %	13.3 %
Income More Than 120% of	355,836	491,860	847,696
Area Median Income	41.9 %	43.9 %	43.0 %
Missing	78,077	50,804	128,881
1111331116	9.2 %	4.5 %	6.5 %
Total	848,612 100.0 %	1,121,071 100.0 %	1,969,683 100.0 %

<sup>\*</sup> Units may count toward more than one category.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes missing and not applicable

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Percentages are by column.

Table 6

Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Acquisitions of Single-Family and Multifamily Units in Underserved Metropolitan and Non-metropolitan Areas in 1998, 1999, and 2000

		Fannie Mae		Freddie Mac			
	1998	1999	2000	1998	1999	2000	
Metropolitan							
Number of Underserved Units	799,426	670,901	595,595	548,510	505,716	392,230	
Percent of Total Units	25.5 %	25.4 %	30.1 %	24.2 %	26.2 %	27.9 %	
Total	3,132,066	2,637,508	1,975,505	2,265,333	1,930,976	1,404,797	
Non-metropolitan							
Number of Underserved Units	158,806	120,693	85,170	145,237	112,669	74,628	
Percent of Total Units	38.6 %	38.1 %	39.0 %	36.9 %	37.7 %	39.0 %	
Total	410,911	316,855	218,434	393,120	298,964	191,406	
Overall							
Number of Underserved Units	958,232	791,594	680,765	693,747	618,385	466,858	
Percent of Total Units	27.0 %	26.8 %	31.0 %	26.1 %	27.5 %	29.2 %	
Total Eligible*	3,546,302	2,956,155	2,195,321	2,658,556	2,245,087	1,600,684	

<sup>\*</sup> Overall totals may exceed the metro/nonmetro sum due to units in unidentified census tracts.

Table 7a-2000

Loan and Borrower Characteristics of Single-Family

Mortgages Purchased by the GSEs in Metropolitan Areas, 2000

	Fannie	Mae	Freddie	Mac	Total		
Loan and Borrower Characteristics	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	
Number of Loans	376,125	1,130,804	286,196	882,093	662,321	2,012,897	
Loon Durnogo							
Loan Purpose Home Purchase	66.7%	72.3%	62.5%	70.8%	64.9%	71.6%	
All Other	33.3%	27.7%	37.5%	29.2%	35.1%	28.4%	
Prior/Current Year Origination							
Prior Year	30.0%	26.9%	37.2%	34.0%	33.1%	30.0%	
Current Year	70.0%	73.1%	62.8%	66.0%	66.9%	70.0%	
Loan-to-Value Ratio							
60% or Less	14.4%	17.7%	14.2%	18.2%	14.4%	17.9%	
61-80%	50.1%	51.7%	46.6%	50.1%	48.6%	51.0%	
81-90%	16.0%	14.5%	15.9%	14.2%	16.0%	14.4%	
91-95%	14.3%	13.2%	15.9%	14.1%	15.0%	13.6%	
Over 95%	5.0%	2.9%	7.3%	3.4%	6.0%	3.1%	
Income of Borrower(s)							
60% of Area Median or Below	20.4%	10.1%	23.5%	10.4%	21.8%	10.3%	
61-100% of Median	34.4%	27.6%	35.8%	27.9%	35.0%	27.7%	
Over 100% of Median	45.1%	62.3%	40.7%	61.7%	43.2%	62.0%	
Below Area Median	54.9%	37.7%	59.3%	38.3%	56.8%	38.0%	
First-Time Home Buyer	18.9%	16.8%	17.4%	16.0%	18.3%	16.4%	
Race/National Origin of Borrower							
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.4%	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	
Asian or Pacific Islander	6.7%	4.1%	5.3%	3.7%	6.1%	3.9%	
African American	9.1%	2.9%	9.9%	3.0%	9.5%	2.9%	
Hispanic	17.0%	4.5%	14.5%	4.0%	15.9%	4.3%	
White	64.9%	86.2%	68.3%	87.5%	66.4%	86.8%	
Other	1.9%	1.9%	1.5%	1.4%	1.7%	1.6%	
Age of Borrower							
Under 30	15.6%	14.3%	17.2%	15.1%	16.2%	14.6%	
30-39	30.6%	33.3%	31.3%	34.2%	30.9%	33.7%	
40 and Over	53.7%	52.4%	51.5%	50.7%	52.9%	51.7%	
Gender of Borrower(s)							
All Male	27.3%	22.2%	27.2%	21.6%	27.3%	21.9%	
All Female	24.7%	19.6%	24.2%	18.9%	24.5%	19.3%	
Male and Female	47.9%	58.2%	48.6%	59.6%	48.2%	58.8%	

Table 7b-2000

Loan and Borrower Characteristics of Single-Family

Mortgages Purchased by the GSEs in Non-Metropolitan Areas, 2000

	Fannie	Mae	Freddie	Mac	Total		
Loan and Borrower		a .					
Characteristics	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	
Number of Loans	88,191	130,699	80,425	118,697	168,616	249,39	
Loan Purpose							
Home Purchase	57.5%	63.7%	53.5%	60.1%	55.6%	62.0%	
All Other	42.5%	36.3%	46.5%	39.9%	44.4%	38.0%	
Prior/Current Year Origination							
Prior Year	22.6%	24.9%	32.8%	29.3%	27.5%	27.0%	
Current Year	77.4%	75.1%	67.2%	70.7%	72.5%	73.0%	
Loan-to-Value Ratio							
60% or Less	16.1%	17.6%	17.3%	18.3%	16.7%	18.0%	
61-80%	50.4%	50.7%	50.5%	50.8%	50.5%	50.8%	
81-90%	15.9%	14.9%	15.9%	14.5%	15.9%	14.7%	
91-95%	14.1%	13.3%	13.0%	13.1%	13.6%	13.2%	
Over 95%	3.4%	3.5%	3.3%	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%	
Income of Borrower(s)							
60% of Area Median or Below	9.5%	10.9%	10.4%	11.0%	9.9%	11.0%	
61-100% of Median	23.7%	27.4%	25.4%	27.9%	24.5%	27.6%	
Over 100% of Median	66.8%	61.6%	64.2%	61.1%	65.6%	61.4%	
Below Area Median	33.2%	38.4%	35.8%	38.9%	34.4%	38.6%	
First-Time Home Buyer	12.1%	13.4%	10.0%	12.5%	11.1%	13.0%	
Race/National Origin of Borrower							
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.6%	0.4%	0.8%	0.5%	0.7%	0.5%	
Asian or Pacific Islander	1.2%	0.7%	0.7%	0.6%	1.0%	0.7%	
African American	2.9%	1.1%	2.6%	1.2%	2.7%	1.2%	
Hispanic	4.2%	1.9%	3.4%	1.9%	3.8%	1.9%	
White	90.2%	95.0%	91.7%	95.0%	91.0%	95.0%	
Other	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%	0.7%	0.9%	0.8%	
Age of Borrower							
Under 30	13.6%	14.6%	14.4%	15.4%	14.0%	15.0%	
30-39	27.6%	29.7%	27.3%	29.9%	27.5%	29.8%	
40 and Over	58.7%	55.8%	58.3%	54.7%	58.5%	55.3%	
Gender of Borrower(s)							
All Male	20.8%	21.2%	19.6%	20.1%	20.2%	20.7%	
All Female	15.5%	15.7%	15.0%	15.4%	15.3%	15.6%	
Male and Female	63.7%	63.1%	65.5%	64.5%	64.5%	63.8%	

Table 7c-2000

Loan and Borrower Characteristics of Single-Family Home Purchase Mortgages Purchased by the GSEs in Metropolitan Areas, 2000

	Fannie	Mae	Freddie	Mac	Total		
Loan and Borrower Characteristics	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	
Number of Loans	250,974	817,048	178,872	624,503	429,846	1,441,551	
Prior/Current Year Origination							
Prior Year	29.4%	23.8%	34.7%	29.6%	31.6%	26.3%	
Current Year	70.6%	76.2%	65.3%	70.4%	68.4%	73.7%	
Loan-to-Value Ratio							
60% or Less	9.3%	13.2%	8.4%	13.5%	8.9%	13.3%	
61-80%	45.2%	49.9%	39.9%	48.0%	43.0%	49.1%	
81-90%	17.6%	15.6%	16.1%	14.9%	17.0%	15.3%	
91-95%	20.9%	17.7%	24.2%	19.0%	22.3%	18.3%	
Over 95%	7.0%	3.5%	11.4%	4.7%	8.8%	4.0%	
Income of Borrower(s)							
60% of Area Median or Below	19.3%	9.6%	22.0%	9.9%	20.4%	9.7%	
61-100% of Median	34.1%	27.1%	35.7%	27.5%	34.8%	27.2%	
Over 100% of Median	46.6%	63.3%	42.3%	62.7%	44.8%	63.0%	
Below Area Median	53.4%	36.7%	57.7%	37.3%	55.2%	37.0%	
First-Time Home Buyer	27.4%	22.3%	27.9%	22.6%	27.6%	22.4%	
Race/National Origin of Borrower							
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	
Asian or Pacific Islander	7.8%	4.8%	6.1%	4.2%	7.1%	4.5%	
African American	8.3%	3.0%	8.5%	3.1%	8.3%	3.1%	
Hispanic	16.5%	5.0%	13.9%	4.4%	15.4%	4.7%	
White	65.0%	84.8%	69.6%	86.5%	67.0%	85.6%	
Other	2.1%	2.0%	1.5%	1.4%	1.8%	1.8%	
Age of Borrower							
Under 30	19.8%	17.3%	21.6%	18.2%	20.5%	17.7%	
30-39	33.0%	35.6%	33.2%	36.2%	33.1%	35.8%	
40 and Over	47.2%	47.1%	45.2%	45.6%	46.5%	46.5%	
Gender of Borrower(s)							
All Male	28.5%	22.6%	29.2%	22.5%	28.8%	22.5%	
All Female	24.9%	19.8%	24.7%	19.3%	24.9%	19.6%	
Male and Female	46.5%	57.6%	46.1%	58.2%	46.3%	57.9%	

Table 7d-2000

Loan and Borrower Characteristics of Single-Family Home Purchase Mortgages Purchased by the GSEs in Non-Metropolitan Areas, 2000

	Fannie	Mae	Freddie	Mac	Total		
Loan and Borrower Characteristics	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	
Number of Loans	50,742	83,192	43,061	71,392	93,803	154,584	
Prior/Current Year Origination							
Prior Year	21.4%	23.9%	28.7%	25.0%	24.7%	24.4%	
Current Year	78.6%	76.1%	71.3%	75.0%	75.3%	75.6%	
Loan-to-Value Ratio							
60% or Less	10.7%	13.0%	10.9%	12.6%	10.8%	12.8%	
61-80%	42.8%	45.6%	43.7%	45.9%	43.2%	45.7%	
81-90%	17.2%	16.2%	16.9%	15.6%	17.1%	15.9%	
91-95%	23.5%	20.1%	22.6%	20.4%	23.1%	20.2%	
Over 95%	5.8%	5.1%	5.9%	5.5%	5.8%	5.3%	
Income of Borrower(s)							
60% of Area Median or Below	9.2%	10.4%	9.5%	10.3%	9.3%	10.4%	
61-100% of Median	22.7%	26.5%	24.3%	27.1%	23.4%	26.7%	
Over 100% of Median	68.1%	63.2%	66.3%	62.6%	67.2%	62.9%	
Below Area Median	31.9%	36.8%	33.7%	37.4%	32.8%	37.1%	
First-Time Home Buyer	20.5%	20.6%	18.7%	20.8%	19.7%	20.7%	
Race/National Origin of Borrower							
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.6%	0.4%	0.8%	0.5%	0.7%	0.5%	
Asian or Pacific Islander	1.3%	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%	1.1%	0.9%	
African American	2.7%	1.1%	2.2%	1.1%	2.5%	1.1%	
Hispanic	3.7%	2.2%	3.1%	2.3%	3.4%	2.3%	
White	90.7%	94.4%	92.2%	94.5%	91.4%	94.5%	
Other	1.0%	0.9%	0.9%	0.8%	1.0%	0.8%	
Age of Borrower							
Under 30	16.2%	17.7%	17.5%	18.9%	16.8%	18.2%	
30-39	28.0%	30.7%	27.2%	30.7%	27.6%	30.7%	
40 and Over	55.8%	51.6%	55.3%	50.5%	55.6%	51.1%	
Gender of Borrower(s)							
All Male	21.9%	21.6%	21.1%	21.3%	21.6%	21.4%	
All Female	16.4%	16.5%	16.2%	16.4%	16.3%	16.5%	
Male and Female	61.7%	61.9%	62.6%	62.4%	62.1%	62.1%	

Table 7a-1999

Loan and Borrower Characteristics of Single-Family
Mortgages Purchased by the GSEs in Metropolitan Areas, 1999

	Fannie	Mae	Freddie	Mac	Total		
Loan and Borrower Characteristics	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	
		_					
Number of Loans	486,391	1,748,967	382,331	1,261,970	868,722	3,010,937	
Loan Purpose							
Home Purchase	45.4%	47.1%	40.4%	44.5%	43.2%	46.0%	
All Other	54.6%	52.9%	59.6%	55.5%	56.8%	54.0%	
Prior/Current Year Origination							
Prior Year	30.1%	30.2%	29.1%	27.6%	29.7%	29.1%	
Current Year	69.9%	69.8%	70.9%	72.4%	70.3%	70.9%	
Loan-to-Value Ratio							
60% or Less	15.9%	19.8%	17.1%	21.1%	16.5%	20.3%	
61-80%	52.6%	53.6%	53.7%	54.0%	53.1%	53.7%	
81-90%	15.2%	13.5%	15.0%	13.1%	15.1%	13.3%	
91-95%	12.2%	10.8%	10.8%	10.0%	11.6%	10.4%	
Over 95%	4.0%	2.3%	3.4%	1.9%	3.7%	2.1%	
Income of Borrower(s)							
60% of Area Median or Below	19.0%	8.8%	19.3%	9.2%	19.2%	9.0%	
61-100% of Median	35.2%	26.4%	35.2%	27.4%	35.2%	26.8%	
Over 100% of Median	45.7%	64.7%	45.5%	63.4%	45.6%	64.2%	
Below Area Median	54.3%	35.3%	54.5%	36.6%	54.4%	35.8%	
First-Time Home Buyer	14.1%	11.2%	9.4%	9.3%	12.0%	10.4%	
Race/National Origin of Borrower							
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	
Asian or Pacific Islander	7.1%	3.6%	6.0%	3.5%	6.6%	3.6%	
African American	7.8%	2.3%	8.1%	2.3%	7.9%	2.3%	
Hispanic	13.3%	3.4%	12.8%	3.4%	13.1%	3.4%	
White	68.8%	87.9%	71.3%	89.3%	69.9%	88.5%	
Other	2.6%	2.3%	1.4%	1.2%	2.0%	1.9%	
Age of Borrower							
Under 30	12.9%	11.2%	11.6%	10.5%	12.4%	10.9%	
30-39	30.8%	33.2%	30.4%	32.2%	30.6%	32.8%	
40 and Over	56.4%	55.6%	58.0%	57.3%	57.0%	56.2%	
Gender of Borrower(s)							
All Male	24.5%	18.8%	22.0%	17.6%	23.4%	18.3%	
All Female	22.1%	16.7%	21.7%	17.3%	21.9%	16.9%	
Male and Female	53.4%	64.5%	56.3%	65.1%	54.6%	64.8%	

Table 7b-1999

Loan and Borrower Characteristics of Single-Family

Mortgages Purchased by the GSEs in Non-Metropolitan Areas, 1999

	Fannie	Mae	Freddie	Mac	Total		
Loan and Borrower	4						
Characteristics	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	Served	Underserved	
Number of Loans	127,905	197,533	119,287	184,143	247,192	381,676	
Loan Purpose							
Home Purchase	40.5%	41.2%	39.2%	39.7%	39.9%	40.5%	
All Other	59.5%	58.8%	60.8%	60.3%	60.1%	59.5%	
Prior/Current Year Origination							
Prior Year	26.8%	27.2%	26.7%	25.6%	26.8%	26.4%	
Current Year	73.2%	72.8%	73.3%	74.4%	73.2%	73.6%	
Loan-to-Value Ratio							
60% or Less	18.3%	19.9%	19.4%	21.1%	18.8%	20.5%	
61-80%	53.8%	54.0%	55.0%	55.2%	54.4%	54.6%	
81-90%	14.6%	13.1%	13.8%	12.3%	14.2%	12.7%	
91-95%	10.7%	10.2%	9.6%	9.1%	10.2%	9.7%	
Over 95%	2.7%	2.7%	2.2%	2.2%	2.5%	2.5%	
Income of Borrower(s)							
60% of Area Median or Below	8.0%	9.2%	8.5%	9.6%	8.2%	9.4%	
61-100% of Median	22.8%	26.5%	24.4%	27.1%	23.6%	26.8%	
Over 100% of Median	69.2%	64.3%	67.0%	63.2%	68.2%	63.8%	
Below Area Median	30.8%	35.7%	33.0%	36.8%	31.8%	36.2%	
First-Time Home Buyer	7.8%	8.5%	6.5%	7.9%	7.2%	8.2%	
Race/National Origin of Borrower							
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.7%	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%	0.6%	0.3%	
Asian or Pacific Islander	1.4%	0.6%	0.7%	0.6%	1.1%	0.6%	
African American	2.7%	1.0%	2.3%	0.9%	2.5%	0.9%	
Hispanic	3.1%	1.3%	2.8%	1.3%	3.0%	1.3%	
White	90.9%	95.6%	93.1%	96.4%	92.0%	96.0%	
Other	1.1%	1.2%	0.6%	0.6%	0.9%	0.9%	
Age of Borrower							
Under 30	11.8%	14.5%	11.7%	11.8%	11.7%	13.1%	
30-39	28.3%	14.5%	27.7%	29.9%	28.0%	22.4%	
40 and Over	59.9%	71.0%	60.7%	58.3%	60.3%	64.4%	
Gender of Borrower(s)							
All Male	18.2%	18.2%	16.7%	16.5%	17.5%	17.4%	
All Female	12.6%	13.2%	12.9%	13.4%	12.8%	13.3%	
Male and Female	69.2%	68.5%	70.4%	70.0%	69.8%	69.3%	

Table 7c-1999

Loan and Borrower Characteristics of Single-Family Home Purchase Mortgages Purchased by the GSEs in Metropolitan Areas, 1999

	Fannie	Mae	Freddie	Mac	Total		
Loan and Borrower Characteristics	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	
Number of Loans	220,904	824,418	154,383	561,556	375,287	1,385,974	
Prior/Current Year Origination							
Prior Year	26.7%	23.3%	28.5%	22.7%	27.4%	23.0%	
Current Year	73.3%	76.7%	71.5%	77.3%	72.6%	77.0%	
Loan-to-Value Ratio							
60% or Less	7.9%	11.5%	9.1%	13.0%	8.4%	12.1%	
61-80%	41.6%	46.9%	40.2%	45.7%	41.0%	46.4%	
81-90%	17.6%	16.4%	17.2%	15.8%	17.5%	16.1%	
91-95%	25.9%	21.6%	24.9%	21.2%	25.5%	21.4%	
Over 95%	7.0%	3.6%	8.5%	4.2%	7.6%	3.9%	
Income of Borrower(s)							
60% of Area Median or Below	20.2%	9.4%	18.7%	9.1%	19.6%	9.3%	
61-100% of Median	35.2%	27.2%	34.6%	27.6%	35.0%	27.4%	
Over 100% of Median	44.5%	63.4%	46.6%	63.3%	45.3%	63.4%	
Below Area Median	55.5%	36.6%	53.4%	36.7%	54.7%	36.6%	
First-Time Home Buyer	30.9%	23.7%	23.3%	20.8%	27.8%	22.5%	
Race/National Origin of Borrower							
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	
Asian or Pacific Islander	7.8%	4.1%	6.3%	3.8%	7.2%	4.0%	
African American	7.4%	2.7%	6.7%	2.6%	7.1%	2.7%	
Hispanic	13.4%	4.1%	11.4%	3.8%	12.6%	4.0%	
White	68.6%	86.3%	73.9%	88.3%	70.8%	87.1%	
Other	2.4%	2.3%	1.2%	1.1%	1.9%	1.8%	
Age of Borrower							
Under 30	20.3%	17.6%	19.2%	17.2%	19.9%	17.4%	
30-39	33.2%	36.6%	33.2%	36.0%	33.2%	36.4%	
40 and Over	46.5%	45.8%	47.6%	46.9%	46.9%	46.2%	
Gender of Borrower(s)							
All Male	27.0%	20.6%	25.8%	20.3%	26.6%	20.5%	
All Female	23.4%	18.1%	22.4%	18.1%	23.0%	18.1%	
Male and Female	49.6%	61.3%	51.7%	61.7%	50.4%	61.5%	

Table 7d-1999

Loan and Borrower Characteristics of Single-Family Home Purchase Mortgages Purchased by the GSEs in Non-Metropolitan Areas, 1999

	Fannie	Mae	Freddie	Mac	Total		
Loan and Borrower Characteristics	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	Underserved	Served	
Number of Loans	51,782	81,358	46,740	73,129	98,522	154,487	
Prior/Current Year Origination							
Prior Year	23.6%	20.7%	27.0%	23.2%	25.2%	21.9%	
Current Year	76.4%	79.3%	73.0%	76.8%	74.8%	78.1%	
Loan-to-Value Ratio							
60% or Less	8.9%	10.4%	10.5%	12.2%	9.6%	11.3%	
61-80%	40.3%	44.0%	42.0%	43.4%	41.1%	43.7%	
81-90%	20.4%	18.0%	19.0%	17.0%	19.7%	17.5%	
91-95%	25.5%	22.9%	23.1%	21.7%	24.4%	22.4%	
Over 95%	4.9%	4.7%	5.5%	5.6%	5.2%	5.2%	
Income of Borrower(s)							
60% of Area Median or Below	9.8%	10.1%	9.0%	10.1%	9.4%	10.1%	
61-100% of Median	24.0%	26.9%	25.1%	27.3%	24.5%	27.0%	
Over 100% of Median	66.2%	63.0%	65.8%	62.6%	66.0%	62.8%	
Below Area Median	33.8%	37.0%	34.2%	37.4%	34.0%	37.2%	
First-Time Home Buyer	19.2%	20.6%	16.6%	19.8%	18.0%	20.2%	
Race/National Origin of Borrower							
American Indian or Alaskan Native	0.8%	0.4%	0.7%	0.3%	0.8%	0.4%	
Asian or Pacific Islander	1.3%	0.8%	0.7%	0.8%	1.0%	0.8%	
African American	3.8%	1.2%	2.7%	1.0%	3.3%	1.1%	
Hispanic	3.0%	1.6%	2.7%	1.7%	2.9%	1.7%	
White	90.0%	94.8%	92.5%	95.5%	91.2%	95.2%	
Other	1.1%	1.2%	0.6%	0.6%	0.9%	0.9%	
Age of Borrower							
Under 30	17.5%	18.3%	17.2%	18.8%	17.4%	18.5%	
30-39	28.6%	31.3%	28.3%	31.2%	28.4%	31.3%	
40 and Over	53.9%	50.4%	54.5%	50.0%	54.2%	50.2%	
Gender of Borrower(s)							
All Male	20.3%	20.2%	20.4%	19.8%	20.3%	20.0%	
All Female	14.7%	15.0%	14.9%	15.3%	14.8%	15.1%	
Male and Female	65.0%	64.8%	64.7%	65.0%	64.9%	64.9%	

Table 8-2000 GSEs' Goal Qualifying Loans by Metropolitan Area, 2000

			Fannie M					Freddie N		
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent
ABILENE, TX	402	0	29.4 %	16.8 %	6.2 %	234	1	30.3 %	27.1 %	13.7 %
AKRON, OH	7,597	0	50.8	28.2	15.2	3,618	6	57.3	19.3	15.3
ALBANY, GA	502	0	24.5	16.1	6.9	341	0	29.9	25.4	13.4
ALBANY-SCHENECTADY-TROY, NY	4,673	1	34.4	17.2	10.4	1,697	0	47.2	25.0	22.2
ALBUQUERQUE, NM	4,664	2	48.4	48.4	19.5	3,852	10	60.2	37.6	14.4
ALEXANDRIA, LA	664	1	28.7	13.5	14.8	122	0	28.4	17.2	10.3
ALLENTOWN-BETHLEHEM-EASTON, PA	3,482	2	48.7	18.7	11.9	3,963	2	42.5	22.9	19.6
ALTOONA, PA	279	1	41.4	16.5	7.0	437	0	35.6	24.7	11.3
AMARILLO, TX	1,169	0	32.2	16.2	10.9	605	0	26.9	15.4	8.5
ANCHORAGE, AK	947	0	37.5	22.3	12.7	911	0	37.3	16.9	11.9
ANN ARBOR, MI	7,012	3	55.6	17.7	19.7	4,916	3	57.6	17.0	33.6
ANNISTON, AL	437	0	40.3	8.3	12.9	363	0	58.2	10.3	23.5
APPLETON-OSHKOSH-NEENAH, WI	2,905	0	48.6	15.2	13.1	2,123	0	55.1	18.4	21.5
ASHEVILLE, NC	1,460	0	43.0	20.8	15.0	1,563	1	49.3	15.0	12.4
ATHENS, GA	1,108	0	39.7	24.1	13.5	772	0	41.5	22.1	13.1
ATLANTA, GA	42,513	65	62.0	31.7	29.4	37,347	42	60.5	29.0	27.4
ATLANTIC-CAPE MAY, NJ	3,497	0	44.6	27.0	16.4	3,235	0	45.5	25.0	15.8
AUBURN-OPELIKA, AL	712	0	38.6	19.2	13.3	400	1	59.9	18.9	18.4
AUGUSTA-AIKEN, GA-SC	3,242	1	37.5	25.1	10.3	2,292	1	49.9	29.7	21.5
AUSTIN-SAN MARCOS, TX	10,303	30	62.4	28.1	32.6	10,715	12	41.3	25.7	19.0
BAKERSFIELD, CA	3,842	4	47.6	27.8	10.1	1,916	0	38.2	25.9	15.1
BALTIMORE, MD	13,283	22	59.5	30.3	35.3	14,948	17	56.8	29.9	33.7
BANGOR, ME	382	0	36.6	11.0	10.8	227	0	36.0	8.6	9.0
BARNSTABLE-YARMOUTH, MA	1,706	0	40.6	39.3	10.3	1,142	0	36.1	32.5	9.3
BATON ROUGE, LA	4,009	7	52.8	29.9	18.2	1,643	3	48.5	37.0	13.4
BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHUR, TX	939	3	57.6	26.1	6.5	1,205	2	38.4	19.8	14.3
BELLINGHAM, WA	1,516	3	57.2	34.2	15.9	1,402	1	48.6	25.7	13.7
BENTON HARBOR, MI	1,030	0	49.0	13.6	21.8	983	1	50.0	17.1	26.0
BERGEN-PASSAIC, NJ	7,258	1	48.8	31.5	16.4	5,684	6	56.6	37.9	21.3
BILLINGS, MT	729	1	61.6	12.5	39.8	507	0	44.1	15.1	18.2
BILOXI-GULFPORT-PASCAGOULA, MS	1,878	3	49.3	23.0	8.1	701	2	48.4	28.2	15.3
BINGHAMTON, NY	558	0	40.9	23.9	10.7	507	0	43.8	23.1	20.0
BIRMINGHAM, AL	5,885	5	48.1	15.4	23.8	5,048	5	58.8	16.8	13.3
BISMARCK, ND	348	3	59.0	15.2	45.3	277	0	41.8	22.6	16.0
BLOOMINGTON, IN	960	0	41.5	20.5	9.3	440	2	68.8	40.3	48.2
BLOOMINGTON-NORMAL, IL	749	0	58.8	30.8	24.6	1,464	3	56.9	29.7	27.3
BOISE CITY, ID	4,594	0	43.7	25.3	15.4	3,152	1	45.6	23.7	17.7

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.

			Fannie N	Лае				Freddie 1	Mac	
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent
BOSTON, MA-NH	28,963	23	51.8	37.4	17.3	14,648	11	50.7	37.0	18.9
BOULDER-LONGMONT, CO	4,249	3	61.4	22.7	30.6	2,959	3	61.2	26.0	23.9
BRAZORIA, TX	1,399	5	47.3	24.2	33.0	1,301	1	30.4	26.1	11.6
BREMERTON, WA	2,215	0	38.4	17.8	8.5	1,100	0	41.8	15.0	14.0
BRIDGEPORT, CT	3,397	0	55.6	25.4	21.6	2,542	1	50.2	25.9	20.2
BROCKTON, MA	2,081	1	54.3	28.3	15.3	1,130	0	50.1	17.9	18.7
BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO, TX	733	1	41.0	63.8	3.9	720	0	16.3	56.3	4.0
BRYAN-COLLEGE STATION, TX	592	1	50.3	12.1	7.1	673	4	39.6	17.5	15.2
BUFFALO-NIAGARA FALLS, NY	6,430	0	36.5	12.3	9.1	3,309	0	43.1	16.7	17.3
BURLINGTON, VT	1,189	1	41.1	21.5	14.1	1,210	0	46.3	21.5	16.1
CANTON-MASSILLON, OH	4,493	2	54.9	18.5	15.9	1,577	1	57.5	19.6	27.9
CASPER, WY	342	0	44.8	22.2	20.1	250	0	43.0	23.8	20.7
CEDAR RAPIDS, IA	1,710	1	45.8	16.7	21.9	1,477	0	52.6	15.1	20.8
CHAMPAIGN-URBANA, IL	664	0	45.9	15.3	18.1	1,162	0	52.0	14.6	20.1
CHARLESTON-NORTH CHARLESTON, SC	4.144	2	41.4	22.5	8.7	4,351	4	37.9	32.7	14.4
CHARLESTON, WV	997	0	37.4	25.9	13.7	692	3	35.3	22.8	14.5
CHARLOTTE-GASTONIA-ROCK HILL, NC-SC	11,842	4	50.1	24.1	15.4	15,116	6	50.0	21.1	20.4
CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA	916	0	46.7	22.6	17.2	1,652	1	49.5	23.1	21.2
CHATTANOOGA, TN-GA	3.172	2	45.2	19.3	14.6	1,949	1	54.0	20.4	19.1
CHEYENNE, WY	556	0	42.4	21.3	17.4	355	0	37.6	19.4	12.0
CHICAGO, IL	66,713	26	55.1	27.1	20.7	57,419	18	53.5	22.1	20.6
CHICO-PARADISE, CA	1,888	0	37.4	31.6	7.6	1,125	0	34.5	30.9	11.3
CINCINNATI, OH-KY-IN	16,839	6	47.7	26.1	15.0	12,029	4	52.4	21.8	23.5
CLARKSVILLE-HOPKINSVILLE, TN-KY	559	0	33.4	12.4	8.3	627	0	46.5	16.1	17.3
CLEVELAND-LORAIN-ELYRIA, OH	21,913	4	54.5	25.2	18.8	9,459	5	57.5	26.5	29.8
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO	4,820	4	49.2	25.5	17.5	4,024	9	51.8	27.2	14.4
COLUMBIA, MO	666	0	48.6	14.8	17.2	1,266	0	54.1	17.5	23.2
COLUMBIA, SC	3,297	3	50.1	26.9	13.7	4,606	0	47.3	27.0	18.9
COLUMBUS, GA-AL	1,287	1	61.7	9.5	6.1	1,197	0	46.4	22.8	16.0
COLUMBUS, OH	11,687	9	55.8	23.2	32.4	9,324	25	61.3	26.9	33.0
CORPUS CHRISTI, TX	1,266	4	59.8	12.8	2.8	1,121	1	27.7	42.7	7.4
CORVALLIS, OR	650	1	36.5	36.1	9.3	401	2	58.2	54.0	11.3
CUMBERLAND, MD-WV	279	0	33.5	11.5	10.6	197	0	38.5	10.5	15.5
DALLAS, TX	23,198	68	60.8	25.6	35.5	21,390	50	46.7	24.4	25.8
DANBURY, CT	2,066	0	63.8	28.5	25.8	1,350	1	58.2	26.4	28.8
DANVILLE, VA	289	0	32.3	29.4	12.4	231	0	37.5	20.7	12.0
DAVENPORT-MOLINE-ROCK ISLAND, IA-IL	1,712	3	52.9	25.3	29.0	2,160	6	52.8	24.4	24.6
DAYTON-SPRINGFIELD, OH	6,510	3	55.6	20.1	19.4	5,993	9	60.3	22.6	33.1
DAYTONA BEACH, FL	5,129	3	33.6 48.7	20.1 11.8	13.0	3,993 4,374	0	45.8	12.7	16.8
DECATUR, AL	5,129 842	0	48.7	24.5	17.7	502	0	45.8 47.6	23.8	20.1
*	842 414	0	42.3		20.6	473	0	53.7		20.1 26.1
DECATUR, IL DENVER, CO		40		21.8 37.4	32.4		11		28.8 29.4	20.9
	28,815		61.4			20,448		52.0		
DES MOINES, IA	3,009	3	56.3	16.4	19.8	3,474	4	59.4	18.1	30.0
DETROIT, MI	47,259	27	55.7	21.1	25.4	35,961	12	51.5	22.1	22.3
DOTHAN, AL	469	0	43.0	13.4	12.0	370	0	40.9	12.3	11.6

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.

			Fannie N	Лае				Freddie 1	Mac	
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent
DOVER, DE	560	0	36.1	19.8	9.6	549	0	46.8	27.8	17.0
DUBUQUE, IA	516	0	58.9	19.3	25.7	353	0	63.7	22.7	26.8
DULUTH-SUPERIOR, MN-WI	1,148	0	47.4	19.9	18.2	1,157	1	52.8	19.5	20.0
DUTCHESS COUNTY, NY	2,150	1	44.7	25.0	11.3	1,273	1	62.2	54.8	7.9
EAU CLAIRE, WI	421	0	44.8	25.7	14.1	1,031	0	45.1	21.1	15.8
EL PASO, TX	1,756	8	44.3	51.9	15.9	1,661	2	50.3	39.0	11.0
ELKHART-GOSHEN, IN	898	0	61.9	15.9	29.3	1,472	0	55.8	9.9	20.7
ELMIRA. NY	195	1	35.2	8.9	7.8	265	0	36.2	15.2	11.5
ENID, OK	164	0	13.6	8.8	4.8	174	0	36.1	15.1	13.4
ERIE, PA	654	0	45.0	20.7	17.0	1,021	0	41.1	20.5	16.0
EUGENE-SPRINGFIELD, OR	3,027	3	40.6	38.6	9.3	2,082	4	51.8	24.6	8.0
EVANSVILLE-HENDERSON, IN-KY	1,948	0	45.5	19.3	14.1	1,860	1	48.0	15.3	18.9
FARGO-MOORHEAD, ND-MN	589	0	41.1	22.6	14.7	682	1	49.3	18.7	14.2
FAYETTEVILLE, NC	724	0	35.3	47.7	12.4	1,352	0	28.9	49.7	7.7
FAYETTEVILLE-SPRINGDALE-ROGERS, AR	2,792	0	36.2	17.0	9.8	1,232	0	36.6	17.8	13.4
FITCHBURG-LEOMINSTER, MA	865	1	53.1	19.1	28.0	584	0	49.5	15.0	20.6
FLAGSTAFF, AZ-UT	1,096	0	39.6	20.6	11.5	902	1	42.2	19.2	9.0
FLINT, MI	4,139	2	49.5	17.8	24.2	1,991	1	47.7	13.4	20.3
FLORENCE, AL	700	0	39.4	15.6	12.3	438	0	61.2	17.9	22.6
FLORENCE, SC	759	0	33.8	26.1	8.3	600	0	32.2	27.3	10.3
FORT COLLINS-LOVELAND, CO	3,759	0	42.8	29.5	14.2	2,479	2	48.3	29.8	16.3
FORT LAUDERDALE, FL	19,302	8	49.8	20.5	15.1	13,873	10	52.9	22.0	21.1
FORT MYERS-CAPE CORAL, FL	6,250	4	55.5	18.6	12.9	5,102	3	50.8	18.4	17.1
FORT PIERCE-PORT ST. LUCIE, FL	2,740	0	45.4	9.0	17.1	2,419	3	55.2	21.6	28.2
FORT SMITH, AR-OK	897	0	29.0	16.2	6.7	507	0	25.6	23.0	6.0
FORT WALTON BEACH, FL	1,239	1	46.0	19.1	25.2	892	0	38.9	21.3	15.8
FORT WAYNE, IN	2,602	3	66.4	25.6	42.7	3,977	3	66.2	10.2	30.1
FORT WORTH-ARLINGTON, TX	10,339	32	66.1	29.6	44.4	8,943	18	54.2	27.1	26.1
FRESNO, CA	5,813	6	44.6	43.2	7.5	2,857	4	41.5	40.2	12.3
GADSDEN, AL	241	0	34.1	14.9	10.6	475	0	36.9	16.0	13.5
GAINESVILLE, FL	1,279	1	45.2	15.0	10.9	1,076	1	35.1	20.1	13.6
GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY, TX	1,868	0	31.1	20.3	10.7	1,503	1	31.1	19.3	11.6
GARY. IN	3,126	0	49.4	12.4	20.3	3,198	1	50.0	16.7	21.6
GLENS FALLS, NY	948	0	35.3	21.4	8.8	186	0	40.2	17.8	11.2
GOLDSBORO, NC	214	0	37.0	24.0	11.9	401	0	34.5	23.8	8.1
GRAND FORKS, ND-MN	226	0	35.2	17.2	11.4	187	1	67.4	8.8	55.4
GRAND JUNCTION, CO	1,295	0	39.6	37.3	11.4	1,144	0	42.2	36.6	11.0
GRAND RAPIDS-MUSKEGON-HOLLAND, MI	10,094	9	54.1	21.1	24.7	10,107	7	62.2	14.0	38.0
GREAT FALLS, MT	283	0	38.2	17.5	15.5	319	0	35.4	16.3	12.5
GREELEY, CO	2,613	0	31.9	22.7	9.5	1,636	1	29.9	22.9	8.8
GREEN BAY, WI	1,588	0	50.7	16.7	19.1	1,703	0	55.0	18.9	22.6
GREENSBOROWINSTON-SALEMHIGH POINT, NC	5,901	1	43.9	22.4	15.5	8,715	5	48.7	18.5	18.1
GREENVILLE, NC	604	1	39.5	22.1	10.5	780	0	33.0	23.0	9.8
GREENVILLE-SPARTANBURG-ANDERSON, SC	5,013	1	45.5	18.9	17.6	7,486	2	46.0	17.3	15.2
HAGERSTOWN, MD	453	1	69.8	54.2	54.7	553	0	43.7	15.9	17.8
	733	1	07.0	51.2	51.7	333	V	13.7	10.7	17.0

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.

			Fannie N	Лае				Freddie I	Mac	
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent
HAMILTON-MIDDLETOWN, OH	3,107	2	51.4	20.6	22.9	2,505	1	49.6	21.4	23.4
HARRISBURG-LEBANON-CARLISLE, PA	3,093	0	47.4	20.9	15.3	2,680	2	47.3	19.9	16.7
HARTFORD, CT	6,946	8	62.2	23.5	22.6	5,947	15	54.5	25.1	25.0
HATTIESBURG, MS	730	0	31.3	13.2	7.7	232	0	28.3	15.6	7.4
HICKORY-MORGANTON-LENOIR, NC	1,559	0	46.2	12.5	14.2	2,555	1	45.2	10.0	14.3
HONOLULU, HI	3,965	0	43.6	64.8	16.2	1,669	0	43.2	67.2	16.3
HOUMA, LA	884	3	39.4	42.0	20.4	181	1	37.0	32.4	19.2
HOUSTON, TX	27,510	64	56.8	33.7	32.1	22,365	46	52.6	37.3	29.4
HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, WV-KY-OH	978	0	35.0	25.7	10.8	956	0	31.3	22.5	8.1
HUNTSVILLE, AL	2,843	1	44.6	36.1	13.3	1,724	0	56.0	29.4	24.1
INDIANAPOLIS, IN	13,226	8	57.9	26.9	34.0	8,777	6	50.5	18.0	22.9
IOWA CITY, IA	734	1	39.1	21.6	11.7	679	0	48.6	25.3	14.8
JACKSON, MI	1,145	1	53.2	12.3	24.6	1,330	0	44.1	13.5	15.0
JACKSON, MS	2,658	2	54.2	19.6	21.4	1,005	2	43.4	20.7	14.8
JACKSON, TN	505	0	31.6	17.3	7.2	447	0	39.6	24.1	12.2
JACKSONVILLE, FL	8,157	9	56.1	20.3	21.6	7,373	18	53.7	16.4	18.6
JACKSONVILLE, NC	395	ó	29.6	21.1	6.2	534	0	28.7	17.2	5.6
JAMESTOWN, NY	283	0	40.0	9.6	13.4	301	0	39.1	12.1	10.4
JANESVILLE-BELOIT, WI	957	0	61.6	21.5	24.5	893	1	58.7	23.5	23.6
JERSEY CITY, NJ	2,669	4	36.7	69.2	10.1	1,866	4	45.7	63.4	8.7
JOHNSON CITY-KINGSPORT-BRISTOL, TN-VA	1,621	1	43.2	26.8	17.7	1,277	0	43.7	30.8	17.4
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	366	0	29.9	26.8 17.6	9.1	318	0	32.5	15.9	8.3
JOHNSTOWN, PA JONESBORO, AR	344	0	37.5	15.5	9.1	166	0	31.4	10.9	9.6
	824	0		13.3			0		12.8	9.6 15.7
JOPLIN, MO		0	44.3		16.3	1,593		42.0		
KALAMAZOO-BATTLE CREEK, MI	3,109	0	49.3	26.6	19.0	3,903	3	40.2	19.0	15.3
KANKAKEE, IL	262	-	51.3	13.9	17.4	548		46.0	10.1	17.9
KANSAS CITY, MO-KS	12,730	11	56.9	21.2	30.2	11,493	16	57.7	23.4	30.4
KENOSHA, WI	1,353	1	56.2	14.0	16.6	731	1	43.7	11.3	17.1
KILLEEN-TEMPLE, TX	666	1	39.3	20.9	11.6	471	0	32.1	28.2	11.9
KNOXVILLE, TN	4,195	3	45.6	18.9	22.4	2,554	2	46.9	20.6	16.7
KOKOMO, IN	687	0	57.2	29.6	22.8	764	1	63.9	22.5	20.4
LA CROSSE, WI-MN	582	0	50.7	17.1	19.0	584	0	48.1	15.5	14.6
LAFAYETTE, LA	1,177	5	44.7	22.6	19.0	548	1	27.8	22.4	9.0
LAFAYETTE, IN	1,240	0	50.6	11.7	20.8	632	2	77.9	7.7	54.9
LAKE CHARLES, LA	807	1	38.5	27.0	17.6	367	0	34.4	22.5	12.5
LAKELAND-WINTER HAVEN, FL	2,405	0	44.9	15.1	15.5	2,826	0	42.4	23.7	17.3
LANCASTER, PA	2,376	1	50.1	10.6	13.2	2,533	0	48.1	10.7	16.2
LANSING-EAST LANSING, MI	4,531	3	44.8	27.3	20.1	2,937	2	54.9	27.7	28.7
LAREDO, TX	279	0	20.7	55.2	2.8	227	2	26.1	42.8	4.1
LAS CRUCES, NM	1,079	0	24.0	63.9	3.6	476	1	33.3	66.9	7.6
LAS VEGAS, NV-AZ	16,234	16	56.1	13.4	12.9	11,955	25	53.6	15.4	16.3
LAWRENCE, KS	800	0	47.8	33.0	17.1	564	0	45.2	27.3	16.5
LAWRENCE, MA-NH	3,740	1	40.4	18.0	14.8	2,375	0	48.4	25.3	20.5
LAWTON, OK	256	0	36.2	38.0	12.3	205	0	27.1	29.8	6.9
LEWISTON-AUBURN, ME	235	0	37.3	10.2	8.2	283	0	31.6	16.4	9.9

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.

			Fannie N	Лае		ble Number of Properties Low-Mod Geo-Tars				. 1 6 :146 111	
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	
LEXINGTON, KY	2,794	3	55.5	27.1	29.2	3,557	3	51.9	24.1	24.5	
LIMA. OH	768	2	61.0	22.3	31.9	785	0	55.6	14.8	26.3	
LINCOLN, NE	2,437	2	55.1	13.9	33.0	992	1	56.5	27.4	17.1	
LITTLE ROCK-NORTH LITTLE ROCK, AR	3,196	4	49.0	15.7	20.3	2,494	2	43.7	13.1	20.5	
LONGVIEW-MARSHALL, TX	698	0	27.9	18.2	6.7	292	1	41.2	27.7	22.6	
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH, CA	63,729	53	38.5	52.2	9.3	24,995	25	40.8	46.3	14.8	
LOUISVILLE, KY-IN	6,077	4	57.4	20.5	33.2	8,347	0	46.2	18.2	19.8	
LOWELL, MA-NH	2,828	2	51.9	23.4	16.3	1,883	0	50.2	19.4	20.3	
LUBBOCK, TX	1,006	3	49.0	35.0	23.2	806	0	29.5	15.1	10.4	
LYNCHBURG, VA	979	0	43.3	11.5	16.2	1,268	0	41.6	13.6	14.0	
MACON, GA	1,877	1	40.0	14.6	11.2	1,414	0	40.5	23.2	16.5	
MADISON, WI	3,041	3	55.0	22.0	22.3	2,858	2	63.8	20.9	35.4	
MANCHESTER, NH	1,769	1	41.4	22.6	13.6	1,226	2	55.3	39.7	36.9	
MANSFIELD, OH	736	0	55.8	28.1	25.5	588	0	54.9	26.2	22.8	
MCALLEN-EDINBURG-MISSION, TX	834	0	14.2	44.8	2.3	1,147	0	16.3	53.1	6.0	
MEDFORD-ASHLAND, OR	2,090	0	31.7	29.9	6.6	1,136	0	37.4	32.3	10.9	
MELBOURNE-TITUSVILLE-PALM BAY, FL	4,446	2	56.8	21.2	18.2	3,837	6	58.4	31.1	28.8	
MEMPHIS, TN-AR-MS	6,219	5	45.6	21.7	15.3	3,857	4	46.9	19.3	27.6	
MERCED, CA	1,175	0	34.7	68.9	6.3	776	0	31.5	70.0	9.9	
MIAMI, FL	17,009	16	39.0	40.1	9.1	11,932	10	40.5	44.9	11.0	
MIDDLESEX-SOMERSET-HUNTERDON, NJ	8,112	4	62.0	27.7	30.9	8,629	6	63.5	33.5	34.2	
MILWAUKEE-WAUKESHA, WI	9,671	2	53.0	17.4	23.0	6,641	6	57.3	18.2	24.8	
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL, MN-WI	23,491	17	64.8	29.2	31.4	29,266	13	58.2	22.9	23.0	
MISSOULA, MT	23,491 846	0	36.3	26.6	13.1	402	0	41.3	24.9	15.8	
MOBILE, AL	3,431	3	54.6	6.6	29.8		0	41.3	24.9 11.7	15.4	
MODESTO, CA	3,431	3	40.3	33.7	29.8 7.2	2,640 2,518	2	45.0 38.0	29.8	10.1	
	- ,	1									
MONMOUTH-OCEAN, NJ	9,382	4	48.3	34.0	20.5	9,184	12	54.6	39.4	20.3	
MONROE, LA	888	0	27.8	10.2	9.6	167	0	32.3	14.2	16.8	
MONTGOMERY, AL	1,988	3	59.2	15.4	40.1	684	0	48.9	24.4	16.8	
MUNCIE, IN	435	1	69.9	22.1	22.8	506	0	60.2	26.8	30.6	
MYRTLE BEACH, SC	3,105	1	43.8	27.6	9.3	2,578	0	39.7	31.8	11.6	
NAPLES, FL	3,336	2	55.4	29.5	13.3	3,739	1	55.2	20.3	20.0	
NASHUA, NH	1,932	0	50.2	24.4	17.4	1,565	1	49.0	28.6	15.2	
NASHVILLE, TN	8,791	11	64.2	24.2	38.3	7,533	10	61.6	22.6	33.7	
NASSAU-SUFFOLK, NY	18,312	2	51.1	34.4	15.2	11,331	8	54.4	32.2	17.4	
NEW BEDFORD, MA	922	2	57.3	25.8	12.1	398	0	44.1	31.5	10.4	
NEW HAVEN-MERIDEN, CT	3,877	0	47.8	25.1	18.2	2,544	1	47.0	25.9	19.8	
NEW LONDON-NORWICH, CT-RI	1,771	2	58.0	31.8	29.4	1,378	1	44.9	22.3	19.9	
NEW ORLEANS, LA	7,422	12	52.7	42.8	31.3	2,646	4	48.5	37.4	24.4	
NEW YORK, NY	34,737	41	45.1	31.0	11.7	15,044	77	48.9	38.7	19.7	
NEWARK, NJ	10,304	9	48.6	28.1	20.8	10,792	19	60.1	22.8	24.0	
NEWBURGH, NY-PA	3,129	0	36.3	23.5	9.8	1,937	2	43.7	18.3	9.3	
NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-NEWPORT NEWS, VA-NC	,	5	47.7	33.2	15.5	8,530	5	47.1	35.4	23.9	
OAKLAND, CA	26,185	16	46.5	55.3	13.3	12,650	4	47.0	52.7	19.8	
OCALA, FL	2,128	1	39.2	31.5	13.9	1,508	0	44.3	20.7	16.3	

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.

			Fannie N	Лае				Freddie I	Mac	
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent
ODESSA-MIDLAND, TX	646	0	23.2	9.1	4.8	659	1	24.9	20.3	7.9
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK	7,531	11	52.2	25.6	36.2	4,590	1	49.8	15.4	32.3
OLYMPIA, WA	2,091	0	39.8	33.2	7.2	951	2	50.6	48.8	10.3
OMAHA, NE-IA	7,295	2	38.7	16.3	18.7	3,986	4	55.9	19.7	26.7
ORANGE COUNTY, CA	26,088	30	52.5	41.8	18.9	12,418	20	52.2	28.8	15.2
ORLANDO, FL	17,507	16	53.6	27.7	18.2	12,155	20	55.0	27.3	17.8
OWENSBORO, KY	291	0	49.1	23.0	13.9	859	0	45.8	19.0	16.0
PANAMA CITY, FL	1,326	2	41.3	12.5	17.2	618	0	41.2	16.5	14.0
PARKERSBURG-MARIETTA, WV-OH	372	0	45.1	29.9	23.5	411	0	42.5	21.6	15.3
PENSACOLA, FL	2,649	3	50.1	13.8	9.9	2,162	0	45.6	24.3	19.1
PEORIA-PEKIN, IL	1,983	2	63.1	22.5	24.9	1,828	0	49.9	18.1	20.6
PHILADELPHIA, PA-NJ	29,408	12	44.5	16.1	17.5	26,961	60	49.2	22.9	24.4
PHOENIX-MESA, AZ	30,830	55	57.1	36.2	26.4	29,181	30	52.2	30.8	20.3
PINE BLUFF, AR	212	0	24.5	20.8	8.7	180	0	26.2	23.1	9.5
PITTSBURGH, PA	9.090	0	36.6	19.4	13.7	8,173	3	36.9	18.3	13.5
PITTSFIELD, MA	284	0	45.6	15.5	9.4	86	0	30.6	14.2	14.0
POCATELLO, ID	659	0	44.9	27.4	16.4	384	1	51.8	27.7	26.9
PORTLAND, ME	2,115	3	40.5	18.3	10.4	1,221	0	43.0	19.9	14.9
PORTLAND-VANCOUVER, OR-WA	21,125	16	46.7	27.7	14.3	12,990	8	44.2	25.7	13.5
PORTSMOUTH-ROCHESTER, NH-ME	2,453	0	35.3	14.6	9.4	1,418	1	50.0	10.8	9.4
PROVIDENCE-FALL RIVER-WARWICK, RI-MA	8,724	0	42.6	19.1	13.6	4,955	3	48.1	24.1	20.3
PROVO-OREM, UT	3,299	0	36.2	16.0	7.2	2,304	1	39.5	17.5	8.5
PUEBLO, CO	1,392	0	36.7	35.7	13.6	705	0	38.2	41.7	6.3 14.7
,	2,870	•	35.9						19.7	16.6
PUNTA GORDA, FL	,	0		14.1	11.9	1,339	0	43.6		
RACINE, WI	1,320	0	54.4	15.8	22.3	1,035		52.6	19.7 22.2	23.3
RALEIGH-DURHAM-CHAPEL HILL, NC	8,780	8	54.5	24.9	27.7	12,290	6	54.8		23.1
RAPID CITY, SD	436	1	48.4	18.5	11.4	290	0	36.8	22.0	18.3
READING, PA	2,392	0	43.3	7.8	12.3	2,336	0	40.8	9.7	16.0
REDDING, CA	1,413	1	33.6	20.9	6.1	877	0	36.8	19.2	12.3
RENO, NV	3,027	8	66.3	28.5	28.9	2,576	1	49.3	20.0	19.5
RICHLAND-KENNEWICK-PASCO, WA	1,465	I	42.9	19.2	10.4	926	0	45.2	29.0	21.7
RICHMOND-PETERSBURG, VA	6,097	6	52.2	23.6	28.7	8,621	7	52.2	25.6	25.5
RIVERSIDE-SAN BERNARDINO, CA	28,266	20	38.3	40.6	11.4	14,626	18	44.3	41.5	14.7
ROANOKE, VA	1,159	0	47.4	18.5	18.6	2,131	1	57.5	20.6	31.1
ROCHESTER, MN	699	0	65.9	22.9	27.3	1,620	1	61.0	21.3	28.2
ROCHESTER, NY	6,586	4	39.2	15.3	10.7	3,435	2	47.5	18.0	14.9
ROCKFORD, IL	2,524	2	55.8	18.1	29.3	3,124	0	54.7	19.3	24.1
ROCKY MOUNT, NC	466	0	40.4	45.4	13.4	649	0	30.5	45.1	8.4
SACRAMENTO, CA	19,467	15	45.5	33.6	11.1	10,100	3	38.3	28.8	15.2
SAGINAW-BAY CITY-MIDLAND, MI	2,074	1	51.4	24.1	23.4	2,354	0	39.2	19.6	15.6
ST. CLOUD, MN	732	2	56.3	29.0	25.1	1,252	0	46.7	18.8	13.7
ST. JOSEPH, MO	508	0	45.2	19.8	14.3	497	0	43.9	19.1	17.7
ST. LOUIS, MO-IL	17,988	3	52.4	21.8	24.3	24,231	6	48.9	21.4	21.6
SALEM, OR	3,163	1	37.1	13.6	7.9	1,509	2	40.4	14.3	9.9
SALINAS, CA	3,151	1	27.8	68.4	5.8	1,691	0	29.9	69.8	8.3

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.

			Fannie N	Лае				Freddie I	Мас	
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent
SALT LAKE CITY-OGDEN, UT	12,868	8	50.0	28.8	19.7	6,375	3	49.9	27.7	21.1
SAN ANGELO, TX	304	0	29.2	22.6	7.3	288	0	34.8	31.4	10.9
SAN ANTONIO, TX	6,054	16	54.0	34.5	14.2	5,102	11	38.3	33.2	9.5
SAN DIEGO, CA	31,151	33	39.0	36.9	8.8	12,473	14	42.4	39.6	14.4
SAN FRANCISCO, CA	10,080	7	42.1	55.8	9.4	4,666	5	42.9	56.2	15.9
SAN JOSE, CA	11,440	16	64.8	70.5	18.7	5,237	2	61.3	57.3	20.6
SAN LUIS OBISPO-ATASCADERO-PASO ROBLES, CA	3,266	0	31.5	26.9	5.1	1,627	0	30.9	22.5	7.9
SANTA BARBARA-SANTA MARIA-LOMPOC, CA	2,830	3	39.5	47.4	17.4	1,183	4	43.1	44.4	21.3
SANTA CRUZ-WATSONVILLE, CA	2,186	0	37.5	48.7	5.7	1,287	0	37.7	46.9	12.4
SANTA FE, NM	1,437	0	44.9	60.6	18.7	926	0	52.3	71.7	22.9
SANTA ROSA, CA	6,490	2	35.0	22.2	8.1	3,100	2	36.7	22.1	8.6
SARASOTA-BRADENTON, FL	7.987	5	47.9	15.8	11.7	4,821	3	46.0	16.5	16.0
SAVANNAH, GA	1,978	2	45.4	15.5	8.8	2,147	3	40.7	18.8	12.3
SCRANTONWILKES-BARREHAZLETON, PA	4,345	0	42.4	19.3	7.6	1,696	0	40.8	15.8	15.6
SEATTLE-BELLEVUE-EVERETT, WA	28,229	32	53.7	35.1	18.8	13,948	20	57.7	37.4	23.2
SHARON, PA	572	0	34.3	11.8	9.1	366	0	38.2	10.0	11.1
SHEBOYGAN, WI	720	0	52.7	15.0	18.5	609	0	56.4	18.4	23.7
SHERMAN-DENISON, TX	630	0	29.6	11.0	7.1	384	2	39.7	10.4	25.6
SHREVEPORT-BOSSIER CITY, LA	1,887	5	38.9	18.5	14.4	486	3	46.0	11.6	28.4
SIOUX CITY, IA-NE	678	1	57.4	39.1	14.3	231	0	45.3	27.5	19.1
SIOUX FALLS, SD	1,299	0	44.0	22.1	16.6	780	1	45.5	27.9	11.5
SOUTH BEND, IN	1,412	2	62.0	25.9	31.2	2,080	0	47.9	18.6	19.6
SPOKANE, WA	4,344	2	44.4	29.4	16.5	1,676	2	48.8	25.2	16.9
SPRINGFIELD, IL	1,639	1	57.6	18.1	19.9	1,340	0	52.2	18.9	19.0
SPRINGFIELD, MO	1,776	0	47.3	19.1	16.8	2,979	0	49.7	20.4	19.1
SPRINGFIELD, MA	2,403	1	47.9	28.0	18.2	1,144	1	54.1	26.0	16.6
STAMFORD-NORWALK, CT	2,420	1	70.6	68.3	39.7	1,921	3	64.9	63.7	37.0
STATE COLLEGE, PA	413	0	34.4	38.0	8.7	631	0	30.5	42.7	8.7
STEUBENVILLE-WEIRTON, OH-WV	453	0	41.9	24.5	12.1	293	1	45.8	23.0	19.5
STOCKTON-LODI, CA	4,937	4	33.4	34.0	6.3	3,256	2	39.7	32.3	14.0
SUMTER. SC	285	0	40.0	32.3	13.8	312	0	28.0	37.8	9.7
SYRACUSE, NY	3,875	2	34.9	13.5	5.8	1,583	1	40.8	22.6	16.5
TACOMA, WA	7,765	7	47.3	21.1	11.6	3,835	1	41.9	20.0	10.9
TALLAHASSEE, FL	1,318	5	71.1	27.3	46.9	1,384	1	47.8	34.0	21.4
TAMPA-ST. PETERSBURG-CLEARWATER, FL	22,341	16	52.1	23.0	14.4	19,323	15	54.1	25.7	25.5
TERRE HAUTE, IN	835	0	36.9	16.5	9.2	635	13	51.0	16.9	21.0
TEXARKANA, TX-TEXARKANA, AR	319	0	29.2	16.3	9.2 8.2	158	0	36.5	22.7	6.2
TOLEDO, OH	6,855	0	57.7	20.9	19.0	4,273	3	50.5	15.6	22.8
TOPEKA, KS	820	3	62.1	9.8	40.2	613	3 1	30.1 49.9	17.5	22.8
TRENTON, NJ	2,234	0	49.4	18.6	19.9	2,060	3	61.0	17.3	32.8
TUCSON, AZ	2,234 7,190	8	49.4 50.2	18.6	19.9	2,060 6,717	15	55.1	23.2	32.8 18.8
· ·	,	8	50.2 51.1	18.2 24.2	32.9	3,933			23.2 15.1	
TULSA, OK	4,950	-					3	38.3		9.8
TUSCALOOSA, AL	914	0	31.5	18.6	10.5	729	0	40.1	17.5	12.0
TYLER, TX	621	2	57.0	7.6	40.5	732	0	33.5	20.3	12.1
UTICA-ROME, NY	949	0	32.4	14.9	5.8	494	0	41.8	17.0	14.3

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.

			Fannie N	Лае				Freddie 1	Mac	
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent
VALLEJO-FAIRFIELD-NAPA, CA	5,962	2	31.4	43.7	8.7	2,856	1	40.2	49.4	14.5
VENTURA, CA	7,988	10	49.4	42.7	18.5	3,733	1	46.2	40.5	18.1
VICTORIA, TX	117	0	38.0	34.3	10.2	202	0	26.5	38.6	10.3
VINELAND-MILLVILLE-BRIDGETON, NJ	476	0	49.4	27.0	18.7	377	0	49.5	27.1	15.3
VISALIA-TULARE-PORTERVILLE, CA	2,183	1	38.9	55.2	9.0	967	0	36.7	51.8	13.5
WACO, TX	762	2	55.0	21.7	9.3	508	1	32.7	28.6	20.2
WASHINGTON, DC-MD-VA-WV	35,374	44	62.7	44.0	31.8	37,095	39	71.0	46.6	38.9
WATERBURY, CT	1,225	3	58.6	9.7	21.1	821	2	59.5	14.0	40.7
WATERLOO-CEDAR FALLS, IA	511	0	49.1	30.0	20.3	769	0	53.7	33.2	28.1
WAUSAU, WI	467	0	45.2	14.1	10.4	508	0	50.4	15.0	15.3
WEST PALM BEACH-BOCA RATON, FL	14,526	8	51.3	25.1	19.3	9,364	2	52.5	26.7	22.8
WHEELING, WV-OH	352	0	37.5	23.6	10.9	284	0	37.8	24.7	11.4
WICHITA, KS	4,279	1	39.3	16.0	19.1	2,579	2	56.1	22.4	25.0
WICHITA FALLS, TX	483	1	53.6	9.7	5.2	208	0	29.7	23.4	8.0
WILLIAMSPORT, PA	309	0	38.3	20.3	10.3	290	0	36.0	21.2	11.0
WILMINGTON-NEWARK, DE-MD	4,103	1	53.7	22.0	24.1	3,983	5	60.1	22.0	29.2
WILMINGTON, NC	2,128	0	41.5	24.2	13.6	2,581	0	40.6	28.7	15.4
WORCHESTER, MA-CT	3,577	3	49.2	14.5	15.3	2,284	0	43.4	17.3	18.1
YAKIMA, WA	1,441	0	35.8	37.1	9.9	749	0	45.0	47.1	21.7
YOLO, CA	1,414	4	53.8	31.2	23.9	662	0	39.9	31.7	16.4
YORK, PA	2,183	2	60.0	10.1	34.2	2,394	0	42.4	12.1	15.7
YOUNGSTOWN-WARREN, OH	6,337	0	40.0	15.5	7.2	2,200	0	50.8	20.8	23.9
YUBA CITY, CA	713	0	38.6	34.2	6.3	550	0	35.8	30.2	13.3
YUMA, AZ	621	1	44.8	45.6	28.6	474	2	51.4	29.5	12.1

Note: This table gives Low- and Moderate-Income, Geographically Targeted, and Special Affordable percentages based on application of housing goal counting rules to units in properties covered by GSE mortgage purchases in each MSA. The table also gives total numbers of single-family (1- to 4-unit) and multifamily (5 or more unit) properties covered by GSE mortgage purchases in each MSA. The Housing Goals are defined on a national level. The GSEs are not required to meet the Housing Goals in individual MSAs.

Table 8-1999
GSEs' Goal-Qualifying Loans by
Metropolitan Area, 1999

			Fannie Ma	e				Freddie M	<b>l</b> ac	
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent
ABILENE, TX	741	0	28.9 %	24.2 %	9.5 %	388	1	41.1 %	23.5 %	9.7 %
AKRON, OH	12,382	8	45.4	23.6	15.3	4,469	0	47.2	22.8	18.5
ALBANY-SCHENECTADY-TROY, NY	770	0	35.8	17.5	14.2	816	1	52.8	13.4	36.3
ALBANY, GA	4,916	2	25.1	11.5	5.9	3,415	7	34.2	32.7	20.5
ALBUQUERQUE, NM	7,580	14	62.0	29.2	13.4	5,874	1	44.1	50.0	16.8
ALEXANDRIA, LA	1,027	0	19.1	14.4	5.9	339	0	28.5	15.4	9.5
ALLENTOWN-BETHLEHEM-EASTON, PA	4,914	1	39.4	14.5	15.3	3,565	1	40.0	20.7	14.4
ALTOONA, PA	366	1	24.6	20.5	6.0	498	0	30.4	25.0	9.8
AMARILLO, TX	1,894	1	43.1	10.8	26.7	800	2	40.7	11.6	24.0
ANCHORAGE, AK	2,926	0	36.5	16.7	12.2	1,126	0	36.6	15.7	11.3
ANN ARBOR, MI	12,675	3	48.8	18.9	16.3	6,647	4	50.6	14.7	16.6
ANNISTON, AL	785	0	37.1	5.8	11.0	484	0	40.9	6.6	12.9
APPLETON-OSHKOSH-NEENAH, WI	4,645	1	49.9	14.9	14.3	2,696	1	51.9	14.9	19.9
ASHEVILLE, NC	2,148	0	43.1	18.9	13.8	2,428	1	48.5	13.1	10.4
ATHENS, GA	1,603	0	36.8	19.4	10.7	925	1	41.7	19.6	12.4
ATLANTA, GA	57,108	45	53.5	27.3	23.7	42,121	53	54.3	27.5	21.6
ATLANTIC-CAPE MAY, NJ	4,698	0	40.3	20.8	12.7	3,759	0	40.3	20.6	11.3
AUGUSTA-AIKEN, GA-SC	3,356	3	40.9	25.3	15.7	3,622	4	32.2	27.4	10.9
AUSTIN-SAN MARCOS, TX	13,955	30	59.1	30.9	35.2	10,814	12	43.6	25.5	15.7
BAKERSFIELD, CA	3,853	1	28.5	17.7	11.6	3,119	0	35.0	25.8	13.5
BALTIMORE, MD	23,598	18	47.3	18.2	23.0	16,153	36	58.4	23.6	33.0
BANGOR, ME	643	1	38.9	8.8	8.3	417	0	39.6	12.3	12.5
BARNSTABLE-YARMOUTH, MA	2,963	0	37.9	28.6	10.6	2,079	0	36.4	25.8	9.3
BATON ROUGE, LA	7,206	5	40.8	23.0	19.1	2,995	0	40.0	24.9	14.9
BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHUR, TX	1,808	0	30.0	18.3	8.4	1,411	1	32.4	16.5	7.7
BELLINGHAM, WA	2,125	2	46.5	24.5	16.3	1,984	0	50.7	24.2	16.0
BENTON HARBOR, MI	1,799	0	39.0	10.3	12.0	1,451	0	45.5	8.9	16.9
BERGEN-PASSAIC, NJ	11,714	2	44.3	26.4	14.7	7,465	9	47.0	25.2	15.9
BILLINGS, MT	1,407	0	40.9	14.5	14.0	852	1	53.3	12.8	29.7
BILOXI-GULFPORT-PASCAGOULA, MS	2,642	1	33.6	26.6	12.6	1,241	4	34.0	25.7	8.6
BINGHAMTON, NY	992	0	35.2	17.3	11.8	854	1	63.2	10.4	50.0
BIRMINGHAM, AL	8,133	4	38.4	18.4	15.2	7,604	2	42.1	18.9	15.8
BISMARCK, ND	813	1	39.8	20.2	17.4	637	2	43.9	34.2	9.0
BLOOMINGTON-NORMAL, IL	1,084	3	60.0	25.0	36.9	683	0	54.6	21.9	29.2
BLOOMINGTON, IN	1,909	2	56.6	35.9	34.8	1,723	2	42.4	15.2	16.9
BOISE CITY, ID	4,879	3	50.1	24.3	18.8	5,156	3	48.7	22.2	18.1
BOSTON, MA-NH	45,317	26	48.2	31.0	18.1	25,464	28	50.5	31.0	19.2

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.

			Fannie Ma	ae				Freddie N	Мас	
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent
BOULDER-LONGMONT, CO	6,607	1	52.8	22.6	21.8	4,320	1	55.9	19.7	21.9
BRAZORIA, TX	2,071	2	39.8	14.7	20.7	1,500	0	28.6	36.6	10.6
BREMERTON, WA	2,910	0	34.2	11.4	9.0	2,120	2	48.1	21.1	21.5
BRIDGEPORT, CT	3,843	2	50.2	18.0	20.6	2,945	4	58.6	22.2	22.9
BROCKTON, MA	3,506	1	45.6	13.1	15.1	1,403	1	50.8	9.7	11.9
BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO, TX	896	2	35.4	51.6	1.4	883	1	18.3	56.9	1.9
BRYAN-COLLEGE STATION, TX	1,048	0	31.4	18.3	11.2	862	3	59.4	16.0	42.2
BUFFALO-NIAGARA FALLS, NY	5,452	4	46.8	10.0	23.5	5,161	6	42.4	14.5	15.8
BURLINGTON, VT	1,988	0	38.1	20.0	10.4	2,106	0	47.0	20.2	16.9
CANTON-MASSILLON, OH	7,594	2	44.7	14.5	11.4	2,431	0	51.2	17.8	19.8
CASPER, WY	598	0	42.3	20.7	17.2	511	1	44.4	24.6	18.5
CEDAR RAPIDS, IA	2,492	0	47.3	16.6	15.5	1,930	0	51.2	17.9	21.3
CHAMPAIGN-URBANA, IL	1,637	1	47.3	17.5	15.3	1,476	8	49.5	17.1	18.9
CHARLESTON-NORTH CHARLESTON, SC	5,132	1	35.5	23.7	10.3	3,898	3	34.1	31.1	10.9
CHARLESTON-NORTH CHARLESTON, SC CHARLESTON. WV	1,373	0	29.4	21.1	10.3	1,569	0	25.6	20.7	6.8
CHARLOTTE-GASTONIA-ROCK HILL, NC-SC	17,937	7	47.2	19.0	18.2	15,847	18	48.4	23.2	17.8
CHARLOTTE-GASTONIA-ROCK HILL, NC-SC CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA	1,673	0	46.6	20.9	17.7	1,383	3	62.7	23.2 16.7	36.4
	,					,	0			
CHATTANOOGA, TN-GA	3,699	2	49.5	24.9	16.3	2,928		36.7	18.9	12.3
CHEYENNE, WY	918	0	40.4	16.9	14.4	671	0	39.6	16.2	13.8
CHICAGO, IL	100,354	34	51.5	23.8	18.4	73,886	22	53.2	21.9	20.0
CHICO-PARADISE, CA	2,125	2	38.0	19.9	7.8	1,744	0	33.4	26.3	9.1
CINCINNATI, OH-KY-IN	19,088	3	47.6	26.5	19.8	21,124	6	47.4	21.0	20.2
CLARKSVILLE-HOPKINSVILLE, TN-KY	754	0	33.7	14.4	8.3	927	2	30.0	13.6	8.8
CLEVELAND-LORAIN-ELYRIA, OH	40,138	33	47.0	17.9	12.7	11,083	13	59.7	24.0	33.3
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO	8,372	12	51.1	19.9	12.1	5,285	4	50.7	30.2	18.6
COLUMBIA, MO	963	1	52.4	14.1	23.0	1,685	0	44.9	13.5	17.0
COLUMBIA, SC	5,142	3	46.5	20.9	13.3	4,840	1	40.0	33.3	18.4
COLUMBUS, GA-AL	1,559	0	30.5	18.0	10.1	1,647	0	33.6	21.8	11.8
COLUMBUS, OH	21,115	13	45.6	18.2	17.9	14,284	9	50.3	22.1	23.6
CORPUS CHRISTI, TX	1,796	2	29.8	23.9	5.0	1,403	3	30.0	35.5	3.6
CUMBERLAND, MD-WV	417	0	30.4	11.8	10.0	298	0	33.6	12.1	12.4
DALLAS, TX	34,412	57	49.9	21.0	28.9	25,094	43	48.3	21.7	28.0
DANBURY, CT	2,822	0	59.6	22.2	25.7	1,652	0	56.2	21.7	20.9
DANVILLE, VA	467	0	35.8	19.1	11.9	418	0	33.2	29.1	9.8
DAVENPORT-MOLINE-ROCK ISLAND, IA-IL	3,455	1	43.1	18.9	13.4	4,032	0	44.9	20.8	19.1
DAYTON-SPRINGFIELD, OH	10,475	3	48.3	20.4	19.0	8,391	2	56.0	24.5	27.7
DAYTONA BEACH, FL	4,765	0	42.4	10.3	14.7	5,813	2	38.3	12.8	13.1
DECATUR, AL	1,046	0	37.4	24.1	10.9	1,040	0	42.4	22.7	13.2
DECATUR, IL	675	1	48.7	15.7	13.3	709	0	38.5	17.8	11.8
DENVER, CO	43,585	34	57.3	24.8	25.9	28,500	18	56.8	24.6	22.9
DES MOINES, IA	5,664	4	53.7	19.9	27.4	5,108	0	47.5	17.5	17.3
DETROIT, MI	82,021	26	48.6	17.7	18.5	47,439	9	52.5	20.3	21.3
DOTHAN, AL	783	0	36.1	12.6	10.9	696	2	49.8	11.0	34.1
DOVER, DE	939	0	38.8	19.6	9.3	615	0	43.8	17.8	13.3
DUBUQUE, IA	940	0	50.7	13.3	18.1	791	0	52.3	15.9	20.9

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.

			Fannie Ma	ae				Freddie N	Мас	
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent
DULUTH-SUPERIOR, MN-WI	1,790	0	37.6	15.9	11.3	1,819	2	45.2	17.8	14.6
DUTCHESS COUNTY, NY	2,310	0	38.4	20.9	11.7	1,999	2	44.5	21.9	13.6
EAU CLAIRE, WI	823	0	44.4	19.7	13.3	1,347	0	43.8	23.8	13.7
EL PASO, TX	2,503	3	43.7	44.4	9.3	1,949	2	33.0	45.0	5.8
ELKHART-GOSHEN, IN	2,112	0	52.6	9.9	17.9	1,398	0	56.3	7.6	20.7
ELMIRA, NY	178	0	29.1	12.6	13.0	458	0	27.1	9.8	6.5
ENID, OK	211	0	29.1	14.4	15.3	158	0	35.1	13.6	12.3
ERIE, PA	1,091	0	30.9	14.7	9.6	1,496	1	34.0	14.7	10.6
EUGENE-SPRINGFIELD, OR	4,220	5	43.4	29.5	12.3	3,777	7	54.2	26.6	10.0
EVANSVILLE-HENDERSON, IN-KY	1,352	4	60.3	40.0	39.1	4,313	0	48.5	16.9	22.6
FARGO-MOORHEAD, ND-MN	1,144	3	38.1	23.8	12.2	1,129	5	58.1	25.8	33.9
FAYETTEVILLE-SPRINGDALE-ROGERS, AR	1,379	0	37.1	13.8	10.8	1,387	2	38.4	19.5	13.2
FAYETTEVILLE, NC	3,900	0	32.2	45.4	8.3	1,372	2	36.3	58.2	6.7
FITCHBURG-LEOMINSTER, MA	1,354	1	47.9	15.9	16.3	906	0	49.3	13.4	19.2
FLAGSTAFF, AZ-UT	1,812	0	36.8	16.8	9.1	1,304	0	37.5	36.7	10.9
FLINT, MI	6,451	1	43.8	11.3	15.6	3,460	0	46.4	10.3	16.4
FLORENCE, AL	750	1	44.2	13.1	24.0	719	0	35.5	21.1	9.2
FLORENCE, SC	913	0	32.0	29.9	9.2	851	2	51.4	22.1	18.8
FORT COLLINS-LOVELAND, CO	5,860	1	47.8	26.6	16.4	3,614	0	51.2	27.6	17.1
FORT LAUDERDALE, FL	19,858	10	49.3	17.1	16.5	18,789	31	53.8	26.0	20.8
FORT MYERS-CAPE CORAL, FL	5,221	1	49.4	16.9	21.2	6,027	3	41.9	18.9	13.4
FORT PIERCE-PORT ST. LUCIE, FL	2,550	1	47.2	6.9	21.2	3,419	0	39.3	10.6	14.2
FORT SMITH, AR-OK	1,262	0	28.1	15.3	6.9	728	1	30.5	20.2	6.8
FORT WALTON BEACH, FL	1,549	0	36.3	23.0	12.9	1,604	0	35.6	20.3	13.9
FORT WAYNE, IN	5,931	1	48.8	18.2	19.2	4,202	1	53.4	16.0	19.2
FORT WORTH-ARLINGTON, TX	14,944	49	67.5	17.5	48.0	10,495	10	44.4	26.3	20.3
FRESNO, CA	5,499	3	29.6	38.5	8.6	5,376	4	28.9	34.8	8.4
GADSDEN, AL	444	0	29.6	14.9	6.5	678	0	29.6	11.5	8.5
GAINESVILLE, FL	1,712	1	44.0	14.5	9.8	1,695	0	27.5	16.7	9.4
GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY, TX	2,284	5	59.2	17.9	41.1	1,904	4	37.1	31.5	22.4
GARY, IN	5,919	1	47.5	14.0	19.3	4,701	2	55.4	13.0	19.8
GLENS FALLS, NY	609	0	33.5	18.6	11.0	366	0	47.1	21.5	18.3
GOLDSBORO, NC	599	0	35.6	28.4	11.2	484	0	29.4	23.0	9.2
GRAND FORKS, ND-MN	505	0	26.1	15.7	6.2	367	1	45.2	9.2	7.4
GRAND JUNCTION, CO	1,883	0	40.6	33.1	11.7	1,759	0	42.5	32.9	10.5
GRAND RAPIDS-MUSKEGON-HOLLAND, MI	18,316	10	51.8	17.1	22.7	11,229	1	51.4	17.5	18.5
GREAT FALLS, MT	609	0	36.8	20.1	13.1	604	0	40.4	16.6	12.6
GREELEY, CO	3,624	1	39.2	20.4	10.3	1,973	0	39.6	24.4	11.1
GREEN BAY, WI	3,164	0	47.5	17.8	17.3	2,440	0	51.9	20.5	21.2
GREENSBOROWINSTON-SALEMHIGH POINT, NC	11,468	4	44.0	22.5	18.1	10,992	5	38.6	20.2	12.8
GREENVILLE-SPARTANBURG-ANDERSON, SC	1,038	0	44.8	16.7	16.4	1,034	0	48.1	15.5	11.0
GREENVILLE, NC	9,590	2	36.7	27.8	10.9	7,865	5	31.9	24.3	9.5
HAGERSTOWN, MD	816	0	39.5	11.3	14.6	728	1	35.3	13.8	11.5
HAMILTON-MIDDLETOWN, OH	4,925	3	46.9	17.0	21.0	4,347	1	45.3	16.0	18.7
HARRISBURG-LEBANON-CARLISLE, PA	6,042	1	41.7	16.3	10.9	3,948	2	47.2	17.1	16.6
	0,012	•	,	10.5	10.7	2,710	-	. ,		

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.

			Fannie Ma	ae				Freddie N	Мас	
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent
HARTFORD, CT	8,719	1	45.0	16.3	17.5	7,367	11	52.4	15.4	18.1
HATTIESBURG, MS	1,076	0	24.2	11.4	5.5	478	0	34.6	10.2	8.5
HICKORY-MORGANTON-LENOIR, NC	3,225	0	43.4	11.9	11.9	3,145	0	37.1	11.8	10.9
HONOLULU, HI	8,255	0	42.9	58.2	14.8	2,450	1	44.1	58.1	12.3
HOUMA, LA	1,791	0	19.6	32.5	5.1	415	1	52.2	21.9	5.3
HOUSTON, TX	35,950	40	47.6	32.7	25.8	25,787	52	47.1	37.4	24.5
HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, WV-KY-OH	1,516	0	23.0	18.6	5.8	1,370	0	24.9	23.4	8.3
HUNTSVILLE, AL	3,452	1	43.7	24.4	20.0	2,459	0	43.4	36.7	17.3
INDIANAPOLIS, IN	25,455	7	46.3	18.4	19.5	13,325	6	50.8	21.1	22.0
IOWA CITY, IA	1,134	0	42.2	20.0	13.6	1,162	0	44.8	23.4	14.5
JACKSON, MI	2,036	1	50.6	23.2	25.3	1,591	1	45.7	13.0	15.7
JACKSON, MS	3,994	3	37.5	19.6	12.2	1,413	3	43.1	21.5	12.7
JACKSON, TN	745	0	30.3	20.5	8.6	647	0	31.2	17.8	9.6
JACKSONVILLE, FL	8,901	7	50.8	14.4	19.7	10,876	10	40.8	18.5	16.6
JACKSONVILLE. NC	705	0	29.0	19.6	6.9	660	0	19.1	21.6	5.0
JAMESTOWN, NY	380	0	34.3	6.2	8.5	454	0	32.5	8.4	9.6
JANESVILLE-BELOIT, WI	1,737	0	53.2	20.5	19.1	1,333	0	50.4	19.9	19.2
JERSEY CITY, NJ (PMSA)	2,687	1	39.6	66.8	10.0	1,692	8	38.3	60.4	8.5
JOHNSON CITY-KINGSPORT-BRISTOL, TN-VA	2,267	0	32.1	21.1	10.0	2,323	0	27.9	26.9	9.0
JOHNSTOWN, PA	409	0	18.7	13.4	3.4	583	0	29.0	14.7	6.2
JONESBORO, AR	513	0	28.6	9.8	7.1	413	0	32.3	20.7	8.0
JOPLIN, MO	1,300	1	41.3	15.8	16.1	871	4	35.8	9.8	11.1
KALAMAZOO-BATTLE CREEK, MI	5,507	1	39.2	19.3	15.3	3,847	0	42.2	22.2	16.2
KANKAKEE, IL	379	0	44.4	12.5	18.7	892	0	43.9	8.3	15.5
KANSAS CITY, MO-KS	20,835	11	48.9	18.5	21.2	16,376	13	48.5	17.7	18.1
KENOSHA, WI	2,135	0	41.7	11.5	13.7	1,350	1	47.0	12.6	13.9
KILLEEN-TEMPLE, TX	1,005	0	27.2	21.0	6.8	630	1	29.8	22.7	4.6
KNOXVILLE, TN	6,118	1	38.2	17.3	14.8	4,529	4	44.8	23.1	20.7
KOKOMO, IN	1,211	0	47.9	26.9	18.4	977	0	50.4	24.6	20.3
LA CROSSE, WI-MN	1,143	0	44.0	16.6	14.5	1,060	0	45.5	16.8	14.8
LAFAYETTE, IN	2,396	1	51.2	8.8	27.1	1,124	1	52.6	20.7	26.6
LAFAYETTE, LA	1,942	1	20.7	22.8	5.0	1,418	1	18.5	20.1	4.8
LAKE CHARLES, LA	1,601	0	25.3	21.6	7.5	540	1	39.9	29.1	9.8
LAKELAND-WINTER HAVEN, FL	2,712	0	43.6	10.8	14.9	3,361	1	36.9	24.7	17.6
LANCASTER, PA	3,698	0	40.4	8.3	10.4	3,230	0	42.5	7.9	12.9
LANSING-EAST LANSING, MI	6,794	3	48.2	17.1	15.9	4,260	0	46.1	16.8	14.9
LAREDO, TX	495	0	18.3	43.6	6.2	273	0	16.5	58.3	6.3
LAS CRUCES, NM	949	1	38.1	68.2	19.5	840	1	29.0	57.4	6.2
LAS VEGAS, NV-AZ	18,807	16	52.6	15.5	14.9	15,443	15	52.3	19.7	15.2
LAWRENCE, KS	1,383	0	46.0	28.4	13.4	886	3	61.9	16.2	19.9
LAWRENCE, MA-NH (PMSA)	6,177	0	33.5	12.4	12.0	3,933	1	47.4	17.8	18.6
LAWTON, OK	498	1	45.5	21.7	34.3	237	0	29.8	36.2	8.1
LEWISTON-AUBURN, ME	578	0	32.2	9.3	7.8	437	0	38.3	11.2	10.5
LEXINGTON, KY	4,780	9	50.0	26.3	18.8	5,469	4	43.5	25.8	18.6
LIMA, OH	1,260	0	45.0	12.7	15.3	1,290	0	42.9	7.2	13.8
*	,					,				

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.

INCOLN NI				Fannie Ma	ae				Freddie N	Лас	
INCOLN NE		Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable
Internoce North Internoce No	MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent
International Conference   1971   1971   1972   1978   2783   2	LINCOLN, NE	3,158	0	43.8	15.6	15.1	1,962	7	56.8	23.6	22.5
DOM NOR MEAN PAIL TY	LITTLE ROCK-NORTH LITTLE ROCK, AR		4	38.6	10.7	19.9	2.783	2	45.7	10.3	17.0
IOS MAGELES-LONG BEACH   CA   76,988   131   37,0   439   10,5   54,487   65   37,6   39,6   10.3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	0	28.9	23.6	7.8		3	28.1	18.5	6.5
LOUSYLLE KY-IN		,	131								
LOWELL, MANH	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						,				
LUBBOCK TX	<i>,</i>		1								
INCREDING NA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	1					1			
MACON, GA			0					0			
MADISON, WI MANCHESTER, NH		,									
MANNEHSTER, NH	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							2			
MANSFIELD.OH		,									
MCALLEN-EDINBURG-MISSION, TX	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1					0			
MEBOURNS-TITUSVILLE-PALM BAY, FL	*	,	0					-			
MEIDUIRNE-TITLISVILLE-PALM BAY, FL	*	,	-					· ·			
MERCED. CA	*										
MERCED, CA	,	,									
MIANI, FL   20,407   10   42.7   40.3   8.5   15.982   11   34.2   43.4   7.9     MIDDLESEX-SOMERSET-HUNTERDON, NJ   12,179   5   54.9   23.0   16.2   9,194   6   54.1   19.0   19.5     MINNAUKEE-WAUKESHIA, WI   20,125   5   46.1   12.9   16.4   10,282   6   49.5   15.4   19.1     MINNAPPOLIS-ST, PAUL, MN-WI   43,455   32   55.5   20.9   23.4   40,699   26   56.3   20.9   22.0     MISSOULA, MT   1,249   1   40.5   26.7   18.1   90.4   0   40.8   25.2   15.2     MOBILE, AL   5,041   5   46.2   7.0   26.2   3,566   2   42.6   8.6   8.1     MODESTO, CA   3,583   1   38.1   39.0   27.8   13.7   9,888   11   41.3   29.6   41.2     MONIMOUTH-OCEAN, NJ   13,883   1   38.1   39.0   27.8   13.7   9,888   11   41.3   29.6   41.2     MONROE, LA   1,381   0   26.2   10.2   7.6   411   1   25.1   10.1   6.1     MONTGOMERY, AL   3,064   1   45.4   19.4   24.4   1.344   2   54.5   16.6   10.6     MINCIE, IN   820   0   49.7   24.4   21.4   651   0   48.7   25.0   23.3     MYRTLE BEACH, SC   2,981   0   37.3   25.0   10.0   2,847   0   37.4   23.2   10.2     NASHULLE, TN   3,063   14   49.3   27.8   15.8   10.973   10   44.6   16.7   19.3     NASSAU-SUFFORK, NY   30,083   14   49.3   27.8   15.8   15.98   6   49.8   28.9   14.5     NEW BEDFORD, MA   1,545   2   50.7   21.7   13.2   640   0   41.5   21.4   15.8     NEW HAVEN-MERIDEN, CT   44.99   44.99   45.8   45.8   45.8     NEW HAVEN-MERIDEN, CT   44.99   44.99   45.8   45.8   45.8     NEW GLORON-NORWICH, CT-RI   43.5   44.99   44.4   47.9   47.4   47.9   48.8     NEW GLORON-NORWICH, CT-RI   43.5   44.99   44.4   47.9   47.4   47.9   47.4     NEW ONREANS, LA   47.91   28.5   43.3   34.3   16.2   24.04   10.2   38.3   31.4   10.1     NEW GLORON-NORWICH, CT-RI   43.5   64.4   47.9   15.0   62.67   67.0   67.0   67.0   67.0     NEW GLORON-NORWICH, CT-RI   43.5   64.4   67.0   67.0   67.0   67.0   67.0   67.0   67.0     NEW GLORON-NORWICH, CT-RI   43.5   64.0   67.0   67.0   67.0   67.0   67.0   67.0   67.0     NEW GLORON-NORWICH, CT-RI   43.5   64.0   67.0   67.0   67.0   67.											
MIDDLESEK-SOMERSET-HUNTERDON,NJ		,									
MILWAUKESHA, WI   10,125   5   46.1   12.9   16.4   10,282   6   49.5   15.4   19.1   MINNAPOLIS-ST. PAUL, MN-WI   43,455   32   55.5   20.9   23.4   40,659   26   56.3   20.9   22.0   MISSOULA, MT   1,249   1   40.5   26.7   18.1   904   0   40.8   25.2   15.2   MOBILE, AL   MOBILE, AL   MOBILE, AL   MOBILE, AL   MODESTO, CA   3,583   1   38.1   32.2   12.1   3,469   2   42.6   8.6   8.1   MODESTO, CA   3,583   1   38.8   31   39.0   27.8   13.7   9,888   11   41.3   29.6   14.2   MONROCIH-OCEAN, NJ   13,883   1   39.0   27.8   13.7   9,888   11   41.3   29.6   14.2   MONROCILA, MT   13,883   1   34.4   19.4   24.4   1,344   2   25.1   10.1   6.1   MONTGOMERY, AL   3,664   1   45.4   19.4   24.4   1,344   2   25.5   16.6   10.6   MINCIE, IN   MYRTLE BEACH, SC   2,981   0   37.3   25.0   10.0   2,847   0   37.4   23.2   10.2   NASHUA, NH (PMSA)   3,611   0   54.1   17.2   19.6   4.438   0   49.5   19.2   19.6   NASHUA, NH (PMSA)   3,013   30.8   41.1   49.3   27.8   15.8   18.796   6   49.8   28.9   14.5   NASHUA, NH (PMSA)   12,771   3   47.7   14.8   21.8   10,973   10   44.6   16.7   19.3   NASSHVILLE, TN   12,71   3   40.3   27.8   15.8   18.796   6   49.8   28.9   14.5   NEW BEDFORD, MA   1,545   2   50.7   21.7   13.2   640   0   41.5   21.4   15.8   NEW HAVEN-MERIDEN, CT   4.485   1   44.6   19.7   15.3   3,580   9   56.7   34.3   28.8   NEW HAVEN-MERIDEN, CT   4.485   1   44.6   19.7   15.3   3,580   9   56.7   34.3   28.8   NEW HOWNEN, NY   44.791   28.5   43.3   34.3   16.2   24.044   10.2   38.3   31.4   10.1   NEW ALWARN, NJ   15.80   44.791   28.5   43.3   34.3   16.2   24.044   10.2   38.3   31.4   10.1   NEW ALWARN, NJ   15.80   44.791   28.5   43.3   34.3   16.2   24.044   10.2   38.3   31.4   10.1   NEW ALWARN, NJ   15.80   44.791   28.5   43.3   34.3   16.2   24.044   10.2   38.3   31.4   10.1   NEW ALKN, NJ   15.80   44.791   28.5   43.3   34.3   16.2   24.044   10.2   38.3   31.4   10.1   NEW ALKN, NJ   15.80   44.791   28.5   43.3   34.3   16.2   24.044   10.2   38.3   31.4   10.1   NEW A											
MINSEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL, MN-WI	*	,	-				,				
MISSOULA, MT 1, 249 1 40,5 26,7 18.1 904 0 40,8 25,2 15,2 MOBILE, AL 5,041 5 46,2 7.0 26,2 3,566 2 42,6 8,6 8,1 MODESTO, CA 3,583 1 38,1 32,2 12,1 3,469 2 42,6 24,5 11,7 MONMOUTH-OCEAN, NJ 13,883 1 38,1 32,2 12,1 3,469 2 42,6 24,5 11,7 MONMOUTH-OCEAN, NJ 13,883 1 39,0 27,8 13,7 9,888 11 41,3 29,6 14,2 MONROE, LA 1,381 0 26,2 10,2 7,6 411 1 25,1 10,1 61 MONTGOMERY, AL 3,064 1 45,4 19,4 24,4 1,344 2 54,5 16,6 10,6 MUNCIE, IN 8,0 0 49,7 24,4 21,4 651 0 48,7 25,0 23,3 MYRILE BEACH, SC 2,981 0 37,3 25,0 10,0 2,847 0 37,4 23,2 10,2 NAPLES, EL 3,611 0 54,1 17,2 19,6 4,438 0 49,5 19,2 19,6 NASHULA, NH (PMSA) 3,103 0 46,1 21,6 16,1 2,227 1 45,7 26,0 16,3 NASHULE, TN 12,771 3 47,7 14,8 21,8 10,973 10 44,6 16,7 19,3 NASSAU-SUFFOLK, NY 30,083 14 49,3 27,8 15,8 18,796 6 49,8 28,9 14,5 NEW BEDFORD, MA 1,545 2 50,7 21,7 15,3 3,580 9 56,7 34,3 28,8 NEW LONDON-NORWICH, CT-RI 2,330 0 43,4 15,8 16,0 16,0 16,0 16,0 16,0 16,0 16,0 16,0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
MOBILE, AL   MODETO, CA   3,583   1   38.1   32.2   12.1   3,469   2   42.6   8.6   8.1     MODETO, CA   3,583   1   38.1   32.2   12.1   3,469   2   42.6   24.5   11.7     MONMOUTH-OCEAN, NI   13,883   1   39.0   27.8   13.7   9,888   11   41.3   29.6   14.2     MONROE, LA   1,381   0   26.2   10.2   7.6   411   1   25.1   10.1   6.1     MONTGOMERY, AL   3,064   1   45.4   19.4   24.4   1,344   2   54.5   16.6   10.6     MUNCIE, IN   820   0   49.7   24.4   21.4   651   0   48.7   25.0   23.3     MYRTLE BEACH, SC   2,981   0   37.3   25.0   10.0   2,847   0   37.4   23.2   10.2     NASHUA, NH (PMSA)   3,103   0   46.1   21.6   16.1   2,227   1   45.7   26.0   16.3     NASHUA, NH (PMSA)   12,771   3   47.7   14.8   21.8   10.973   10   44.6   16.7   19.3     NASSAUSUFFOLK, NY   30,083   14   49.3   27.8   15.8   18,76   6   49.8   28.9   14.5     NEW HOYDON-NORWICH, CT-RI   2,330   0   43.4   15.8   16.0   1.670   1   48.2   17.7   14.8     NEW HOYDON-NORWICH, CT-RI   2,330   0   43.4   15.8   16.0   1.670   1   43.5   24.4   12.8     NEW ORLEANS, LA   12,672   12   38.7   29.9   16.2   5,622   11   43.5   24.4   12.4     NEW ORLEANS, LA   15.80   4   42.4   17.9   15.0   12.287   30   49.2   20.8   21.1     NEWBURGH, NY-PA   3,561   0   36.2   19.1   10.6   2,610   3   37.9   21.0   11.5     NEWBURGH, NY-PA   3,581   31.4   29   50.6   51.6   19.6   26.172   4   47.4   47.7   42.7   16.2     OCALA, FL   0.0ESSAMIDLAND, TX   18.8   18.9   40.0   18.9   20.7   5.7     ODESSAMIDLAND, TX   18.8   18.9   40.0   18.9   20.7   5.7   5.8     ODESSAMIDLAND, TX   18.9   19.0   18.9   20.7   5.7   5.8     ODESSAMIDLAND, TX   19.8   941   0   18.9   20.7   5.5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						,				
MODESTO, CA   3,583   1   38.1   32.2   12.1   3,469   2   42.6   24.5   11.7     MONMOUTH-OCEAN, NJ   13,883   1   39.0   27.8   13.7   9,888   11   41.3   29.6   14.2     MONROE, LA   1381   0   26.2   10.2   7.6   411   1   25.1   10.1   61.1     MONTGOMERY, AL   3,064   1   45.4   19.4   24.4   1,344   2   54.5   16.6   10.6     MUNCIE, IN   820   0   49.7   24.4   21.4   651   0   48.7   25.0   23.3     MYRTLE BEACH, SC   2,981   0   37.3   25.0   10.0   2,847   0   37.4   25.2   10.2     NAPLES, FL   3,611   0   54.1   17.2   19.6   4.438   0   49.5   19.2   19.6     NASHUA, NH (PMSA)   3,103   0   46.1   21.6   16.1   2,227   1   45.7   26.0   16.3     NASHULE, TN   12,771   3   47.7   14.8   21.8   10.973   10   44.6   16.7   19.3     NASSAU-SUFFOLK, NY   30.083   14   49.3   27.8   15.8   18.796   6   49.8   28.9   14.5     NEW BEDFORD, MA   1,545   2   50.7   21.7   13.2   640   0   41.5   21.4   15.8     NEW HAVEN-MERIDEN, CT   4,485   1   44.6   19.7   15.3   3,580   9   56.7   34.3   28.8     NEW LONDON-NORWICH, CT-RI   2,330   0   43.4   15.8   16.0   1,670   1   48.2   17.7   14.8     NEW ORLEANS, LA   12,672   12   38.7   29.9   16.2   5,622   11   43.5   24.4   12.9     NEW ORK, NY   44.791   285   43.3   34.3   16.2   24.044   102   38.3   31.4   10.1     NEW ARK, NJ   15.809   4   42.4   17.9   15.0   12.287   30   49.2   20.8   21.1     NEW GRAN, NJ   15.809   4   42.4   17.9   15.0   12.287   30   49.2   20.8   21.1     NEW BURGH, NY-PA   3,561   0   36.2   19.1   10.6   2,610   3   37.9   21.0   11.5     NEW GRAN, NJ   15.809   4   42.4   17.9   15.0   12.287   30   49.2   20.8   21.1     NEW GRAN, NJ   15.809   4   42.4   17.9   15.0   12.287   30   49.2   20.8   21.1     NEW GRAN, NJ   15.809   4   42.4   17.9   15.0   12.287   30   49.2   20.8   21.1     NEW GRAN, NJ   15.809   4   42.4   17.9   15.0   12.287   30   49.2   20.8   21.1     NEW GRAN, NJ   15.809   4   42.4   17.9   15.0   12.287   30   49.2   20.8   21.1     NEW GRAN, NJ   15.809   4   42.4   17.9   15.0   12.87   30.4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-								
MONMOUTH-OCEAN, NJ   13,883   1   39,0   27,8   13,7   9,888   11   41,3   29,6   14,2     MONROE, LA	*	,	3								
MONROE, LA         1,381         0         26.2         10.2         7.6         411         1         25.1         10.1         6.1           MONTGOMERY, AL         3,064         1         45.4         19.4         24.4         1,344         2         54.5         16.6         10.6           MUNCIE, IN         820         0         49.7         24.4         21.4         651         0         48.7         25.0         23.3           MYRTLE BEACH, SC         2.981         0         37.3         25.0         10.0         2,847         0         37.4         23.2         10.2           NASHUA, NH (PMSA)         3,611         0         54.1         17.2         19.6         4,438         0         49.5         19.2         19.6           NASHUA, NH (PMSA)         3,003         0         46.1         21.6         16.1         2,227         1         45.7         26.0         16.3           NASHVILLE, TN         12,771         3         47.7         14.8         21.8         10,973         10         44.6         16.7         19.3           NASSAU-SUFFOLK, NY         30,083         14         49.3         27.8         15.8         15.8	*		1								
MONTGÓMERY, AL 3,064 1 45.4 19.4 24.4 1,344 2 54.5 16.6 10.6 MUNCIE, IN 820 0 49.7 24.4 21.4 651 0 48.7 25.0 23.3 MYRILE BEACH, SC 2,981 0 37.3 25.0 10.0 2,847 0 37.4 23.2 10.2 NAPLES, FL 3,611 0 54.1 17.2 19.6 4,438 0 49.5 19.2 19.6 NASHUA, NH (PMSA) 3,103 0 46.1 21.6 16.1 2,227 1 45.7 26.0 16.3 NASHULLE, TN 12,771 3 47.7 14.8 21.8 10,973 10 44.6 16.7 19.3 NASSAUSUFFOLK, NY 30,083 14 49.3 27.8 15.8 18,796 6 49.8 28.9 14.5 NEW BEDFORD, MA 1,545 2 50.7 21.7 13.2 640 0 41.5 21.4 15.8 NEW HAVEN-MERIDEN, CT 4,485 1 44.6 19.7 15.3 3,580 9 56.7 34.3 28.8 NEW LAVEN-MERIDEN, CT 2,330 0 43.4 15.8 16.0 1,670 1 45.5 21.4 15.8 NEW CORLEANS, LA 12,672 12 38.7 29.9 16.2 5,622 11 45.5 24.4 12.9 NEW YORK, NY 44.791 28.5 43.3 34.3 16.2 24,044 10.2 38.3 31.4 10.1 NEW MYRK, NJ 15.809 4 42.4 17.9 15.0 12,287 30 49.2 20.8 21.1 NEW MYRK, NJ 15.809 4 42.4 17.9 15.0 12,287 30 49.2 20.8 21.1 NEW MYRK, NJ 15.809 4 42.4 17.9 15.0 12,287 30 49.2 20.8 21.1 NEW MYRK, NJ 15.809 4 42.4 17.9 15.0 12,287 30 49.2 20.8 21.1 NEW MYRK, NJ 15.809 4 42.4 17.9 15.0 12,287 30 49.2 20.8 21.1 NEW MYRK, NJ 15.809 4 42.4 17.9 15.0 12,287 30 49.2 20.8 21.1 NEWBURGH, NY-PA 3,561 0 36.2 19.1 10.6 2,610 3 37.9 21.0 11.5 NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-NEWPORT NEWS, VA-NC 11,245 6 44.1 28.0 24.6 8,716 15 52.2 37.6 28.9 OAKLAND, CA 31,841 29 50.6 51.6 19.6 26,172 4 47.4 42.7 16.2 CCALA, FL 0.0 ESSA-MIDLAND, TX 1,382 1 30.0 11.7 17.8 941 0 18.9 20.7 5.7			1								
MUNCIE, IN         820         0         49.7         24.4         21.4         651         0         48.7         25.0         23.3           MYRTLE BEACH, SC         2,981         0         37.3         25.0         10.0         2,847         0         37.4         23.2         10.2           NAPLES, FL         3,611         0         54.1         17.2         19.6         4,438         0         49.5         19.2         19.2           NASHUA, NH (PMSA)         3,103         0         46.1         21.6         16.1         2,227         1         45.7         26.0         16.3           NASHVILLE, TN         12,771         3         47.7         14.8         21.8         10,973         10         44.6         16.7         19.3           NASSAU-SUFFOLK, NY         30,083         14         49.3         27.8         15.8         18,796         6         49.8         28.9         14.5           NEW BEDFORD, MA         1,545         2         50.7         21.7         13.2         64.0         0         41.5         21.4         15.8           NEW LONDON-NORWICH, CT-RI         2,330         0         43.4         15.8         16.0         1	,		0								
MYRTLE BEACH, SC         2,981         0         37.3         25.0         10.0         2,847         0         37.4         23.2         10.2           NAPLES, FL         3,611         0         54.1         17.2         19.6         4,438         0         49.5         19.2         19.6           NASHUA, NH (PMSA)         3,103         0         46.1         21.6         16.1         2,227         1         45.7         26.0         16.3           NASHVILLE, TN         12,771         3         47.7         14.8         21.8         10,973         10         44.6         16.7         19.3           NASSAU-SUFFOLK, NY         30,083         14         49.3         27.8         15.8         18,796         6         49.8         28.9         14.5           NEW BEDFORD, MA         1,545         2         50.7         21.7         13.2         640         0         41.5         21.4         15.8           NEW LONDON-NORWICH, CT-RI         4,485         1         44.6         19.7         15.3         3,580         9         56.7         34.3         28.8           NEW YORK, NY         44,791         285         43.3         34.3         16.2			1								
NAPLES, FL NASHUA, NH (PMSA)  3,103  0 46.1  21.6  16.1  2,227  1 45.7  26.0  16.3  NASHVILLE, TN  12,771  3 47.7  14.8  21.8  10,973  10 44.6  16.7  19.3  NASSAU-SUFFOLK, NY  30,083  14 49.3  27.8  15.8  15.8  18,796  6 49.8  28.9  14.5  NEW BEDFORD, MA  1,545  2 50.7  21.7  13.2  640  0 41.5  21.4  15.8  NEW HAVEN-MERIDEN, CT  4,485  1 44.6  19.7  15.3  3,580  9 56.7  34.3  28.8  NEW LONDON-NORWICH, CT-RI  2,330  0 43.4  15.8  NEW ORLEANS, LA  12,672  12  38.7  29.9  16.2  3,622  11  48.2  17.7  14.8  NEW ORLEANS, LA  12,672  12  38.7  29.9  16.2  5,622  11  43.5  24.4  12.9  NEW YORK, NY  NEW YORK, NY  15,809  4 42.4  17.9  15.0  12,287  30  49.2  20.8  21.1  NEWBURGH, NY-PA  3,561  0 36.2  19.1  10.6  24.6  8,716  15  52.2  37.6  28.9  OAKLAND, CA  31,841  29  50.6  51.6  10.6  11.7  17.8  941  0 18.9  20.7  5.7			•					-			
NASHUA, NH (PMSA)  NASHUILE, TN  12,771  3 47.7  14.8  21.8  10,973  10 44.6  16.7  19.3  NASSAU-SUFFOLK, NY  30,083  14 49.3  27.8  15.8  15.8  18,796  6 49.8  28.9  14.5  NEW BEDFORD, MA  NEW HAVEN-MERIDEN, CT  44.85  1 44.6  19.7  15.3  NEW HAVEN-MERIDEN, CT-RI  NEW HAVEN-NORWICH, CT-RI  NEW ORLEANS, LA  12,672  12  38.7  29.9  16.2  NEW YORK, NY  NEW YORK, NY  NEW YORK, NY  15.8  15.8  16.0  16.1  1.58  16.0  1.57  1.45  1.45  1.40  1.58  1.40  1.40  1.50  1.43.5  1.44  1.58  1.40  1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
NASHVILLE, TN  NASSAU-SUFFOLK, NY  30,083  14  49.3  27.8  15.8  18,796  6  49.8  28.9  14.5  NEW BEDFORD, MA  1,545  2  50.7  21.7  13.2  640  0  41.5  21.4  15.8  NEW HAVEN-MERIDEN, CT  4,485  1  44.6  19.7  15.3  NEW LONDON-NORWICH, CT-RI  NEW ORLEANS, LA  12,672  12  38.7  29.9  16.2  50.7  21.7  13.2  10  44.6  10.7  11  48.2  11  48.2  11  48.3  11  48.5  11  48.6  19.7  15.3  3,580  9  56.7  34.3  28.8  NEW ONDON-NORWICH, CT-RI  NEW ORLEANS, LA  12,672  12  38.7  29.9  16.2  5,622  11  43.5  24.4  10.1  NEW YORK, NY  NEW YORK, NY  NEW YORK, NI  NEW YORK, NI  NEW YORK, NI  NEW HAVEN-MERIDEN, CT-RI  3,561  3,581  34.3  34.3  16.2  24,044  102  38.3  31.4  10.1  NEWBURGH, NY-PA  NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-NEWPORT NEWS, VA-NC  11,245  6  44.1  28.0  28.0  24.6  8,716  15  52.2  37.6  28.9  OAKLAND, CA  OCALA, FL  OCALA, FL  OCALA, FL  OCALA, FL  ODESSA-MIDLAND, TX  11,382  1  30.0  11.4  49.3  27.8  11.48  15.8  15.8  18,796  6  49.8  21.8  19,79  13.2  6  6  49.8  28.9  14.5  15.8  18,796  6  49.8  28.9  14.5  15.8  18,796  6  49.8  28.9  14.5  15.8  18,796  6  49.8  28.9  14.5  15.8  18,796  6  49.8  28.9  14.5  15.8  18,796  6  49.8  28.9  14.5  15.8  18,796  6  49.8  28.9  14.5  15.8  18,796  6  49.8  28.9  14.5  18,87  18,87  18,87  18,87  18,87  18,87  18,87  18,89  10  11,897  10  51.1  17.8  941  0  18.9  18.9  19.3  18.9  19.3  18.9  19.3  18.9  18.9  19.1  10.6  26.172  4  4  47.4  42.7  16.2  OCALA, FL  OCAL		,					,	-			
NASSAU-SUFFOLK, NY  NASSAU-SUFFOLK, NY  NEW BEDFORD, MA  1,545  2 50.7  21.7  13.2  640  0 41.5  21.4  15.8  NEW HAVEN-MERIDEN, CT  4,485  1 44.6  19.7  15.3  3,580  9 56.7  34.3  28.8  NEW LONDON-NORWICH, CT-RI  2,330  0 43.4  15.8  NEW ORLEANS, LA  12,672  12 38.7  29.9  16.2  5,622  11 43.5  24.4  12.9  NEW YORK, NY  NEW YORK, NY  15,809  4 42.4  17.9  15.0  12,287  30  49.2  20.8  21.1  NEWBURGH, NY-PA  3,561  0 36.2  19.1  NEWBURGH, NY-PA  NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-NEWPORT NEWS, VA-NC  11,245  6 44.1  28.0  24.6  8,716  15.8  18,796  6 49.8  28.9  14.5  15.8  18.7  6 40  0 41.5  15.8  16.0  1,670  1 48.2  17.7  14.8  12.9  NEW YORK, NY  16.2  17.7  17.8  18.7  18.7  18.7  18.7  18.7  18.7  18.7  18.8  18.8  1		,						_			
NEW BEDFORD, MA         1,545         2         50.7         21.7         13.2         640         0         41.5         21.4         15.8           NEW HAVEN-MERIDEN, CT         4,485         1         44.6         19.7         15.3         3,580         9         56.7         34.3         28.8           NEW LONDON-NORWICH, CT-RI         2,330         0         43.4         15.8         16.0         1,670         1         48.2         17.7         14.8           NEW ORLEANS, LA         12,672         12         38.7         29.9         16.2         5,622         11         43.5         24.4         12.9           NEW YORK, NY         44,791         285         43.3         34.3         16.2         24,044         102         38.3         31.4         10.1           NEW BURGH, NY-PA         15,809         4         42.4         17.9         15.0         12,287         30         49.2         20.8         21.1           NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-NEWPORT NEWS, VA-NC         11,245         6         44.1         28.0         24.6         8,716         15         52.2         37.6         28.9           OAKLAND, CA         31,841         29         50.6 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>							,				
NEW HAVEN-MERIDEN, CT         4,485         1         44.6         19.7         15.3         3,580         9         56.7         34.3         28.8           NEW LONDON-NORWICH, CT-RI         2,330         0         43.4         15.8         16.0         1,670         1         48.2         17.7         14.8           NEW ORLEANS, LA         12,672         12         38.7         29.9         16.2         5,622         11         43.5         24.4         12.9           NEW YORK, NY         44,791         285         43.3         34.3         16.2         24,044         102         38.3         31.4         10.1           NEW BURGH, NY-PA         15,809         4         42.4         17.9         15.0         12,287         30         49.2         20.8         21.1           NEW BURGH, NY-PA         3,561         0         36.2         19.1         10.6         2,610         3         37.9         21.0         11.5           NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-NEWPORT NEWS, VA-NC         11,245         6         44.1         28.0         24.6         8,716         15         52.2         37.6         28.9           OAKLAND, CA         31,841         29         50.6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
NEW LONDON-NORWICH, CT-RI         2,330         0         43.4         15.8         16.0         1,670         1         48.2         17.7         14.8           NEW ORLEANS, LA         12,672         12         38.7         29.9         16.2         5,622         11         43.5         24.4         12.9           NEW YORK, NY         44,791         285         43.3         34.3         16.2         24,044         102         38.3         31.4         10.1           NEW BURGH, NY-PA         15,809         4         42.4         17.9         15.0         12,287         30         49.2         20.8         21.1           NEW BURGH, NY-PA         3,561         0         36.2         19.1         10.6         2,610         3         37.9         21.0         11.5           NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-NEWPORT NEWS, VA-NC         11,245         6         44.1         28.0         24.6         8,716         15         52.2         37.6         28.9           OAKLAND, CA         31,841         29         50.6         51.6         19.6         26,172         4         47.4         42.7         16.2           OCALA, FL         1,897         10         51.1         8.7 <td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,									
NEW ORLEANS, LA         12,672         12         38.7         29.9         16.2         5,622         11         43.5         24.4         12.9           NEW YORK, NY         44,791         285         43.3         34.3         16.2         24,044         102         38.3         31.4         10.1           NEWARK, NJ         15,809         4         42.4         17.9         15.0         12,287         30         49.2         20.8         21.1           NEWBURGH, NY-PA         3,561         0         36.2         19.1         10.6         2,610         3         37.9         21.0         11.5           NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-NEWPORT NEWS, VA-NC         11,245         6         44.1         28.0         24.6         8,716         15         52.2         37.6         28.9           OAKLAND, CA         31,841         29         50.6         51.6         19.6         26,172         4         47.4         42.7         16.2           OCALA, FL         1,897         10         51.1         8.7         5.8         1,852         1         34.0         19.8         8.0           ODESSA-MIDLAND, TX         1,382         1         30.0         11.7         1	*										
NEW YORK, NY         44,791         285         43.3         34.3         16.2         24,044         102         38.3         31.4         10.1           NEWARK, NJ         15,809         4         42.4         17.9         15.0         12,287         30         49.2         20.8         21.1           NEWBURGH, NY-PA         3,561         0         36.2         19.1         10.6         2,610         3         37.9         21.0         11.5           NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-NEWPORT NEWS, VA-NC         11,245         6         44.1         28.0         24.6         8,716         15         52.2         37.6         28.9           OAKLAND, CA         31,841         29         50.6         51.6         19.6         26,172         4         47.4         42.7         16.2           OCALA, FL         1,897         10         51.1         8.7         5.8         1,852         1         34.0         19.8         8.0           ODESSA-MIDLAND, TX         1,382         1         30.0         11.7         17.8         941         0         18.9         20.7         5.7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,									
NEWARK, NJ         15,809         4         42.4         17.9         15.0         12,287         30         49.2         20.8         21.1           NEWBURGH, NY-PA         3,561         0         36.2         19.1         10.6         2,610         3         37.9         21.0         11.5           NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-NEWPORT NEWS, VA-NC         11,245         6         44.1         28.0         24.6         8,716         15         52.2         37.6         28.9           OAKLAND, CA         31,841         29         50.6         51.6         19.6         26,172         4         47.4         42.7         16.2           OCALA, FL         1,897         10         51.1         8.7         5.8         1,852         1         34.0         19.8         8.0           ODESSA-MIDLAND, TX         1,382         1         30.0         11.7         17.8         941         0         18.9         20.7         5.7	,										
NEWBURGH, NY-PA         3,561         0         36.2         19.1         10.6         2,610         3         37.9         21.0         11.5           NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-NEWPORT NEWS, VA-NC         11,245         6         44.1         28.0         24.6         8,716         15         52.2         37.6         28.9           OAKLAND, CA         31,841         29         50.6         51.6         19.6         26,172         4         47.4         42.7         16.2           OCALA, FL         1,897         10         51.1         8.7         5.8         1,852         1         34.0         19.8         8.0           ODESSA-MIDLAND, TX         1,382         1         30.0         11.7         17.8         941         0         18.9         20.7         5.7	,										
NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-NEWPORT NEWS, VA-NC         11,245         6         44.1         28.0         24.6         8,716         15         52.2         37.6         28.9           OAKLAND, CA         31,841         29         50.6         51.6         19.6         26,172         4         47.4         42.7         16.2           OCALA, FL         1,897         10         51.1         8.7         5.8         1,852         1         34.0         19.8         8.0           ODESSA-MIDLAND, TX         1,382         1         30.0         11.7         17.8         941         0         18.9         20.7         5.7	NEWARK, NJ		· ·				,				
OAKLAND, CA     31,841     29     50.6     51.6     19.6     26,172     4     47.4     42.7     16.2       OCALA, FL     1,897     10     51.1     8.7     5.8     1,852     1     34.0     19.8     8.0       ODESSA-MIDLAND, TX     1,382     1     30.0     11.7     17.8     941     0     18.9     20.7     5.7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
OCALA, FL     1,897     10     51.1     8.7     5.8     1,852     1     34.0     19.8     8.0       ODESSA-MIDLAND, TX     1,382     1     30.0     11.7     17.8     941     0     18.9     20.7     5.7	,	,					,				
ODESSA-MIDLAND, TX 1,382 1 30.0 11.7 17.8 941 0 18.9 20.7 5.7											
	OCALA, FL	,	10				,	_			
0777 4770144 07777 077	ODESSA-MIDLAND, TX	1,382	•	30.0						20.7	
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 10,685 11 47.4 17.5 25.4 5,499 18 42.6 18.4 20.6	OKLAHOMA CITY, OK	10,685	11	47.4	17.5	25.4	5,499	18	42.6	18.4	20.6

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.

			Fannie Ma	ae				Freddie N	Мас	
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent
OLYMPIA, WA	2,847	1	44.9	29.8	9.9	1,921	1	49.8	30.3	13.8
OMAHA, NE-IA	7,772	25	63.7	8.6	42.9	5,379	14	52.2	19.7	20.3
ORANGE COUNTY, CA	37,809	40	51.4	34.5	19.1	25,722	27	54.7	30.1	16.8
ORLANDO, FL	19,871	20	51.1	27.9	17.0	17,374	20	49.8	31.9	15.7
OWENSBORO, KY	723	0	38.9	18.7	12.4	937	0	36.9	12.8	12.1
PANAMA CITY, FL	1,375	0	32.8	14.5	11.2	1,435	0	37.4	15.8	10.4
PARKERSBURG-MARIETTA, WV-OH	574	0	44.0	30.5	18.1	827	0	29.6	20.5	10.5
PENSACOLA, FL	3,266	0	36.2	18.6	11.5	3,007	1	33.9	21.8	12.0
PEORIA-PEKIN, IL	3,427	0	45.3	17.1	15.7	1,939	2	46.0	15.4	16.6
PHILADELPHIA, PA-NJ	42,320	13	39.5	14.8	13.4	29,319	36	46.9	18.2	18.5
PHOENIX-MESA, AZ	53,939	31	46.1	25.9	18.3	35,324	27	50.0	24.0	20.0
PINE BLUFF, AR	292	0	25.8	14.3	10.3	183	0	25.4	17.8	7.1
PITTSBURGH, PA	14,178	10	30.1	14.6	8.4	10,313	4	35.8	15.5	10.0
PITTSFIELD, MA	450	0	39.4	10.8	8.6	182	0	37.1	8.3	10.4
POCATELLO, ID	842	0	45.6	29.2	16.8	599	0	47.3	31.5	19.3
PORTLAND-VANCOUVER, OR-WA	3,703	5	47.8	27.0	16.8	2,350	1	50.0	25.3	17.2
PORTLAND, ME	27,712	25	40.7	22.9	16.5	24,814	11	42.3	16.0	11.7
PORTSMOUTH-ROCHESTER, NH-ME	3,695	0	35.3	9.7	8.9	2,078	0	36.2	10.5	9.5
PROVIDENCE-FALL RIVER-WARWICK, RI-MA	12,094	7	42.6	18.1	15.4	6,244	4	48.3	15.6	14.8
PROVO-OREM, UT	5,004	1	37.5	18.2	8.0	4,813	3	42.9	15.5	10.3
PUEBLO, CO	2,019	0	34.1	31.4	10.0	1,223	0	39.9	35.2	12.5
PUNTA GORDA, FL	1,546	1	53.1	14.5	14.4	1,653	0	42.1	18.9	14.8
RACINE, WI	2,225	1	50.1	19.9	22.3	1,910	0	49.4	15.1	16.9
RALEIGH-DURHAM-CHAPEL HILL, NC	15,642	24	61.2	16.0	17.5	13,609	14	49.3	22.5	16.7
RAPID CITY, SD	765	0	30.9	20.3	13.4	505	0	32.3	15.8	9.3
READING, PA	3,648	0	38.6	7.1	10.7	2,026	2	45.2	6.6	20.6
REDDING, CA	1,534	0	31.9	17.6	8.9	1,763	0	36.1	15.9	10.7
RENO, NV	4,117	5	56.0	23.4	23.7	4,123	6	51.9	16.7	15.5
RICHLAND-KENNEWICK-PASCO, WA	1,639	1	37.0	17.4	18.3	1,471	0	48.0	29.6	17.9
RICHMOND-PETERSBURG, VA	10,341	12	59.7	17.4	29.5	8,034	2	45.1	21.9	20.0
RIVERSIDE-SAN BERNARDINO, CA	32,695	23	37.2	36.1	9.8	23,706	15	37.6	36.6	11.5
ROANOKE, VA	2,059	0	43.2	15.4	15.1	2,105	1	46.3	20.3	17.4
ROCHESTER, MN	1,316	0	54.9	23.7	24.2	2,014	0	57.3	21.9	23.7
ROCHESTER, NY	7,029	3	42.8	14.3	13.3	6,176	7	45.4	16.4	14.8
ROCKFORD, IL	4,921	0	45.9	16.0	17.0	5,392	1	48.9	15.2	18.3
ROCKY MOUNT, NC	736	1	51.4	32.7	10.4	1,016	0	29.3	40.1	9.0
SACRAMENTO, CA	23,376	19	44.7	24.4	17.0	17,388	6	43.8	25.1	17.3
SAGINAW-BAY CITY-MIDLAND, MI	4,211	2	50.2	24.0	22.7	2,347	2	53.6	15.4	15.2
SALEM, OR	1,481	3	39.0	12.7	9.9	1,667	1	45.6	12.2	9.3
SALINAS, CA	812	0	32.6	56.8	9.4	778	0	42.3	60.4	10.4
SALT LAKE CITY-OGDEN, UT	34,345	12	48.8	24.2	18.3	25,201	4	51.7	22.2	19.1
SAN ANGELO, TX	3,842	0	46.9	16.2	5.4	3,542	8	31.8	24.4	12.4
SAN ANTONIO, TX	3,628	0	44.3	38.6	19.1	3,035	5	40.8	42.5	16.9
SAN DIEGO, CA	19,968	3	37.0	29.9	11.6	16,359	5	37.7	27.2	11.4
SAN FRANCISCO, CA	495	1	51.2	55.0	14.5	359	0	61.8	60.7	13.5
*										

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.

			Fannie Ma	ae				Freddie N	Мас	
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent
SAN JOSE, CA	9,102	17	60.6	64.9	20.7	6,730	13	64.0	63.0	24.7
SAN LUIS OBISPO-ATASCADERO-PASO ROBLES, CA	15,969	16	34.7	21.6	8.1	10,986	17	36.2	20.8	10.5
SANTA BARBARA-SANTA MARIA-LOMPOC, CA	20,936	19	44.5	39.0	13.4	15,122	9	43.0	31.3	15.0
SANTA CRUZ-WATSONVILLE, CA	7,600	0	38.5	42.3	11.3	5,628	0	44.9	42.4	13.1
SANTA FE, NM	3,729	3	59.9	61.1	42.0	3,354	0	54.4	71.6	19.3
SANTA ROSA, CA	3,734	10	43.9	21.3	10.1	2,565	1	39.9	17.6	10.2
SARASOTA-BRADENTON, FL	3,284	10	51.0	13.4	17.0	3,168	1	49.7	18.4	16.3
SAVANNAH, GA	2,355	5	45.4	13.3	8.4	1,541	0	33.3	19.8	10.1
SCRANTONWILKES-BARREHAZLETON, PA	7,258	3	39.6	16.3	14.4	6,142	2	38.4	14.0	13.7
SEATTLE-BELLEVUE-EVERETT, WA	7,191	2	54.7	36.1	22.1	6,615	7	56.4	33.7	22.0
SHARON, PA	2,455	2	26.2	7.8	5.3	2,840	0	30.4	10.8	6.3
SHEBOYGAN, WI	2,453	0	51.1	14.0	17.1	2,370	1	56.5	16.7	22.8
*	44,001	63	40.3	9.5	24.8	29,546	26	30.3 47.0	7.5	35.3
SHERMAN-DENISON, TX SHREVEPORT-BOSSIER CITY, LA	753	0	34.0	9.5 9.6	5.5	356	0	23.0	12.1	8.4
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			50.3	9.6 27.5					15.3	
SIOUX CITY, IA-NE	1,839	0			14.6	1,016	0	41.6		16.5
SIOUX FALLS, SD	874	1	40.2	19.7	12.7	519	1	44.3	24.4	10.8
SOUTH BEND, IN	3,355	2	46.6	16.2	21.7	824	•	54.4	15.3	20.3
SPOKANE, WA	946	1	41.7	22.5	13.7	410	0	47.5	24.6	18.4
SPRINGFIELD, IL	2,138	0	50.2	21.5	17.9	1,374	2	56.5	32.9	30.3
SPRINGFIELD, MA	2,693	1	41.0	15.6	9.8	1,767	1	46.3	20.4	15.9
SPRINGFIELD, MO	4,911	2	43.4	21.0	17.7	4,214	0	44.4	20.0	16.0
ST. CLOUD, MN	2,792	0	50.1	32.0	23.8	1,853	1	44.5	20.3	12.8
ST. JOSEPH, MO	2,924	I	36.0	15.6	11.4	3,395	0	40.2	15.1	14.6
ST. LOUIS, MO-IL	4,154	1	45.9	17.6	19.5	1,971	1	43.8	20.9	17.0
STAMFORD-NORWALK, CT	2,929	1	66.0	58.4	36.6	2,013	1	69.7	53.7	39.1
STATE COLLEGE, PA	1,022	0	24.8	34.7	5.1	1,036	2	40.6	30.2	5.6
STEUBENVILLE-WEIRTON, OH-WV	538	0	37.8	23.8	10.9	436	0	33.7	19.4	8.3
STOCKTON-LODI, CA	4,970	5	34.8	28.6	14.9	4,491	2	38.6	32.5	9.3
SUMTER, SC	477	0	33.5	26.2	9.6	412	0	29.6	32.4	8.5
SYRACUSE, NY	3,580	1	34.1	14.8	13.0	2,750	1	40.2	15.5	19.3
TACOMA, WA	10,067	5	38.6	19.3	12.0	6,781	1	44.3	18.8	12.1
TALLAHASSEE, FL	1,856	2	52.1	23.8	32.0	2,525	7	53.2	44.7	30.1
TAMPA-ST. PETERSBURG-CLEARWATER, FL	25,950	9	47.5	19.7	17.4	23,011	20	45.0	27.9	16.2
TERRE HAUTE, IN	342	0	37.0	19.7	11.6	1,266	0	41.1	16.2	16.2
TEXARKANA, TX-TEXARKANA, AR	560	0	20.2	20.3	5.1	251	0	29.4	22.6	6.4
TOLEDO, OH	9,101	2	43.8	16.4	15.6	4,865	7	51.1	15.3	21.9
TOPEKA, KS	1,297	2	47.8	13.6	17.0	999	3	66.5	7.1	19.1
TRENTON, NJ	2,990	0	39.3	13.2	13.2	2,063	4	56.6	34.6	35.7
TUCSON, AZ	11,802	11	46.4	21.4	17.4	7,705	6	44.3	23.3	16.1
TULSA, OK	7,807	8	43.8	12.6	21.4	4,144	3	41.4	12.5	10.9
TUSCALOOSA, AL	1,119	0	33.5	14.4	7.9	1,186	2	46.3	28.8	11.8
TYLER, TX	1,128	0	29.6	12.6	7.1	814	0	21.6	17.8	5.4
UTICA-ROME, NY	970	1	34.7	15.1	8.3	693	0	38.0	17.4	12.2
VALLEJO-FAIRFIELD-NAPA, CA	6,291	8	47.3	37.4	7.5	4,801	0	36.9	37.4	10.5
VENTURA, CA	10,798	4	43.9	33.8	14.4	8,408	7	52.1	30.2	15.2
	•									

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.

			Fannie Ma	ne				Freddie N	Мас	
	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable	Number of	Properties	Low-Mod	Geo-Targeted	Special Affordable
MSA	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent	Single-Family	Multifamily	Percent	Percent	Percent
VICTORIA, TX	252	0	22.5	26.1	10.5	381	1	27.4	33.4	7.3
VINELAND-MILLVILLE-BRIDGETON, NJ	625	0	38.0	24.6	11.4	471	1	44.2	25.7	12.9
VISALIA-TULARE-PORTERVILLE, CA	2,459	1	31.9	36.0	6.4	2,031	0	30.2	44.9	7.1
WACO, TX	1,028	1	44.4	32.2	13.3	854	0	21.3	20.9	5.8
WASHINGTON, DC-MD-VA-WV	52,934	49	61.4	34.3	34.8	38,846	55	64.6	38.4	34.8
WATERBURY, CT	1,422	0	43.8	9.7	15.7	1,264	2	47.4	9.8	14.3
WATERLOO-CEDAR FALLS, IA	1,043	0	44.5	18.8	15.8	1,165	0	51.7	28.9	26.7
WAUSAU, WI	1,039	1	54.5	11.1	22.3	983	0	47.0	12.6	15.4
WEST PALM BEACH-BOCA RATON, FL	15,301	3	49.8	19.9	19.1	12,785	6	50.8	25.0	20.1
WHEELING, WV-OH	490	0	36.1	18.9	10.8	549	0	29.7	18.1	7.5
WICHITA FALLS, TX	3,479	3	44.2	16.2	6.1	3,158	2	33.7	21.6	12.8
WICHITA, KS	662	1	58.8	12.0	38.2	469	0	51.7	17.6	16.7
WILLIAMSPORT, PA	677	0	27.9	19.4	5.5	555	0	31.1	12.4	7.6
WILMINGTON-NEWARK, DE-MD	5,913	0	49.1	18.0	16.6	4,735	3	58.6	21.6	28.8
WILMINGTON, NC	3,540	1	41.5	18.3	11.7	3,185	1	40.6	22.1	18.8
WORCHESTER, MA-CT	5,930	2	41.3	14.8	16.4	3,652	2	43.9	14.0	15.6
YAKIMA, WA	1,649	0	33.2	27.4	10.8	1,511	0	42.3	33.9	13.9
YOLO, CA	2,038	3	50.1	42.2	31.7	1,341	1	47.0	36.1	11.9
YORK, PA	3,969	1	42.8	9.3	11.4	3,108	1	42.3	11.4	11.6
YOUNGSTOWN-WARREN, OH	4,939	1	39.7	16.9	10.4	1,936	0	42.9	19.1	14.8
YUBA CITY, CA	951	0	28.6	20.5	7.1	1,016	0	30.1	30.5	8.1
YUMA, AZ	954	0	29.2	38.1	9.8	748	1	28.4	39.0	9.4

Note: This table gives Low- and Moderate-Income, Geographically Targeted, and Special Affordable percentages based on application of housing goal counting rules to units in properties covered by GSE mortgage purchases in each MSA. The table also gives total numbers of single-family (1- to 4-unit) and multifamily (5 or more unit) properties covered by GSE mortgage purchases in each MSA. The Housing Goals are defined on a national level. The GSEs are not required to meet the Housing Goals in individual MSAs.

Table 9

Single-Family Mortgages by Goal Category:
GSE Purchases and Conventional Conforming Market Originations
Metropolitan Areas, 2000

		Mortgages sed by:	Low-	and Moderate-I	ncome	Geog	raphically Targ	eted	Special Affordable		e
MSA	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>
ABILENE, TX	402	234	26.8 %*	24.2 %	36.3 %**	19.9 %	24.8 %	34.9 %	5.6 %	8.8 %	17.5 %
AKRON, OH	7,597	3,618	43.4	40.5	48.0	26.8	23.9	33.2	15.9	14.6	21.7
ALBANY, GA	502	341	36.3	38.6	43.2	18.2	22.3	23.0	10.8	14.0	16.8
ALBANY-SCHENECTADY-TROY, NY	4,673	1,697	24.5	31.4	39.9	17.3	27.9	34.3	6.3	13.7	17.7
ALBUQUERQUE, NM	4,664	3,852	42.3	42.9	46.3	49.1	50.8	53.4	16.9	16.8	19.6
ALEXANDRIA, LA	664	122	23.5	28.1	36.1	14.0	18.9	29.5	7.9	9.6	15.6
ALLENTOWN-BETHLEHEM-EASTON, PA	3,482	3,963	39.9	38.0	43.1	22.4	21.0	26.3	12.2	13.8	16.2
ALTOONA, PA	279	437	38.5	33.8	41.9	28.3	24.9	32.9	10.4	10.2	15.3
AMARILLO, TX	1,169	605	29.8	29.2	36.3	16.6	16.9	21.2	9.8	8.3	14.0
ANCHORAGE, AK	947	911	30.6	27.8	39.0	17.8	14.3	22.0	9.1	6.3	16.3
ANN ARBOR, MI	7,012	4,916	49.4	46.6	52.2	20.1	18.7	23.5	17.3	15.8	20.8
ANNISTON, AL	437	363	41.4	57.8	50.4	8.2	10.5	16.6	12.6	23.2	19.2
APPLETON-OSHKOSH-NEENAH, WI	2,905	2,123	46.3	49.8	50.0	15.0	17.6	17.9	12.7	15.6	16.0
ASHEVILLE, NC	1,460	1,563	42.8	38.1	48.2	20.4	17.8	22.9	15.1	13.0	20.4
ATHENS, GA	1,108	772	37.2	34.9	38.6	23.9	22.3	28.2	11.7	10.2	14.6
ATLANTA, GA	42,513	37,347	47.4	48.5	49.4	28.8	28.2	34.7	17.3	17.7	19.7
ATLANTIC-CAPE MAY, NJ	3,497	3,235	31.6	29.3	32.6	27.8	25.5	27.6	10.1	10.1	10.9
AUBURN-OPELIKA, AL	712	400	36.0	50.5	45.6	19.8	21.5	33.1	14.6	13.5	22.5
AUGUSTA-AIKEN, GA-SC	3,242	2,292	39.1	49.3	48.2	27.6	32.2	43.0	14.3	18.9	21.5
AUSTIN-SAN MARCOS, TX	10,303	10,715	31.6	32.1	36.0	23.1	21.7	27.5	10.5	10.7	14.9
BAKERSFIELD, CA	3,842	1,916	27.6	28.0	30.4	25.9	25.5	25.3	13.8	10.8	12.7
BALTIMORE, MD	13,283	14,948	43.3	48.7	45.9	21.3	25.0	24.6	17.1	21.1	18.6
BANGOR, ME	382	227	32.4	35.6	38.4	10.6	7.9	13.0	9.5	9.3	14.8
BARNSTABLE-YARMOUTH, MA	1,706	1,142	26.9	25.7	26.9	39.9	33.4	31.7	7.5	6.9	7.5
BATON ROUGE, LA	4,009	1,643	35.2	38.0	41.7	23.6	26.8	33.4	11.3	12.8	17.4
BEAUMONT-PORT ARTHUR, TX	939	1,205	31.0	32.3	40.0	15.8	22.1	25.4	8.6	10.9	15.1
BELLINGHAM, WA	1,516	1,402	41.5	40.4	41.9	25.5	24.2	25.2	11.8	10.6	12.7
BENTON HARBOR, MI	1,030	983	41.1	41.8	49.7	12.4	9.3	17.3	16.3	16.1	22.4
BERGEN-PASSAIC, NJ	7,258	5,684	44.1	48.4	43.2	27.5	30.7	29.8	13.4	15.6	14.2
BILLINGS, MT	729	507	44.2	38.6	48.1	17.0	14.4	21.8	15.2	13.2	17.4
BILOXI-GULFPORT-PASCAGOULA, MS	1,878	701	32.3	40.0	39.0	23.9	29.8	27.4	9.0	14.6	14.4
BINGHAMTON, NY	558	507	33.5	36.1	46.5	20.6	22.7	27.8	10.3	13.3	18.6
BIRMINGHAM, AL	5,885	5,048	40.5	40.7	50.5	19.2	21.8	29.8	13.5	13.6	22.8

<sup>\*</sup> Interpreted as follows: 26.8% of Fannie Mae's year 2000 purchases were for low- and moderate-income borrowers.

Additional footnotes follow the table.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Interpreted as follows: 36.3% of mortgages originated during 2000 in the conventional conforming market were for low- and moderate-income borrowers. The market estimate includes only the A and A-minus portions of the conventional conforming market.

		Mortgages	Low-	and Moderate-I	ncome	Geog	graphically Targ	eted	S	pecial Affordab	le
MSA	Purch: Fannie Mae	ased by: Freddie Mac	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>
MSA	rannie Mae	rieddie Mac	ranne wae	Freddie Mac	Market	rannie Mae	rieddie Mac	Market	rannie iviae	Freddie Mac	Market
BISMARCK, ND	348	277	33.3	37.3	45.0	23.9	23.1	24.1	11.0	12.7	16.6
	960		33.3 40.4	37.3 47.5			14.3				
BLOOMINGTON, IN	749	440			48.1	20.1		20.4	15.1	16.4	19.6
BLOOMINGTON-NORMAL, IL		1,464	58.4	52.7	55.4	29.8	27.6	32.9	24.1	21.0	24.9
BOISE CITY, ID	4,594	3,152	40.9	37.4	44.5	25.6	23.2	29.0	14.9	12.7	18.0
BOSTON, MA-NH	28,963	14,648	43.7	40.7	43.9	31.5	31.1	33.8	15.5	12.9	15.6
BOULDER-LONGMONT, CO	4,249	2,959	49.9	48.6	51.6	23.5	24.1	24.2	19.3	17.1	19.4
BRAZORIA, TX	1,399	1,301	24.5	27.4	34.4	16.2	25.1	22.4	4.7	8.2	12.0
BREMERTON, WA	2,215	1,100	34.7	37.9	36.2	16.5	13.6	16.0	9.7	11.4	10.4
BRIDGEPORT, CT	3,397	2,542	50.3	55.7	51.7	19.6	22.5	19.5	18.5	19.3	19.3
BROCKTON, MA	2,081	1,130	44.7	46.9	42.9	15.5	13.4	16.0	12.8	12.9	13.1
BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-SAN BENITO, TX	733	720	18.4	14.8	23.8	48.6	54.6	57.5	5.7	3.9	8.4
BRYAN-COLLEGE STATION, TX	592	673	23.9	19.2	26.7	16.6	21.7	25.4	8.7	4.7	9.8
BUFFALO-NIAGARA FALLS, NY	6,430	3,309	32.7	34.9	46.0	10.6	15.0	18.5	9.3	11.6	18.6
BURLINGTON, VT	1,189	1,210	38.9	38.2	42.7	18.8	17.9	20.7	11.5	10.8	14.3
CANTON-MASSILLON, OH	4,493	1,577	48.5	50.2	55.8	18.1	19.8	27.6	16.1	20.0	25.3
CASPER, WY	342	250	37.9	36.9	50.1	21.6	24.0	25.8	12.7	13.6	23.2
CEDAR RAPIDS, IA	1,710	1,477	52.9	49.4	56.4	17.8	14.7	21.0	20.7	17.2	25.0
CHAMPAIGN-URBANA, IL	664	1,162	48.8	49.0	46.8	14.6	14.5	16.7	15.8	17.0	18.5
CHARLESTON-NORTH CHARLESTON, SC	4,144	4,351	32.0	31.1	41.1	24.6	30.2	36.4	9.2	8.6	16.2
CHARLESTON, WV	997	692	34.9	28.5	43.8	25.6	22.0	32.2	11.0	9.3	18.2
CHARLOTTE-GASTONIA-ROCK HILL, NC-SC	11,842	15,116	43.8	44.5	50.4	21.3	20.5	29.1	15.0	16.2	21.6
CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA	916	1,652	45.6	45.4	47.6	22.6	21.3	26.2	16.6	17.0	19.7
CHATTANOOGA, TN-GA	3,172	1,949	42.9	48.7	52.7	21.9	22.6	33.0	15.1	18.3	23.8
CHEYENNE, WY	556	355	36.5	32.5	46.6	21.2	20.0	30.5	13.6	9.7	20.0
CHICAGO, IL	66,713	57,419	51.9	50.8	53.0	24.7	21.9	28.3	19.3	18.0	21.0
CHICO-PARADISE, CA	1,888	1,125	27.7	26.5	26.6	30.0	29.7	30.0	11.5	8.5	9.3
CINCINNATI, OH-KY-IN	16,839	12,029	43.6	45.7	51.5	24.2	21.4	31.4	17.5	16.2	21.8
CLARKSVILLE-HOPKINSVILLE, TN-KY	559	627	34.3	47.1	43.1	13.1	16.9	21.6	8.7	17.3	16.5
CLEVELAND-LORAIN-ELYRIA, OH	21,913	9,459	49.8	49.4	53.7	21.1	24.6	31.1	18.8	18.9	24.2
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO	4,820	4,024	36.0	33.1	36.7	24.6	21.7	28.5	13.2	10.5	13.0
COLUMBIA, MO	666	1,266	47.9	50.6	49.2	14.1	16.9	20.7	15.7	19.6	20.6
COLUMBIA, SC	3,297	4,606	44.7	45.5	52.1	26.8	27.5	38.1	16.2	17.2	23.7
COLUMBUS, GA-AL	1,287	1,197	31.4	44.5	41.0	18.5	23.5	37.0	11.5	15.7	18.8
COLUMBUS, OH	11,687	9.324	42.8	45.2	49.5	18.9	21.1	28.0	16.9	18.1	21.7
CORPUS CHRISTI, TX	1,266	1,121	19.9	25.1	29.6	26.4	40.6	38.9	5.0	8.9	10.8
CORVALLIS, OR	650	401	36.6	35.9	38.3	41.7	36.4	43.5	11.7	12.3	12.2
CUMBERLAND, MD-WV	279	197	32.8	33.2	39.6	11.5	10.2	15.9	8.9	9.6	14.5
DALLAS, TX	23,198	21,390	33.3	34.6	36.6	17.4	19.1	22.7	11.0	12.4	15.2
DANBURY, CT	2,066	1,350	62.7	61.6	63.6	25.5	25.3	25.9	25.8	23.8	27.5
DANVILLE, VA	2,000	231	33.0	36.8	47.7	28.7	22.2	32.0	23.8 11.6	12.3	22.7
DAVENPORT-MOLINE-ROCK ISLAND, IA-IL	1,712	2,160	47.2	49.0	51.7	22.1	23.9	31.5	19.0	19.4	23.9
DAYTON-SPRINGFIELD, OH	6,510	5,993	48.9	51.9	56.8	21.0	21.6	32.7	19.3	22.6	27.5
DAYTONA BEACH, FL	5,129 842	4,374	39.3	43.3	42.5	10.8	12.1 24.5	14.4 37.0	13.7	16.8	15.5
DECATUR, AL		502	43.6	47.3	55.9	24.7			17.8	18.8	27.1
DECATUR, IL	414	473	45.5	50.1	48.3	21.7	27.5	29.9	20.4	21.0	22.3
DENVER, CO	28,815	20,448	48.0	44.6	48.0	28.9	26.5	30.9	19.5	16.6	18.8
DES MOINES, IA	3,009	3,474	52.5	53.3	55.9	20.7	19.9	23.4	19.6	20.4	24.3

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.

		Mortgages	Low-	and Moderate-I	ncome	Geog	graphically Targ	eted	SI	pecial Affordabl	.e
MSA	Fannie Mae	reddie Mac	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>
DETROIT. MI	47.250	25.0(1	50.4	50.1	55.4	22.2	21.5	29.9	19.0	19.0	24.0
DOTHAN, AL	47,259 469	35,961 370	43.8	35.9	55.4 50.8	23.2 14.1	21.5 12.7	29.9	19.0	8.2	24.0
DOVER, DE	560	549	36.3	45.8	30.8 47.9	20.4	27.0	21.9	10.5	15.7	18.3
DUBUQUE, IA	516	353	50.5 57.1	58.1	56.1	17.8	18.7	18.4	22.2	17.8	19.3
DULUTH-SUPERIOR, MN-WI	1,148	1,157	44.0	45.6	47.1	19.2	21.1	23.9	14.1	15.6	18.3
DUTCHESS COUNTY, NY	2,150	1,137	38.8	32.6	39.6	23.9	19.2	22.6	10.2	10.0	11.5
EAU CLAIRE, WI	421	1,031	38.5	40.1	44.8	27.3	21.4	29.2	11.5	11.9	17.4
EL PASO, TX	1,756	1,661	26.1	35.9	36.1	46.1	52.9	54.9	10.1	16.6	16.0
ELKHART-GOSHEN, IN	898	1,472	58.8	54.4	63.0	13.3	9.2	34.9 12.7	23.8	17.8	26.2
	195	265	39.6	30.6	43.1	12.3	17.4	20.0	10.7	6.5	15.0
ELMIRA, NY ENID, OK	164	174	24.0	35.7	44.6	9.1	15.5	29.3	9.3	12.5	22.1
	654			35.1			18.0	29.3		10.8	
ERIE, PA	3,027	1,021 2,082	38.6 29.8	32.3	38.5 32.3	19.4 34.5	31.7	35.9	11.1 9.1	9.1	14.0 9.9
EUGENE-SPRINGFIELD, OR				45.3	52.5 52.5	18.3				17.4	
EVANSVILLE-HENDERSON, IN-KY	1,948	1,860	44.0	45.3			15.6 19.9	23.6	16.7 13.2	17.4	24.1
FARGO-MOORHEAD, ND-MN	589	682	39.5		44.4	21.9		24.0			16.3
FAYETTEVILLE, NC	724	1,352	33.4	33.3	39.7	16.9	18.5	21.3	10.4	11.9	15.3
FAYETTEVILLE-SPRINGDALE-ROGERS, AR	2,792	1,232	32.5	25.4	41.4	49.7	51.7	52.1	9.8	7.2	17.8
FITCHBURG-LEOMINSTER, MA	865	584	44.3	46.4	43.1	16.2	11.3	13.9	14.5	12.0	13.2
FLAGSTAFF, AZ-UT	1,096	902	30.5	25.5	31.6	25.7	28.4	27.8	7.4	7.3	9.8
FLINT, MI	4,139	1,991	43.7	47.6	48.7	14.8	14.1	20.8	15.9	17.0	20.9
FLORENCE, AL	700	438	41.8	59.8	48.2	16.0	17.6	21.2	13.5	21.6	20.6
FLORENCE, SC	759	600	32.6	31.7	53.9	26.9	28.2	48.8	10.7	9.9	27.3
FORT COLLINS-LOVELAND, CO	3,759	2,479	40.8	35.8	39.8	29.4	27.4	29.9	13.8	10.6	13.1
FORT LAUDERDALE, FL	19,302	13,873	46.4	48.4	45.9	20.7	22.5	23.9	17.7	20.4	18.3
FORT MYERS-CAPE CORAL, FL	6,250	5,102	36.6	37.7	36.2	17.3	19.5	21.2	13.1	14.1	13.1
FORT PIERCE-PORT ST. LUCIE, FL	2,740	2,419	43.1	46.5	45.9	8.2	11.9	12.1	17.5	18.0	18.5
FORT SMITH, AR-OK	897	507	26.0	23.4	38.3	16.7	23.9	32.4	6.1	5.8	14.4
FORT WALTON BEACH, FL	1,239	892	31.6	28.9	35.2	17.6	17.2	24.4	13.1	11.3	15.6
FORT WAYNE, IN	2,602	3,977	52.9	48.6	56.6	16.6	15.7	26.1	20.3	18.6	25.9
FORT WORTH-ARLINGTON, TX	10,339	8,943	38.0	39.0	43.3	23.5	24.1	29.5	12.9	15.1	18.9
FRESNO, CA	5,813	2,857	25.5	27.4	24.9	45.0	37.3	40.3	11.1	9.8	8.9
GADSDEN, AL	241	475	37.6	36.0	43.9	15.4	16.4	25.2	10.7	12.6	16.0
GAINESVILLE, FL	1,279	1,076	32.4	35.0	39.2	19.3	16.3	23.7	10.9	12.5	16.1
GALVESTON-TEXAS CITY, TX	1,868	1,503	27.9	26.5	31.6	21.6	20.9	26.2	8.9	10.1	12.2
GARY, IN	3,126	3,198	45.8	46.5	47.8	12.0	15.7	19.6	15.8	17.5	19.9
GLENS FALLS, NY	948	186	30.7	37.1	39.1	23.2	21.5	24.5	9.5	8.0	11.6
GOLDSBORO, NC	214	401	36.3	33.6	50.9	25.2	24.9	35.5	11.8	7.8	20.5
GRAND FORKS, ND-MN	226	187	31.3	33.1	42.4	16.4	18.7	20.1	9.0	11.4	17.8
GRAND JUNCTION, CO	1,295	1,144	33.7	34.0	36.2	35.5	35.1	37.4	10.4	9.3	11.2
GRAND RAPIDS-MUSKEGON-HOLLAND, MI	10,094	10,107	51.6	46.6	56.6	20.8	17.5	25.5	18.5	15.2	23.0
GREAT FALLS, MT	283	319	32.6	30.4	35.3	16.6	14.7	20.9	12.2	9.1	13.4
GREELEY, CO	2,613	1,636	29.2	25.5	28.7	21.2	21.8	25.6	7.8	7.3	8.7
GREEN BAY, WI	1,588	1,703	48.9	51.3	52.3	15.9	17.9	20.7	16.6	17.9	19.3
GREENSBOROWINSTON-SALEMHIGH POINT, NC	5,901	8,715	43.2	42.7	50.7	22.8	20.4	31.9	15.1	14.8	21.5
GREENVILLE, NC	604	780	37.4	30.2	50.9	24.2	22.7	40.4	11.1	8.9	26.2
GREENVILLE-SPARTANBURG-ANDERSON, SC	5,013	7,486	44.2	43.4	52.2	19.9	18.3	28.8	15.1	15.0	23.3
HAGERSTOWN, MD	453	553	40.4	40.9	40.5	11.0	14.6	16.4	11.5	14.1	12.8
HAMILTON-MIDDLETOWN, OH	3,107	2,505	46.6	44.7	49.6	20.9	17.6	27.5	18.7	17.5	22.7

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.

		f Mortgages	Low- and Moderate-Income			Geographically Targeted		eted	Special Affordable		
MSA	Fannie Mae	ased by: Freddie Mac	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>
HARRISBURG-LEBANON-CARLISLE, PA	3,093	2,680	46.4	41.5	48.2	20.0	20.0	27.3	14.3	13.0	18.3
HARTFORD, CT	6,946	5,947	44.7	46.1	45.7	15.9	16.2	18.0	14.8	15.3	15.3
HATTIESBURG, MS	730	232	29.1	21.1	36.8	13.2	16.4	21.8	7.2	7.2	14.7
HICKORY-MORGANTON-LENOIR, NC	1,559	2,555	46.0	43.4	53.2	12.9	10.7	15.7	14.4	14.5	20.9
HONOLULU, HI	3,965	1,669	42.6	38.8	36.7	65.5	66.6	65.4	15.7	13.9	12.3
HOUMA, LA	884	181	29.2	28.8	36.0	33.0	35.9	40.8	7.7	8.2	13.0
HOUSTON, TX	27,510	22,365	33.0	37.8	40.9	28.6	31.9	35.5	10.6	15.4	17.6
HUNTINGTON-ASHLAND, WV-KY-OH	978	956	33.3	30.1	40.8	24.8	22.1	31.9	11.3	8.3	16.1
HUNTSVILLE, AL	2,843	1,724	42.5	53.8	50.9	31.9	30.1	38.1	16.1	21.7	23.7
INDIANAPOLIS, IN	13,226	8,777	46.2	43.3	50.9	18.2	18.4	26.8	17.6	16.4	23.0
IOWA CITY, IA	734	679	47.4	45.2	50.0	23.0	25.7	28.6	14.3	12.8	18.1
JACKSON, MI	1,145	1,330	45.6	43.7	50.8	13.4	12.9	17.2	14.0	13.9	19.1
JACKSON, MS	2,658	1,005	43.5	33.7	48.4	24.2	25.3	33.0	19.9	10.5	25.2
JACKSON, TN	505	447	31.7	37.5	47.4	17.6	24.4	34.5	7.3	10.2	20.1
JACKSONVILLE, FL	8,157	7,373	38.7	40.5	46.8	18.9	19.9	28.2	13.8	15.5	20.3
JACKSONVILLE, NC	395	534	24.2	20.3	35.8	18.7	12.9	23.1	6.4	3.0	12.6
JAMESTOWN, NY	283	301	30.0	30.4	38.0	8.8	10.3	11.1	5.7	7.7	12.7
JANESVILLE-BELOIT, WI	957	893	58.9	54.3	58.0	21.2	21.7	28.2	21.3	20.1	22.7
JERSEY CITY, NJ	2,669	1,866	26.1	26.4	28.3	60.7	60.7	63.2	6.0	5.8	6.6
JOHNSON CITY-KINGSPORT-BRISTOL, TN-VA	1,621	1,277	39.4	44.1	50.8	23.3	31.1	31.1	13.2	17.6	23.5
JOHNSTOWN, PA	366	318	26.1	29.6	34.8	18.6	15.1	21.3	6.5	7.7	11.0
JONESBORO, AR	344	166	36.5	32.1	39.8	16.6	12.0	24.4	8.5	10.5	16.8
JOPLIN, MO	824	1,593	45.0	40.0	45.7	13.6	12.8	20.7	15.9	14.7	18.0
KALAMAZOO-BATTLE CREEK, MI	3,109	3,903	45.3	39.2	48.8	26.3	19.2	32.9	17.4	14.0	22.2
KANKAKEE, IL	262	548	51.5	43.6	50.1	12.6	9.7	12.8	16.5	15.0	19.5
KANSAS CITY, MO-KS	12,730	11,493	47.1	47.5	49.6	20.1	23.1	27.5	17.5	18.7	21.5
KENOSHA, WI	1,353	731	46.7	37.9	43.3	12.1	8.2	15.0	13.7	11.1	14.7
KILLEEN-TEMPLE, TX	666	471	21.8	27.9	33.1	23.9	29.3	31.4	5.0	9.7	9.5
KNOXVILLE, TN	4,195	2,554	40.3	40.2	52.4	21.9	23.8	32.4	13.7	14.6	26.7
KOKOMO, IN	687	764	55.4	50.7	54.4	29.1	27.1	34.9	21.7	20.6	24.5
LA CROSSE, WI-MN	582	584	47.0	43.8	48.6	15.6	14.0	18.0	14.6	10.2	17.8
LAFAYETTE, LA	1,177	548	25.2	22.9	39.0	24.2	23.9	41.0	8.5	7.5	17.1
LAFAYETTE, IN	1,240	632	47.1	51.3	51.1	11.1	17.6	19.7	17.6	22.7	21.9
LAKE CHARLES, LA	807	367	33.5	31.3	38.9	23.3	23.4	31.8	12.2	10.5	16.8
LAKELAND-WINTER HAVEN, FL	2,405	2,826	41.0	41.7	44.1	14.9	20.9	23.4	14.3	16.3	18.3
LANCASTER, PA	2,376	2,533	44.9	45.6	44.1	11.1	10.3	11.4	14.0	13.5	14.2
LANSING-EAST LANSING, MI	4,531	2,937	43.2	47.0	52.7	18.4	19.6	25.7	12.6	16.2	19.8
LAREDO, TX	279	2,937	20.5	10.4	31.1	54.1	50.2	60.1	3.5	2.5	12.7
LAS CRUCES, NM	1,079	476	20.4	25.6	39.3	63.6	69.3	70.5	6.1	7.8	18.6
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	16,234	11,955	39.7	37.7	39.3	14.3	15.2	16.8	12.0	12.8	13.0
LAS VEGAS, NV-AZ LAWRENCE, KS	800	564	42.6	34.3	39.2	31.8	26.8	33.1	13.5	11.3	12.8
LAWRENCE, MA-NH	3,740	2,375	42.6	45.1	36.6 45.4	14.8	20.9	21.8	13.3	14.9	16.3
LAWTON, OK	256	2,373	30.6	24.6	40.0	39.5	31.7	40.1	10.3	5.5	
	235	205		24.6 28.9			9.2				15.5
LEWISTON-AUBURN, ME			31.7		39.0	7.3		9.1	5.8	5.1	12.1
LEXINGTON, KY	2,794	3,557	44.5	38.6	46.0	31.3	27.7	35.9	16.7	14.0	19.9
LIMA, OH	768	785	48.6	50.0	49.1	14.6	14.8	19.2	16.4	20.3	19.4
LINCOLN, NE	2,437	992	53.5	46.5	53.5	17.1	16.3	24.7	19.7	15.7	22.5
LITTLE ROCK-NORTH LITTLE ROCK, AR	3,196	2,494	32.6	33.6	43.2	12.3	15.6	25.1	11.4	12.2	19.8

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.

		Mortgages	Low-	and Moderate-I	ncome	Geog	graphically Targ	eted	Special Affordable		
MSA	Purch: Fannie Mae	ased by: Freddie Mac	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>
LONGVIEW-MARSHALL, TX	698	292	24.7	30.4	40.8	16.9	18.5	29.8	5.6	10.7	17.7
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH, CA	63,729	24,995	28.8	31.9	28.6	47.8	45.3	46.5	9.3	10.7	8.4
LOUISVILLE, KY-IN	6,077	8,347	46.8	41.5	49.8	21.9	17.8	30.4	19.2	15.9	22.7
LOUISVILLE, KT-IN LOWELL, MA-NH	2,828	1,883	46.0	41.5 47.5	49.8	14.4	16.8	18.2	15.5	15.8	15.7
LUBBOCK, TX	1,006	806	23.5	27.2	31.1	14.4	17.1	24.0	6.3	9.8	13.7
	979		40.5	41.2	31.1 47.4	11.5	12.9	15.8	15.8	12.8	19.0
LYNCHBURG, VA		1,268									
MACON, GA	1,877	1,414	34.2	38.9	45.0	16.5	23.8	30.7	10.8	14.2	20.2
MADISON, WI	3,041	2,858	48.3	50.3	51.5	21.0	23.1	24.2	15.1	16.6	17.8
MANCHESTER, NH	1,769	1,226	36.2	34.2	40.2	15.5	14.4	20.4	10.0	8.4	12.4
MANSFIELD, OH	736	588	50.9	55.3	53.6	27.7	26.4	31.8	19.8	21.7	23.0
MCALLEN-EDINBURG-MISSION, TX	834	1,147	13.9	20.0	27.8	44.8	52.8	58.6	2.6	7.1	8.2
MEDFORD-ASHLAND, OR	2,090	1,136	27.4	29.3	29.9	29.3	30.9	32.9	7.1	9.0	8.5
MELBOURNE-TITUSVILLE-PALM BAY, FL	4,446	3,837	46.2	47.4	45.9	25.3	22.8	26.3	22.1	20.7	20.4
MEMPHIS, TN-AR-MS	6,219	3,857	35.1	34.7	45.6	20.9	23.3	34.4	12.8	13.0	21.5
MERCED, CA	1,175	776	19.9	24.5	20.8	68.6	71.0	69.3	6.5	7.1	5.2
MIAMI, FL	17,009	11,932	32.9	34.1	31.7	42.5	44.7	46.0	9.4	11.2	10.1
MIDDLESEX-SOMERSET-HUNTERDON, NJ	8,112	8,629	56.6	58.0	56.0	23.2	25.0	24.1	20.5	22.3	21.0
MILWAUKEE-WAUKESHA, WI	9,671	6,641	48.5	48.4	51.4	15.2	16.9	22.8	14.9	17.3	20.2
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL, MN-WI	23,491	29,266	58.9	54.9	58.2	26.2	22.3	27.8	23.2	20.2	23.5
MISSOULA, MT	846	402	29.4	31.6	35.8	23.8	23.1	25.1	9.9	10.1	12.8
MOBILE, AL	3,431	2,640	33.0	37.7	43.7	8.7	10.5	17.8	10.9	12.5	17.1
MODESTO, CA	3,609	2,518	30.3	30.5	28.5	34.2	30.1	34.5	10.0	8.3	8.3
MONMOUTH-OCEAN, NJ	9,382	9,184	40.0	43.9	41.1	36.8	37.1	37.6	15.2	17.6	15.9
MONROE, LA	888	167	25.0	27.1	33.9	10.4	17.4	22.4	8.6	14.8	15.3
MONTGOMERY, AL	1,988	684	43.3	46.4	51.4	21.9	27.2	36.8	15.5	15.7	24.3
MUNCIE, IN	435	506	52.7	48.5	51.7	27.8	25.5	34.5	21.4	20.1	24.4
MYRTLE BEACH, SC	3,105	2,578	30.5	25.6	37.1	26.0	27.6	29.7	9.1	7.0	13.9
NAPLES, FL	3,336	3,739	40.5	42.5	39.9	18.9	20.4	21.9	14.7	15.1	13.5
NASHUA, NH	1,932	1,565	46.6	43.7	47.2	22.4	22.6	25.7	14.6	14.4	15.9
NASHVILLE, TN	8,791	7,533	49.5	51.1	54.4	16.6	18.3	25.0	19.2	19.8	25.4
NASSAU-SUFFOLK, NY	18,312	11,331	50.5	47.2	50.8	34.7	33.4	36.1	16.7	13.0	16.1
NEW BEDFORD, MA	922	398	30.9	29.9	30.1	14.3	19.3	19.6	9.3	6.3	8.4
NEW HAVEN-MERIDEN, CT	3,877	2,544	43.9	47.7	46.3	20.6	21.7	25.1	15.2	16.9	17.4
NEW LONDON-NORWICH, CT-RI	1,771	1,378	39.3	38.6	40.8	15.4	18.4	17.0	13.3	12.9	12.9
NEW ORLEANS, LA	7,422	2,646	32.5	32.9	34.5	28.6	31.6	34.3	11.8	12.4	13.7
NEW YORK, NY	34,737	15,044	28.7	24.6	31.5	27.8	25.1	30.1	6.5	4.5	7.4
NEWARK, NJ	10,304	10,792	44.6	45.3	45.0	21.6	21.1	24.9	14.3	15.5	16.2
NEWBURGH, NY-PA	3,129	1,937	32.4	31.1	36.5	25.5	23.2	24.9	7.9	7.7	11.1
NORFOLK-VIRGINIA BEACH-NEWPORT NEWS, VA-NC	6,503	8,530	34.2	38.2	39.8	27.4	29.5	33.7	12.9	14.6	15.5
OAKLAND, CA	26,185	12,650	42.7	41.9	38.7	52.8	50.8	52.8	15.2	14.0	13.2
OCALA, FL	2,128	1,508	35.7	44.8	44.4	27.3	20.2	26.9	10.9	16.4	14.9
ODESSA-MIDLAND, TX	646	659	22.7	26.1	36.4	10.5	20.5	28.9	5.1	8.8	19.0
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK	7,531	4,590	33.3	33.0	41.3	18.9	20.3	28.8	11.3	12.1	17.3
OLYMPIA, WA	2.091	4,390 951	35.3 35.2	36.4	36.7	34.2	36.6	37.0	9.0	10.5	9.7
OMAHA, NE-IA	7,295	3,986	48.2	48.2	51.0	15.5	22.2	27.4	9.0 17.7	19.9	23.3
	26,088		41.5	45.9	39.1	35.2	31.1	33.2	14.0	15.9	12.3
ORANGE COUNTY, CA ORLANDO, FL	,	12,418	38.1	40.6		30.6	31.6	35.2 35.5	13.0	14.8	12.3
	17,507 291	12,155 859	38.1 42.8	40.6 43.5	40.1 51.7	23.4	31.6 18.7	35.5 24.8	13.0	14.8 14.0	20.0
OWENSBORO, KY	291	839	42.8	43.3	31./	23.4	18./	24.8	12.0	14.0	20.0

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.

		Mortgages	Low-	and Moderate-I	ncome	Geog	graphically Targ	eted	S	pecial Affordabl	le
MGA		ased by:	F : W	E 11: M	<b>N</b> 1 .1	F : M	E 11: M	<b>N</b> 1 .1	F : M	E IF M	<b>N</b> 1 d
MSA	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>
PANAMA CITY, FL	1,326	618	26.9	31.4	36.9	11.4	14.2	20.2	8.5	10.8	15.3
PARKERSBURG-MARIETTA, WV-OH	372	411	42.6	42.1	48.8	29.3	21.9	30.7	21.7	14.4	22.5
PENSACOLA, FL	2,649	2,162	34.9	40.9	39.6	16.5	23.3	25.7	12.1	17.2	16.4
PEORIA-PEKIN, IL	1,983	1,828	53.2	48.6	53.2	20.1	18.3	22.6	21.7	18.4	22.2
PHILADELPHIA, PA-NJ	29,408	26,961	38.7	41.9	43.3	16.3	22.1	24.2	12.8	16.7	18.3
PHOENIX-MESA, AZ	30,830	29,181	39.8	41.0	42.3	30.2	31.5	33.3	14.6	16.3	16.4
PINE BLUFF, AR	212	180	20.6	27.2	38.4	22.2	25.6	32.5	8.5	9.9	15.4
PITTSBURGH, PA	9,090	8,173	34.5	31.1	38.3	18.9	18.5	27.1	11.8	10.4	15.7
PITTSFIELD, MA	284	86	37.5	29.6	41.9	10.6	14.0	12.4	14.2	12.3	16.4
POCATELLO, ID	659	384	42.1	42.5	48.3	27.8	30.2	29.2	15.4	16.6	19.1
PORTLAND, ME	2,115	1,221	34.7	35.5	39.9	19.2	18.3	22.8	10.1	10.8	12.9
PORTLAND-VANCOUVER, OR-WA	21,125	12,990	37.0	37.1	38.3	28.9	24.8	28.1	12.2	11.9	13.0
PORTSMOUTH-ROCHESTER, NH-ME	2,453	1,418	31.9	30.5	36.9	13.2	11.2	15.3	8.4	7.7	11.1
PROVIDENCE-FALL RIVER-WARWICK, RI-MA	8,724	4,955	35.2	36.7	36.8	13.9	15.1	17.2	10.0	9.4	10.9
PROVO-OREM, UT	3,299	2,304	32.7	33.3	32.7	15.3	16.8	16.6	5.7	6.4	6.3
PUEBLO, CO	1,392	705	29.3	34.1	35.8	35.0	41.7	43.7	10.8	12.8	16.3
PUNTA GORDA, FL	2,870	1,339	37.0	38.6	40.2	14.1	20.8	19.1	12.4	14.4	13.6
RACINE, WI	1,320	1,035	51.8	48.7	52.9	13.9	18.5	18.3	17.2	18.2	19.7
RALEIGH-DURHAM-CHAPEL HILL, NC	8,780	12,290	44.2	46.8	48.5	25.1	25.0	31.6	15.8	16.3	22.2
RAPID CITY, SD	436	290	31.6	32.3	44.6	20.9	20.3	32.1	10.5	13.4	22.0
READING, PA	2,392	2,336	41.9	40.1	46.8	7.5	9.7	16.3	11.3	14.2	19.6
REDDING, CA	1,413	877	26.0	30.1	26.5	21.2	18.6	19.3	10.6	8.5	7.7
RENO, NV	3,027	2,576	40.9	42.2	44.5	18.2	18.4	22.8	13.6	14.4	16.9
RICHLAND-KENNEWICK-PASCO, WA	1,465	926	34.3	37.1	37.2	21.2	28.7	25.2	11.4	14.7	14.3
RICHMOND-PETERSBURG, VA	6,097	8,621	42.9	43.9	47.8	21.3	19.7	27.4	16.6	17.4	20.7
RIVERSIDE-SAN BERNARDINO, CA	28,266	14,626	26.1	27.7	26.5	36.3	38.3	39.0	9.0	10.1	8.5
ROANOKE, VA	1,159	2,131	45.5	52.1	52.9	19.9	22.9	29.0	16.7	22.2	23.2
ROCHESTER, MN	699	1,620	65.2	56.6	61.0	22.6	22.0	25.5	26.1	21.1	26.9
ROCHESTER, NY	6,586	3,435	37.5	37.9	48.7	15.3	20.8	22.9	12.3	12.6	19.5
ROCKFORD, IL	2,524	3,124	49.2	51.3	52.5	16.2	18.4	21.7	18.2	19.1	21.6
ROCKY MOUNT, NC	466	649	44.5	25.4	53.0	46.4	45.6	53.6	15.4	6.1	25.3
SACRAMENTO, CA	19,467	10,100	33.2	31.9	31.4	29.9	27.0	29.2	11.7	10.0	10.0
SAGINAW-BAY CITY-MIDLAND, MI	2,074	2,354	51.0	46.2	51.4	24.7	20.0	28.3	21.9	17.5	24.9
ST. CLOUD, MN	732	1,252	49.6	45.3	49.3	27.7	19.2	23.5	15.8	12.5	16.2
ST. JOSEPH, MO	508	497	43.5	43.9	43.9	19.5	18.3	23.5	12.4	17.3	18.7
ST. LOUIS, MO-IL	17,988	24,231	47.5	44.8	52.5	22.3	20.9	31.8	17.9	16.8	23.9
SALEM, OR	3,163	1,509	31.7	33.4	35.0	13.7	15.1	15.5	9.1	8.5	9.2
SALINAS, CA	3,151	1,691	24.6	28.3	24.3	66.0	69.6	70.8	6.8	7.5	6.4
SALINAS, CA SALT LAKE CITY-OGDEN, UT	12,868	6,375	46.4	44.0	46.4	22.4	23.1	24.7	15.0	14.1	16.2
SAN ANGELO, TX	304	288	26.0	35.5	37.1	24.0	32.3	37.2	6.5	10.7	13.1
SAN ANGELO, TX SAN ANTONIO, TX	6,054	5,102	26.0	35.5 26.1	33.3	22.5	32.3 29.6	33.3	5.8	9.6	13.1
SAN ANTONIO, TA SAN DIEGO, CA	31,151	12,473	30.2	31.0	33.3 27.1	36.1	34.2	35.3 36.2	10.0	10.2	8.0
SAN DIEGO, CA SAN FRANCISCO, CA	10,080	4,666	45.8	44.5	37.7	57.4	51.2	54.1	16.2	14.0	12.1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,		59.2			67.2	54.1 69.6		22.8	
SAN JUIS OPISBO ATASCADERO BASO BODI ES CA	11,440 3,266	5,237 1,627	57.8 23.5	59.2 24.6	52.7 22.6	68.4 23.6	21.5	69.6 24.5	23.2 6.5	6.5	20.7
SAN LUIS OBISPO-ATASCADERO-PASO ROBLES, CA	,	,					32.0			12.7	4.6
SANTA BARBARA-SANTA MARIA-LOMPOC, CA	2,830	1,183	30.7	35.0	33.1	37.3		36.1	10.8		11.5
SANTA CRUZ-WATSONVILLE, CA	2,186	1,287	33.6	35.9	33.2	46.7	46.0	48.2	9.4	11.1	10.3
SANTA FE, NM	1,437	926	42.0	47.5	46.3	59.9	70.4	63.3	16.9	19.6	21.1

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.

		Mortgages	Low-	and Moderate-I	ncome	Geographically Targeted			Special Affordable		
MSA	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>
SANTA ROSA, CA	6,490	3,100	33.2	32.4	30.6	22.1	22.5	23.9	9.0	7.3	6.9
SARASOTA-BRADENTON, FL	7,987	4,821	39.6	38.5	38.1	15.7	15.2	18.8	14.5	14.1	14.2
SAVANNAH, GA	1,978	2,147	34.2	33.3	39.9	17.6	20.0	28.0	10.6	11.1	15.6
SCRANTONWILKES-BARREHAZLETON, PA	4,345	1,696	45.0	37.3	43.4	17.8	14.3	20.2	14.5	12.2	15.5
SEATTLE-BELLEVUE-EVERETT, WA	28,229	13,948	48.4	47.7	46.8	33.2	33.7	34.0	16.3	14.9	15.2
SHARON, PA	572	366	31.9	33.7	39.7	10.5	10.7	14.1	9.4	9.7	13.3
SHEBOYGAN, WI	720	609	49.2	48.6	54.2	12.9	16.3	20.3	12.8	14.5	20.1
SHERMAN-DENISON, TX	630	384	25.3	22.6	32.7	11.3	13.0	13.4	6.3	6.5	12.2
SHREVEPORT-BOSSIER CITY, LA	1,887	486	25.2	32.9	37.1	10.4	18.9	26.0	7.6	13.0	16.6
SIOUX CITY, IA-NE	678	231	50.0	41.4	47.6	21.8	26.4	29.2	19.4	14.1	19.5
SIOUX FALLS, SD	1,299	780	40.6	34.2	48.4	20.9	15.6	27.0	12.0	10.4	17.0
SOUTH BEND, IN	1,412	2,080	52.7	47.2	56.3	24.9	18.8	32.1	22.5	17.4	27.5
SPOKANE, WA	4,344	1,676	38.2	39.7	41.7	29.4	28.4	28.5	14.6	16.6	17.0
SPRINGFIELD, IL	1,639	1,340	53.7	51.2	55.3	19.3	19.0	27.0	20.9	18.1	24.3
SPRINGFIELD, MO	1,776	2,979	45.7	45.7	48.2	18.8	20.5	27.8	15.4	16.8	20.1
SPRINGFIELD, MA	2,403	1,144	38.3	43.7	41.8	16.8	22.3	22.1	11.1	11.5	13.3
STAMFORD-NORWALK, CT	2,420	1,921	69.4	75.0	68.4	65.0	61.6	62.0	39.5	41.4	37.3
STATE COLLEGE, PA	413	631	32.6	27.0	35.5	37.5	40.9	44.3	7.3	8.2	11.4
STEUBENVILLE-WEIRTON, OH-WV	453	293	40.6	41.6	45.3	24.7	22.2	31.6	12.6	13.3	16.5
STOCKTON-LODI, CA	4,937	3,256	24.3	27.4	22.9	33.8	35.1	35.3	8.2	10.8	7.7
SUMTER, SC	285	312	40.1	28.6	50.9	34.4	38.1	52.8	17.2	10.4	26.4
SYRACUSE, NY	3,875	1,583	30.9	34.4	42.1	13.3	21.0	23.4	8.4	10.0	15.6
TACOMA, WA	7,765	3,835	32.8	34.8	34.6	22.8	19.9	23.4	8.7	9.1	9.1
TALLAHASSEE, FL	1,318	1,384	40.6	42.0	47.1	33.2	33.7	42.1	14.6	17.2	22.7
TAMPA-ST, PETERSBURG-CLEARWATER, FL	22,341	19,323	39.4	44.0	43.3	26.3	27.2	31.5	16.0	19.1	19.2
TERRE HAUTE, IN	835	635	36.4	47.9	50.2	15.9	17.5	26.4	15.8	20.6	22.6
TEXARKANA, TX-TEXARKANA, AR	319	158	24.9	33.3	38.8	16.6	23.4	34.4	7.3	6.3	17.5
TOLEDO, OH	6,855	4,273	51.2	46.3	54.1	20.4	16.0	24.9	20.9	16.7	24.7
TOPEKA, KS	820	613	56.1	46.8	52.7	16.1	14.5	23.8	25.2	16.2	23.0
TRENTON, NJ	2,234	2,060	47.9	49.3	49.1	17.9	23.6	25.2	17.6	21.2	22.2
TUCSON, AZ	7,190	6,717	35.0	38.6	40.3	21.0	22.4	27.5	12.4	14.8	16.2
TULSA, OK	4,950	3,933	32.9	29.2	39.3	17.6	17.0	28.3	10.6	9.9	16.8
TUSCALOOSA, AL	914	729	34.1	38.7	46.3	18.6	18.1	21.9	12.0	11.4	21.5
TYLER, TX	621	732	31.5	35.9	42.9	11.3	20.5	23.5	7.2	12.7	16.1
UTICA-ROME, NY	949	494	29.8	37.7	40.6	14.2	15.2	19.1	7.1	11.1	13.8
VALLEJO-FAIRFIELD-NAPA, CA	5,962	2,856	27.5	28.1	25.2	41.7	43.6	43.7	7.1	7.6	5.6
VENTURA, CA	7,988	3,733	41.6	41.8	41.8	40.6	37.2	41.2	14.9	12.8	14.4
VICTORIA, TX	117	202	30.0	26.1	36.9	35.0	42.6	41.4	7.3	7.9	15.7
VINELAND-MILLVILLE-BRIDGETON, NJ	476	377	42.5	46.5	44.5	26.7	27.6	29.3	14.5	13.2	16.7
VINELAND-MILL VILLE-BRIDGETON, NJ VISALIA-TULARE-PORTERVILLE, CA	2,183	967	24.3	29.3	26.6	51.1	52.1	49.6	11.6	9.7	10.7
WACO, TX	762	508	30.2	25.8	36.1	13.0	21.9	26.6	9.0	10.6	16.1
WASHINGTON, DC-MD-VA-WV	35,374	37,095	54.8	60.4	54.5	35.6	37.5	36.5	21.7	25.6	22.4
				46.9		9.1	37.5 12.4	36.5 12.8		25.6 17.9	
WATERIOO CEDAR FALLS IA	1,225 511	821 769	43.2 47.2	46.9	47.7 51.3	30.3	33.0	33.6	16.5 19.6	24.3	17.0 25.4
WATERLOO-CEDAR FALLS, IA	511 467	769 508					33.0 14.8			24.3 12.4	
WAUSAU, WI			45.0	47.8	52.7	13.5		15.8	9.4		17.2
WEST PALM BEACH-BOCA RATON, FL	14,526	9,364	47.2	49.0	46.0	24.0	26.4	26.2	19.9	22.0	18.8
WHEELING, WV-OH	352	284	34.4	36.7	41.9	23.3	23.9	26.4	10.9	11.4	15.0
WICHITA, KS	4,279	2,579	50.9	49.2	31.2	17.0	18.2	21.6	19.5	19.3	10.3

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.

		Mortgages	Low-	and Moderate-I	ncome	Geog	raphically Targ	eted	S	pecial Affordab	le
	Purcha	ased by:									
MSA	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market <sup>1</sup>	Fannie Mae	Freddie Mac	Market
WICHITA FALLS, TX	483	208	23.8	29.3	54.8	15.1	22.1	26.1	7.6	7.3	24.5
WILLIAMSPORT, PA	309	290	34.8	33.8	39.7	18.4	20.7	26.1	7.5	10.7	13.0
WILMINGTON-NEWARK, DE-MD	4,103	3,983	53.7	56.4	59.3	22.3	21.3	27.7	22.3	22.7	27.9
WILMINGTON, NC	2,128	2,581	34.6	33.1	38.9	26.8	30.5	36.1	10.7	11.7	16.6
WORCHESTER, MA-CT	3,577	2,284	38.2	36.6	39.6	10.9	12.4	14.7	11.3	9.9	11.6
YAKIMA, WA	1,441	749	32.8	40.5	37.4	36.2	45.0	38.7	11.5	16.7	14.0
YOLO, CA	1,414	662	32.7	34.0	34.2	30.0	30.5	35.1	12.0	12.3	11.3
YORK, PA	2,183	2,394	46.6	40.9	42.6	12.4	11.1	14.1	12.9	12.7	13.3
YOUNGSTOWN-WARREN, OH	6,337	2,200	38.0	47.5	48.8	15.3	21.2	26.3	11.4	20.1	20.0
YUBA CITY, CA	713	550	26.2	29.2	26.8	30.9	28.4	30.1	11.6	11.6	8.7
YUMA, AZ	621	474	35.4	31.1	36.8	38.6	38.6	45.6	17.9	12.9	16.9

#### Notes:

The GSE percentages are derived from the GSE Public Use Database. The GSE data include all single-family loans purchased in metropolitan areas in 2000, regardless of year of origination.

The market percentages are derived from HMDA data on mortgages originated in metropolitan areas in 2000. The "Market" is defined as the conventional conforming market for home purchase and refinance loans. Thus it includes all conventional loans except "jumbo" loans above the conforming loan limit, which was \$252,700 in 2000. Mortgages with a loan amount greater than six times borrower income are excluded for purposes of the low- and moderate-income and special affordable analyses.

Because missing value percentages differ between GSE and HMDA data, mortgages with missing data are excluded from both the GSE and market analyses. Neither HMDA data nor the GSE data available at the MSA level include the number of units in the property; therefore, the analysis is based on numbers of mortgages for 1 to 4-family owner-occupied and investor properties, even though official goal performance is based on numbers of units.

In both the GSE and market analyses, mortgages classified as special affordable include mortgages from very-low-income borrowers and low-income borrowers living in low-income census tracts. The Housing Goals are defined on a national level. The GSEs are not required to meet the Housing Goals in individual MSAs.

HMDA-based market shares have been adjusted to exclude the B&C portion of the subprime market. It should be recognized that there exists some uncertainty regarding the number of B&C loans in the HMDA data. The adjustment assumes that the B&C loans represent one-half of the subprime market; for further discussion, see Harold, L. Bunce, *The GSEs' Funding of Affordable Loans: A 1999 Update*, Working Paper HF-012, Office of Policy Development and Research, December 2000.

Table 10a-2000

Loan-to-Value Characteristics of Fannie Mae's Purchases, 2000

	Loan-to-Value Ratio										
Home Purchase	LTV >95%	90% < LTV ≤ 95%	80% < LTV ≤ 90%	LTV ≤ 80%	Total <sup>1</sup>						
All Loans	51,855	219,891	189,021	711,178	1,171,945						
	4.4 %	18.8 %	16.1 %	60.7 %	100.0 %						
Very- Low-Income	10,181	20,329	16,471	73,449	120,430						
Borrower Loans	8.5 %	16.9 %	13.7 %	61.0 %	100.0 %						
Less- Than-Median	31,257	86,756	67,833	243,084	428,930						
Income Borrower Loans	7.3 %	20.2 %	15.8 %	56.7 %	100.0 %						
All Other Loans											
All Loans	5,967	9,019	64,112	444,385	523,483						
	1.1 %	1.7 %	12.2 %	84.9 %	100.0 %						
Very- Low-Income	47	528	5,565	59,900	66,040						
Borrower Loans	0.1 %	0.8 %	8.4 %	90.7 %	100.0 %						
Less- Than-Median	124	2,598	24,181	181,172	208,075						
Income Borrower Loans	0.1 %	1.2 %	11.6 %	87.1 %	100.0 %						

Entries include all owner-occupied one-unit mortgages purchased by Fannie Mae in 2000. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Excludes loans missing LTV information.

Table 10b-2000

Loan-to-Value Characteristics of Freddie Mac's Purchases, 2000

	Loan-to-Value Ratio										
Home Purchase	LTV >95%	90% < LTV ≤ 95%	80% < LTV ≤ 90%	LTV ≤ 80%	Total <sup>1</sup>						
All Loans	54,543	181,996	136,968	525,454	898,961						
	6.1 %	20.2 %	15.2 %	58.5 %	100.0 %						
Very- Low-Income	12,601	17,109	12,687	56,968	99,365						
Borrower Loans	12.7 %	17.2 %	12.8 %	57.3 %	100.0 %						
Less- Than-Median	36,241	69,836	49,605	184,632	340,314						
Income Borrower Loans	10.6 %	20.5 %	14.6 %	54.3 %	100.0 %						
All Other Loans All Loans	2,324	10,453	61,051	376,222	450,050						
	0.5 %	2.3 %	13.6 %	83.6 %	100.0 %						
Very- Low-Income	87	947	6,651	54,998	62,683						
Borrower Loans	0.1 %	1.5 %	10.6 %	87.7 %	100.0 %						
Less- Than-Median Income Borrower Loans	276	3,901	25,362	158,540	188,079						
	0.1 %	2.1 %	13.5 %	84.3 %	100.0 %						

Entries include all owner-occupied one-unit mortgages purchased by Freddie Mac in 2000. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Excludes loans missing LTV information.

Table 10a-1999

Loan-to-Value Characteristics of Fannie Mae's Purchases, 1999

	Loan-to-Value Ratio										
Home Purchase	LTV >95%	90% < LTV ≤ 95%	80% < LTV ≤ 90%	LTV ≤ 80%	Total <sup>1</sup>						
All Loans	48,337	253,117	189,471	629,425	1,120,350						
	4.3 %	22.6 %	16.9 %	56.2 %	100.0 %						
Very- Low-Income	8,353	21,551	16,958	68,343	115,205						
Borrower Loans	7.3 %	18.7 %	14.7 %	59.3 %	100.0 %						
Less- Than-Median Income Borrower Loans	29,419	95,399	69,841	226,715	421,374						
	7.0 %	22.6 %	16.6 %	53.8 %	100.0 %						
All Other Loans											
All Loans	18,284	19,967	155,865	1,177,267	1,371,383						
	1.3 %	1.5 %	11.4 %	85.8 %	100.0 %						
Very- Low-Income	1,608	1,394	10,738	119,955	133,695						
Borrower Loans	1.2 %	1.0 %	8.0 %	89.7 %	100.0 %						
Less- Than-Median	7,293	6,869	54,979	428,367	497,508						
Income Borrower Loans	1.5 %	1.4 %	11.1 %	86.1 %	100.0 %						

Entries include all owner-occupied one-unit mortgages purchased by Fannie Mae in 1999. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Excludes loans missing LTV information.

Table 10b-1999

Loan-to-Value Characteristics of Freddie Mac's Purchases, 1999

	Loan-to-Value Ratio										
Home Purchase	LTV >95%	90% < LTV ≤ 95%	80% < LTV ≤ 90%	LTV ≤ 80%	Total <sup>1</sup>						
All Loans	43,601	184,971	137,117	474,156	839,845						
	5.2 %	22.0 %	16.3 %	56.5 %	100.0 %						
Very- Low-Income	5,706	14,985	11,381	51,043	83,115						
Borrower Loans	6.9 %	18.0 %	13.7 %	61.4 %	100.0 %						
Less- Than-Median	20,672	68,758	47,228	165,898	302,556						
Income Borrower Loans	6.8 %	22.7 %	15.6 %	54.8 %	100.0 %						
All Other Loans All Loans	427	12,427	125,938	981,513	1,120,305						
	0.0 %	1.1 %	11.2 %	87.6 %	100.0 %						
Very- Low-Income	43	856	9,551	110,104	120,554						
Borrower Loans	0.0 %	0.7 %	7.9 %	91.3 %	100.0 %						
Less- Than-Median Income Borrower Loans	126	3,663	45,815	377,479	427,083						
	0.0 %	0.9 %	10.7 %	88.4 %	100.0 %						

Entries include all owner-occupied one-unit mortgages purchased by Freddie Mac in 1999. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Excludes loans missing LTV information.

Table 11a-2000

Loan-to-Value Characteristics of Fannie Mae's Purchases in Underserved Areas, 2000

	Loan-to-Value Ratio							
Home Purchase	LTV >95%	90% < LTV ≤ 95%	80% < LTV ≤ 90%	LTV ≤ 80%	Total <sup>1</sup>			
All Loans	51,855	219,891	189,021	711,178	1,171,945			
	4.4 %	18.8 %	16.1 %	60.7 %	100.0 %			
Loans in Underserved Areas	19,487	61,285	50,362	155,943	287,077			
	6.8 %	21.3 %	17.5 %	54.3 %	100.0 %			
Very- Low-Income	5,303	9,105	6,296	23,115	43,819			
Borrower Loans	12.1 %	20.8 %	14.4 %	52.8 %	100.0 %			
Less- Than-Median	13,341	29,845	20,682	64,442	128,310			
Income Borrower Loans	10.4 %	23.3 %	16.1 %	50.2 %	100.0 %			
All Other Loans								
All Loans	5,967	9,019	64,112	444,385	523,483			
	1.1 %	1.7 %	12.2 %	84.9 %	100.0 %			
Loans in Underserved Areas	1,671	2,876	21,572	135,702	161,821			
	1.0 %	1.8 %	13.3 %	83.9 %	100.0 %			
Very- Low-Income	25	254	2,772	25,575	28,626			
Borrower Loans	0.1 %	0.9 %	9.7 %	89.3 %	100.0 %			
Less- Than-Median	51	1,015	9,670	65,860	76,596			
Income Borrower Loans	0.1 %	1.3 %	12.6 %	86.0 %	100.0 %			

Entries include all owner-occupied one-unit mortgages purchased by Fannie Mae in underserved areas (both metropolitan and non-metropolitan) in 1999. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Excludes loans missing LTV information.

Table 11b-2000

Loan-to-Value Characteristics of Freddie Mac's Purchases in Underserved Areas, 2000

	Loan-to-Value Ratio						
Home Purchase	LTV >95%	90% < LTV ≤ 95%	80% < LTV ≤ 90%	LTV ≤ 80%	Total <sup>1</sup>		
All Loans	54,543	181,996	136,968	525,454	898,961		
	6.1 %	20.2 %	15.2 %	58.5 %	100.0 %		
Loans in Underserved Areas	21,807	50,322	34,243	104,431	210,803		
	10.3 %	23.9 %	16.2 %	49.5 %	100.0 %		
Very- Low-Income	6,541	7,927	4,985	17,240	36,693		
Borrower Loans	17.8 %	21.6 %	13.6 %	47.0 %	100.0 %		
Less- Than-Median	15,390	15,854	9,970	34,480	75,694		
Income Borrower Loans	20.3 %	20.9 %	13.2 %	45.6 %	100.0 %		
All Other Loans							
All Loans	2,324	10,453	61,051	376,222	450,050		
	0.5 %	2.3 %	13.6 %	83.6 %	100.0 %		
Loans in Underserved Areas	1,008	3,793	22,226	117,272	144,299		
	0.7 %	2.6 %	15.4 %	81.3 %	100.0 %		
Very- Low-Income	48	515	3,588	25,300	29,451		
Borrower Loans	0.2 %	1.7 %	12.2 %	85.9 %	100.0 %		
Less- Than-Median	145	1,748	11,160	60,877	73,930		
Income Borrower Loans	0.2 %	2.4 %	15.1 %	82.3 %	100.0 %		

Entries include all owner-occupied one-unit mortgages purchased by Fannie Mae in underserved areas (both metropolitan and non-metropolitan) in 1999. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Excludes loans missing LTV information.

Table 11a-1999

Loan-to-Value Characteristics of Fannie Mae's Purchases in Underserved Areas, 1999

	Loan-to-Value Ratio							
Home Purchase	LTV >95%	90% < LTV ≤ 95%	80% < LTV ≤ 90%	LTV ≤ 80%	Total <sup>1</sup>			
All Loans	48,337	253,117	189,471	629,425	1,120,350			
	4.3 %	22.6 %	16.9 %	56.2 %	100.0 %			
Loans in Underserved Areas	16,248	64,062	45,125	122,571	248,006			
	6.6 %	25.8 %	18.2 %	49.4 %	100.0 %			
Very- Low-Income	4,056	9,406	6,767	20,099	40,328			
Borrower Loans	10.1 %	23.3 %	16.8 %	49.8 %	100.0 %			
Less- Than-Median	11,430	31,423	21,009	55,017	118,879			
Income Borrower Loans	9.6 %	26.4 %	17.7 %	46.3 %	100.0 %			
All Other Loans								
All Loans	18,284	19,967	155,865	1,177,267	1,371,383			
	1.3 %	1.5 %	11.4 %	85.8 %	100.0 %			
Loans in Underserved Areas	5,598	5,418	42,924	282,247	336,187			
	1.7 %	1.6 %	12.8 %	84.0 %	100.0 %			
Very- Low-Income	903	646	4,836	43,959	50,344			
Borrower Loans	1.8 %	1.3 %	9.6 %	87.3 %	100.0 %			
Less- Than-Median	2,953	2,514	19,349	130,823	155,639			
Income Borrower Loans	1.9 %	1.6 %	12.4 %	84.1 %	100.0 %			

Entries include all owner-occupied one-unit mortgages purchased by Fannie Mae in underserved areas (both metropolitan and non-metropolitan) in 1999. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Excludes loans missing LTV information.

Table 11b-1999

Loan-to-Value Characteristics of Freddie Mac's Purchases in Underserved Areas, 1999

	Loan-to-Value Ratio							
Home Purchase	LTV >95%	90% < LTV ≤ 95%	80% < LTV ≤ 90%	LTV ≤ 80%	Total <sup>1</sup>			
All Loans	43,601	184,971	137,117	474,156	839,845			
	5.2 %	22.0 %	16.3 %	56.5 %	100.0 %			
Loans in Underserved Areas	15,317	48,239	34,719	98,621	196,896			
	7.8 %	24.5 %	17.6 %	50.1 %	100.0 %			
Very- Low-Income	2,543	6,527	4,497	15,161	28,728			
Borrower Loans	8.9 %	22.7 %	15.7 %	52.8 %	100.0 %			
Less- Than-Median Income Borrower Loans	7,441	22,516	14,237	41,153	85,347			
	8.7 %	26.4 %	16.7 %	48.2 %	100.0 %			
All Other Loans								
All Loans	427	12,427	125,938	981,513	1,120,305			
	0.0 %	1.1 %	11.2 %	87.6 %	100.0 %			
Loans in Underserved Areas	195	4,018	38,273	258,081	300,567			
	0.1 %	1.3 %	12.7 %	85.9 %	100.0 %			
Very- Low-Income	19	413	4,735	42,810	47,977			
Borrower Loans	0.0 %	0.9 %	9.9 %	89.2 %	100.0 %			
Less- Than-Median Income Borrower Loans	57	1,449	17,340	121,794	140,640			
	0.0 %	1.0 %	12.3 %	86.6 %	100.0 %			

Entries include all owner-occupied one-unit mortgages purchased by Freddie Mac in underserved areas (both metropolitan and non-metropolitan) in 1999. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Excludes loans missing LTV information.

Table 12a-2000

Loan-to-Value Characteristics of GSEs' Conventional Mortgage Purchases

Meeting 1 or More Affordable Housing Goals, 2000

_		Meets 1	or More Afford	dable Ho	using Goals	
_			Fannie	Mae		
Loan-to-Value Ratio	No		Yes		Tota	1
0% < LTV <= 80%	589,589	70.2%	565,974	66.1%	1,155,563	68.1%
80% < LTV <= 90%	123,899	14.7%	129,234	15.1%	253,133	14.9%
90% < LTV <= 100%	126,553	15.1%	160,179	18.7%	286,732	16.9%
Missing	103	0.0%	909	0.1%	1,012	0.1%
Total	840,144	100.0%	856,296	100.0%	1,696,440	100.0%

## **Meets 1 or More Affordable Housing Goals**

-	Freddie Mac						
Loan-to-Value Ratio	No		Yes		Tota	1	
0% < LTV <= 80%	460,573	69.7%	440,540	63.5%	901,113	66.5%	
80% < LTV <= 90%	96,344	14.6%	101,010	14.6%	197,354	14.6%	
90% < LTV <= 100%	101,365	15.3%	138,651	20.0%	240,016	17.7%	
Missing	2,566	0.4%	13,240	1.9%	15,806	1.2%	
Total	660,848	100.0%	693,441	100.0%	1,354,289	100.0%	

**Table 12b-2000** 

# Loan-to-Value Characteristics of GSEs' Purchases of Conventional Home Purchase Mortgages Meeting 1 or More Affordable Housing Goals, 2000

		Meets 1	or More Affo	rdable Ho	ousing Goals	
			Fanni	e Mae		
Loan-to-Value Ratio	No	0	Ye	es	Tot	al
0% < LTV <= 80%	392,145	64.7%	319,033	56.2%	711,178	60.6%
80% < LTV <= 90%	95,683	15.8%	93,338	16.5%	189,021	16.1%
90% < LTV <= 100%	117,752	19.4%	153,994	27.1%	271,746	23.2%
Missing	100	0.0%	898	0.2%	998	0.1%
Total	605,680	100.0%	567,263	100.0%	1,172,943	100.0%

### **Meets 1 or More Affordable Housing Goals**

	Freddie Mac							
Loan-to-Value Ratio	No		Ye	Yes		Total		
0% < LTV <= 80%	295,571	63.5%	229,352	52.2%	524,923	58.0%		
80% < LTV <= 90%	71,389	15.3%	64,970	14.8%	136,359	15.1%		
90% < LTV <= 100%	96,692	20.8%	132,448	30.2%	229,140	25.3%		
Missing	1,600	0.3%	12,513	2.8%	14,113	1.6%		
Total	465,252	100.0%	439,283	100.0%	904,535	100.0%		

Table 12a-1999

Loan-to-Value Characteristics of GSEs' Conventional Mortgage Purchases

Meeting 1 or More Affordable Housing Goals, 1999

-		Meets 1	or More Afford	dable Hou	ising Goals	
-			Fannie	Mae		
Loan-to-Value Ratio	No		Yes		Tota	<u> </u>
0% < LTV <= 80%	939,716	74.4%	844,020	71.4%	1,783,736	72.9%
80% < LTV <= 90%	174,937	13.8%	165,830	14.0%	340,767	13.9%
90% < LTV <= 100%	148,707	11.8%	171,994	14.5%	320,701	13.1%
Missing	119	0.0%	795	0.1%	914	0.0%
Total	1,263,479	100.0%	1,182,639	100.0%	2,446,118	100.0%

### **Meets 1 or More Affordable Housing Goals**

	Freddie Mac							
Loan-to-Value Ratio	No	Yes	Total					
0% < LTV <= 80%	736,523 75.4%	716,345 74.0%	1,452,868 74.7%					
80% < LTV <= 90%	132,472 13.6%	129,903 13.4%	262,375 13.5%					
90% < LTV <= 100%	107,921 11.0%	121,148 12.5%	229,069 11.8%					
Missing	503 0.1%	708 0.1%	1,211 0.1%					
Total	977,419 100.0%	968,104 100.0%	1,945,523 100.0%					

**Table 12b-1999** 

## Loan-to-Value Characteristics of GSEs' Purchases of Conventional Home Purchase Mortgages Meeting 1 or More Affordable Housing Goals, 1999

		Meets 1	or More Affo	rdable Ho	ousing Goals	
			Fanni	e Mae		
Loan-to-Value Ratio	No	0	Ye	es	Tot	al
0% < LTV <= 80%	348,964	59.3%	280,461	52.6%	629,425	56.1%
80% < LTV <= 90%	99,708	16.9%	89,763	16.8%	189,471	16.9%
90% < LTV <= 100%	139,688	23.7%	161,765	30.4%	301,453	26.9%
Missing	100	0.0%	774	0.1%	874	0.1%
Total	588,460	100.0%	532,763	100.0%	1,121,223	100.0%

### **Meets 1 or More Affordable Housing Goals**

	Freddie Mac							
Loan-to-Value Ratio	No	0	Ye	es	Tot	al		
0% < LTV <= 80%	262,214	60.0%	211,385	54.2%	473,599	57.3%		
80% < LTV <= 90%	72,874	16.7%	63,625	16.3%	136,499	16.5%		
90% < LTV <= 100%	101,591	23.3%	114,759	29.4%	216,350	26.2%		
Missing	232	0.1%	336	0.1%	568	0.1%		
Total	436,911	100.0%	390,105	100.0%	827,016	100.0%		

Table 13-2000

Loan-to-Value Characteristics of GSEs' Purchases Meeting Housing Goals, 2000

### Fannie Mae

		Home Purchase						All Other							
Loan-to-Value Ratio	All	Low- a Moderate-		Underser	ved	Specia Afforda		All		Low- at Moderate-I		Underser	ved	Specia Afforda	
0% < LTV <= 80%	711,178 59.	238,394	56.3%	143,493	53.1%	71,551	55.2%	444,385	84.6%	180,060	87.0%	132,257	83.6%	61,208	89.6%
80% < LTV <= 90%	189,021 15.	5.7% 66,609	15.7%	46,889	17.4%	17,156	13.2%	64,112	12.2%	24,129	11.7%	21,417	13.5%	6,458	9.5%
90% < LTV <= 100%	271,746 22.	2.6% 117,497	27.8%	79,465	29.4%	34,272	26.5%	14,986	2.9%	2,718	1.3%	4,531	2.9%	644	0.9%
Missing	32,847 2.	2.7% 819	0.2%	311	0.1%	6,560	5.1%	1,745	0.3%	8	0.0%	7	0.0%	25	0.0%
Total	1,204,792 100.	0.0% 423,319	100.0%	270,158	100.0%	129,539	100.0%	525,228	100.0%	206,915	100.0%	158,212	100.0%	68,335	100.0%

### Freddie Mac

		Home Pu	rchase		All Other					
Loan-to-Value Ratio	All	Low- and Moderate-Income	Underserved	Special Affordable	All	Low- and Moderate-Income	Underserved	Special Affordable		
0% < LTV <= 80%	525,455 56.9%	180,823 52.4%	93,898 47.4%	61,646 52.4%	376,223 83.3%	157,566 84.1%	113,967 81.3%	62,557 86.3%		
80% < LTV <= 90%	136,968 14.8%	48,653 14.1%	31,061 15.7%	14,497 12.3%	61,051 13.5%	25,267 13.5%	21,861 15.6%	8,393 11.6%		
90% < LTV <= 100%	236,539 25.6%	104,081 30.1%	67,439 34.0%	35,408 30.1%	12,777 2.8%	4,147 2.2%	3,934 2.8%	1,338 1.8%		
Missing	24,134 2.6%	11,688 3.4%	5,820 2.9%	6,089 5.2%	1,750 0.4%	425 0.2%	470 0.3%	201 0.3%		
Total	923,096 100.0%	345,245 100.0%	198,218 100.0%	117,640 100.0%	451,801 100.0%	187,405 100.0%	140,232 100.0%	72,489 100.0%		

Entries are numbers of owner-occupied one-unit mortgages. Missing data for the three goal-qualifying categories are excluded. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

Table 13-1999

Loan-to-Value Characteristics of GSEs' Purchases Meeting Housing Goals, 1999

### Fannie Mae

		Home Pu	urchase		All Other				
Loan-to-Value Ratio	All	Low- and Moderate-Income	Underserved	Special Affordable	All	Low- and Moderate-Income	Underserved	Special Affordable	
0% < LTV <= 80%	629,425 53.3%	222,308 53.5%	111,781 47.8%	69,730 54.1%	1,177,267 85.0%	425,704 86.0%	274,619 83.6%	130,887 89.0%	
80% < LTV <= 90%	189,471 16.0%	68,282 16.4%	41,833 17.9%	17,808 13.8%	155,865 11.3%	54,900 11.1%	42,741 13.0%	12,985 8.8%	
90% < LTV <= 100%	301,453 25.5%	124,443 29.9%	79,993 34.2%	33,832 26.2%	38,251 2.8%	14,155 2.9%	11,011 3.4%	3,186 2.2%	
Missing	60,810 5.1%	707 0.2%	331 0.1%	7,559 5.9%	13,998 1.0%	19 0.0%	15 0.0%	52 0.0%	
Total	1,181,159 100.0%	415,740 100.0%	233,938 100.0%	128,929 100.0%	1,385,381 100.0%	494,778 100.0%	328,386 100.0%	147,110 100.0%	

### Freddie Mac

		Home Pu	rchase		All Other					
Loan-to-Value Ratio	All	Low- and Moderate-Income	Underserved	Special Affordable	All	Low- and Moderate-Income	Underserved	Special Affordable		
0% < LTV <= 80%	474,156 55.9%	162,309 55.0%	88,973 50.1%	55,109 59.0%	981,513 87.6%	375,478 88.3%	251,457 85.6%	125,293 90.4%		
80% < LTV <= 90%	137,117 16.2%	46,148 15.6%	31,272 17.6%	13,020 13.9%	125,938 11.2%	45,686 10.7%	37,863 12.9%	12,039 8.7%		
90% < LTV <= 100%	228,572 26.9%	86,606 29.3%	57,092 32.2%	24,802 26.6%	12,854 1.1%	3,753 0.9%	4,132 1.4%	1,101 0.8%		
Missing	8,767 1.0%	226 0.1%	230 0.1%	466 0.5%	766 0.1%	323 0.1%	188 0.1%	157 0.1%		
Total	848,612 100.0%	295,289 100.0%	177,567 100.0%	93,397 100.0%	1,121,071 100.0%	425,240 100.0%	293,640 100.0%	138,590 100.0%		

Entries are numbers of owner-occupied one-unit mortgages. Missing data for the three goal-qualifying categories are excluded. The data are adjusted for participation percent and REMIC weight.

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.